

Vision, Objectives, Plan Period and Issues responses

Rep ID	Respondent (ID)	Agent	Officer Summary Q1-Q3	Officer Comments
4235	Ketton and Tinwell Joint Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (Neighbourhood Plan Group		Question 1 Future Rutland document is remarkably detailed and this could cause difficulty in taking it as an all-embracing vision. Its main themes are not adequately inclusive unless you can take the rest of the document into account. We would therefore argue for a new vision for the Local Plan, which needs to be far simpler, and targeted.	Noted. The Future Rutland Vision has been used as a framework for the development of a short, strong and targeted vision specifically for the Local Plan
	Representative) [196]		Question 2 additional comments: SOs 1 and 2 need particular attention on sustainability, especially in the larger villages which are likely to be targets for increased development. Overall infrastructure is not mentioned although traffic and drainage are, and there is thus a danger that in highlighting two areas and not others, there is an implication that wider infrastructure questions are not as important. We would argue for a strong definition within the Local Plan of what is deemed to be sustainable, and how that is to be tested.	Noted infrastructure is covered by Strategic objective 10: Ensure development is supported by essential infrastructure and services
			S09 could usefully make reference to the importance in protecting the natural environment in helping combat the impacts of climate change, including the potential for ecosystem services, and in the benefit to public health and well-being. We would agree with the proposition that these objectives will need fine-tuning once the detail of the Local Plan has been further developed, as factual information and further research may lead to the conclusion that the objectives need	Agree added to Strategic objective 8: Protect and enhance the built and natural environment .



			amendment, and they should not be seen as "set in stone" at	
			this stage.	
4216	Lightsource BP (William Adkison) [999]		LSbp is encouraged by the Council's proactive recognition of climate change in Strategic Objective One, however this should be broadened to specifically acknowledge the importance of the delivery of low carbon and renewable energy projects with the district as at present the objective appears to focus on improving efficiencies with new buildings. The National Planning Policy Framework stipulates that "plans should take a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change and support appropriate measures to ensure the future resilience of communities and infrastructure to climate change impacts" (Paragraph 153).	Noted Strategic Objective 1: Climate Change includes promotion of low carbon and renewable energy
4202	The Society of Merchant Venturers [693]	Savills (Lynette Swinburne, Associate Director) [520]	 Q1- Suggest some additional elements that should be added to the vision suggested through Option A such as: addressing need the overall for additional housing provision or growth in general. to ensure new housing is sustainably located close to the main settlements, ensuring people have affordable housing in accessible areas, close to market centres and employment hubs enhance the County's green infrastructure and biodiversity provision, alongside the need to create open spaces to support health and wellbeing are supported. The vision should be updated to reflect the latest Government objectives in regard to growth, energy and home ownership and, in particular, incorporate flexibility in its	Noted. The Future Rutland Vision has been used as a framework for the development of a short, strong and targeted vision specifically for the Local Plan Covered by Strategic Objective 7 and 8 and 9



			wording and objectives, to enable the vision to respond appropriately to any future updates to national policy. Q2- The 12 proposed strategic objectives identified for consideration (as set out on p10-11 of the Issues and Options consultation document) are generally supported and considered to broadly reflect the local needs and characteristics of the County, as well as the overarching economic, social and environmental objectives of the planning system (NPPF paragraph 8). Strategic Objectives 2, 3 and 5 seek to 'deliver sustainable development', in addition to growth around the market towns, the objective also recognises the importance of seeking to sustain a network of larger villages that serve local needs; and enabling the proportionate viability and sustainability of smaller villages and countryside. This approach is generally supported, however, it is noted that further analysis of the extent of additional housing and growth required through the Local Plan is necessary to determine whether additional housing sites are required to meet the Council's housing requirements.	Noted Objectives reflect the vision and are based on issues arising from the Local Plan evidence base.
4167	De Merke Estates [589]	Barton Willmore, now Stantec (Seth Tyler, Graduate Planner) [1141]	The Objectives should: • Consider sustainable location for large scale residential-led development on the edge of key settlements especially in Oakham/Barleythorpe (as the Principal settlement) to deliver the variety of housing required; • Consider early and proactive engagement with the local community through Local Plan Consultation Process;	Noted Objectives reflect the vision and are based on issues arising from the Local Plan evidence base.



		 Consider the Duty to Cooperate and how it can help meet the needs of housing for the wider HMA through the delivery of a minimum of 190 dpa; Prioritise the allocation of sites that can deliver new homes in sustainable locations, provide positive impacts for wildlife, biodiversity and new infrastructure to support the community; Allow Sites to increase density to make the best use of the land; and The Council should also consider improving its own integrated network of sustainable and accessible transport options before looking at collaborate with other neighbouring Local Planning Authorities. 	
4162 The Burley Estate [691]	Savills (Lynette Swinburne, Associate Director) [520]	The 12 proposed strategic objectives identified for consideration (as set out on p10-11 of the Issues and Options consultation document) are generally supported and considered to broadly reflect the local needs and characteristics of the County, as well as the overarching economic, social and environmental objectives of the planning system (NPPF paragraph 8). It is important that the Emerging Local Plan recognises the significant impact that the leisure and tourism economy can make to the area, particularly when this is undertaken in a way that complements and enhances existing assets. Whilst Strategic Objective 4 refers to business investment and job creation, it may be appropriate to ensure that all businesses are supported across a range of sectors, including	Noted Objectives reflect the vision and are based on issues arising from the Local Plan evidence base. Up to date evidence on the economy and the role of the visitor economy has been prepared to support the policies in this plan. Noted Strategic objective 4: A prosperous and resilient local economy



	T T		T
		Similarly, there may be scope to ensure that the opportunities that are offered through new leisure and tourism development are explicitly supported within Strategic Objective 8 and Strategic Objective 9.	
4134	Silver Fox Developments (John Edmond) [1138]	The intention to deliver sustainable development in Objective 2 is welcomed we consider the wording of this objective could imply that the Council will not meet, in full, its housing need and may instead seek to restrict growth to a level the Council deems appropriate.	Noted Objectives reflect the vision and are based on issues arising from the Local Plan evidence base.
		Government housing need of 142 represents only the starting point for identifying need. It may be appropriate to seek higher levels of delivery, particularly if the Council is to make a meaningful attempt to address the aspiration set out in the Future Rutland Vision to ensure fair access to affordable and sustainable housing given that affordable housing delivery in the County has, in recent years been insufficient to address local identified need.	The Local Plan intends to meet the housing need requirement determined using the Government standard methodology. Strategic Objective 3: Meeting housing needs clearly states this metric alongside the objective
		Given that part of this objective seems to be repeated in Objective 3 which seeks to meet 'Rutland's identified current and future diverse housing needs, including the affordability of housing, through the provision of high-quality new homes'.	
		We suggest that Objective 2 is amended to read 'Delivering sustainable development by determining an appropriate level and location of development in Rutland, sited in locations where people can access jobs and services, and in delivering wider social and economic outcomes, taking account of environmental considerations'.	Strategic Objective 2: Delivering Sustainable Development Has been rewritten to reflect comments and evidence base. It uses some of the phraseology suggested in this response.



4118	Avant Homes	Mr Alasdair	The intention to deliver sustainable development in Objective	Noted
1110	[1131]	Thorne [562]	2 is welcomed we consider the wording of this objective could imply that the Council will not meet, in full, its housing need and may instead seek to restrict growth to a level the Council deems appropriate.	Objectives reflect the vision and are based on issues arising from the Local Plan evidence base.
			Government housing need of 142 represents only the starting point for identifying need. It may be appropriate to seek higher levels of delivery, particularly if the Council is to make a meaningful attempt to address the aspiration set out in the Future Rutland Vision to ensure fair access to affordable and sustainable housing given that affordable housing delivery in the County has, in recent years been insufficient to address local identified need.	The Local Plan intends to meet the housing need requirement determined using the Government standard methodology. Strategic Objective 3: Meeting housing needs clearly states this metric alongside the objective
			Given that part of this objective seems to be repeated in Objective 3 which seeks to meet 'Rutland's identified current and future diverse housing needs, including the affordability of housing, through the provision of high-quality new homes'.	
			We suggest that Objective 2 is amended to read 'Delivering sustainable development by determining an appropriate level and location of development in Rutland, sited in locations where people can access jobs and services, and in delivering wider social and economic outcomes, taking account of environmental considerations'.	Strategic Objective 2: Delivering Sustainable Development Has been rewritten to reflect comments and evidence base. It uses some of the phraseology suggested in this response.
4108	MR PJSR HILL AND PIKERACE LIMITED [1130]	Andrew Granger & Co (Stephen Mair, Planning Consultant) [483]	We would agree that the objectives contained within the Issues and Options document appropriate for continuation within the preparation of the new Local Plan. However, this is subject to any necessary amendments that may be required to the Objectives once the proposed strategy, to address the specific issues to be considered within the Plan Review, has been finalised.	Noted Objectives reflect the vision and are based on issues arising from the Local Plan evidence base.



4055	Callana	The confidence of Obstacles of Audolfs and the Confidence of the	Marian
4055	Cottesmore	The wording of Objective 5 (relating to the Spatial Strategy) is	Noted
	Parish Council	somewhat clumsy. Also need to reflect the importance of	Objectives reflect the vision and are based
	(Parish Council	enhanced protection for farmland in view of the need to	on issues arising from the Local Plan
	Representative)	become more self-sufficient in food production nationally. In	evidence base.
	[410]	particular unnecessary development that could go elsewhere should be resisted.	
		Should be resisted.	National guidance recognises that minerals
		Also given the size of Rutland, the strategic policy 11 (relating	are essential to support sustainable
		to ensuring a steady and adequate supply of minerals) should	economic growth and our quality of life. It is
		be reformed around meeting primarily Rutland's needs with	therefore important that there is a
		some contribution to sub-regional requirements.	sufficient supply of material to provide the
			infrastructure, buildings, energy and goods
			that the country needs. Mineral resources
			within Rutland are of local and national
			importance and include limestone, clay and
			river terrace sand and gravel.
			The Local Aggregate Assessment (LAA) is
			essentially a technical and factual
			document. It includes the annual
			monitoring and reporting of : the current
			reserve and supply position, provision rate
			(where relevant) and landbanks. Any
			proposal for mineral extraction must take
			this information into account, as do
			planning officers when determining an
			application.
4007	John Dejardin	Local Plan Vision – The Future Rutland Vision appears very	Noted. The Future Rutland Vision has been
	[128]	weak when addressing the climate crisis; "combatting the	used as a framework for the development
		climate crisis through the power of choice" is hard to	of a short, strong and targeted vision
		understand what it means; seems more like a political	specifically for the Local Plan.
		statement than a serious statement of intent.	The detailed objectives for combatting
			climate change are set out in <i>Strategic</i>
			Objective 1: Climate Change



3986	The Society of Merchant Venturers [693]	Savills (Julia Mountford, Planning Consultant) [735]	The next 20 years needs a stronger vision in tackling the climate crisis, a near permanently brown Rutland landscape would hardly meet other aspects of the FR Vision. Objective 3 - the term "high-quality new homes" is fairly meaningless surely we are not building poor quality new homes. In this critical 20 year period of the plan they all need to be high quality low carbon new houses or the net zero target will not be met. If the Zero target is to be met the 20 year plan has to make it clear to developers that achieving low carbon homes will mean higher costs but evidence exists that in time these will diminish as superior materials and systems become the readily available as they are in other more advanced parts of Europe. Q1- Suggest some additional elements that should be added to the vision suggested through Option A such as: • addressing need the overall for additional housing provision or growth in general. • to ensure new housing is sustainably located close to the main settlements, ensuring people have	Noted – wording of Objective 3 has been amended to reflect this comment and detailed Design Policies CC2 and SP3 are included in the plan New evidence on addressing climate change has been developed to support the development of a suite of climate change policies which are included within the Climate Change chapter. Noted. The Future Rutland Vision has been used as a framework for the development of a short, strong and targeted vision specifically for the Local Plan.
			The vision should be updated to reflect the latest Government objectives in regard to growth, energy and home ownership and, in particular, incorporate flexibility in its wording and objectives, to enable the vision to respond	The Local Plan intends to meet the housing need requirement determined using the Government standard methodology. Strategic Objective 3: Meeting housing



		appropriately to any future updates to national policy.	<i>needs</i> clearly states this metric alongside the objective.
		Q2- Strategic Objectives 2, 3 and 5 seek to 'deliver sustainable	the objective.
		development', in particular in and around the market towns	Noted
		in order to meet the demand for housing in the County. This	
		approach is generally supported, however, it is noted that	
		further analysis of the extent of additional housing and	
		growth required through the Local Plan is necessary to	
		determine whether additional housing sites are required to	
		meet the Council's housing requirements.	
		It is considered that in order for this objective to be met,	
		growth should also be directed to allocations located in	
		sustainable and accessible locations.	
3949	Clipsham Parish	1. Ref Objectives 5&9: There is a need to specifically reflect	Noted. Objectives should reflect the vision
	Meeting (Clifford	the importance of enhanced protection for farmland in view	and be based on issues arising from the
	Bacon) [110]	of the need to become more self-sufficient in food production	evidence base. Technical evidence on
		locally and nationally. Inappropriate development that could	appropriate locations and policy
		be provided elsewhere should be resisted. The use of	requirements for new renewable energy
		farmland for solar projects should be the subject of an urgent	generations schemes in the County forms
		Supplementary Planning Guidance such as was produced at	part of the evidence base for the Plan.
		short notice for windfarm development in November 2012.	Policy CC8 provides the detailed guidance
			to determine applications for renewable
		2. Def Objective 11. Chevild be referred towards receting	energy schemes.
		2. Ref Objective 11: Should be reformed towards meeting	National guidance recognises that minerals
		primarily Rutland's needs with limitations on our contribution to sub-regional requirements. Local Aggregate Assessments	are essential to support sustainable economic growth and our quality of life. It is
		must be enforced in practice.	therefore important that there is a
		must be emoreed in practice.	sufficient supply of material to provide the
		3. There needs to be more emphasis on reducing the causes	infrastructure, buildings, energy and goods
		of climate change and therefore preventing any increase in	that the country needs. Mineral resources
		commuting traffic.	within Rutland are of local and national



			importance and include limestone, clay and river terrace sand and gravel. The Local Aggregate Assessment (LAA) is essentially a technical and factual document. It includes the annual monitoring and reporting of: the current reserve and supply position, provision rate (where relevant) and landbanks. Any proposal for mineral extraction must take this information into account, as do planning officers when determining an application.
3914	Anglian Water (Darl Sweetland, Spatial Planning Manager) [234]	Anglian Water considers that the new Local Plan should quantify the carbon reduction which the Council is seeking and prioritise those options which reduce capital and operational carbon Anglian Water considers that in planning the spatial distribution and quantum of growth, utilising existing infrastructure capacity development will be less costly for developers and buyers of new homes and commercial property. Development which requires new infrastructure will incur additional costs from utility companies as well as increasing carbon generated by that ill planned growth. Reducing infrastructure costs also enables limited funding to be used on other objectives including more stretching environmental gains. Similarly through using existing visitor and recreation infrastructure to support tourism business and employment we can reduce the operational carbon associated with visitors and tourism.	New evidence on addressing climate change has been developed to support the development of a suite of climate change policies which are included within the Climate Change chapter.
3857	Ryhall Parish Council (Parish	Yes we agree that viability is important however this must not out way the need for smaller affordable dwellings.	Comments noted.



	Council Representative) [435]	CIL payments should be applied as these help to support the community that is affected. Identity of villages are important and clear definition between settlements are necessary	A % of CIL payments received by the Council is passed on to the parish council for spend within the local community (15% for parishes without a made neighbourhood plan and 25% for those with a neighbourhood plan)
3823	Sally Renner [1124]	Could be an objective to support, maintain and ensure an adequate supply of food through farming- why are minerals given such a big focus, when we are a rural county with many farms. At least farming/food production could come into other objectives	Strategic objective 4: A prosperous and resilient local economy includes food security and Draft policy EN6 Protecting Agricultural Land addresses this issue
3812	Ketton Darby & Joan Club (Ruth Renner) [1122]	15 years is more realistic.	Noted, however as the plan is unlikely to be adopted until 2025 the plan period has been set to 2041
3811	Ketton Darby & Joan Club (Ruth Renner) [1122]	12 objectives/issues are rather alot. Perhaps a focus should be placed on fewer	The number of Issues reflect the key matters which the Local Plan will need to address.
3756	Historic England (Emilie Carr) [219]	The vision proposed does not reference heritage assets and their settings. Rutland has a particular wealth of heritage assets and to illustrate their importance and value, reflecting the aims of the NPPF, they should be referenced within the vision. Historic England would be very happy to advise on wording. Strategic Objective 9 is welcomed. Reference to 'heritage assets and their settings' should be included to reflect NPPF wording. It may be clearer to include a specific heritage assets objective. Again, Historic England would be very happy to assist with wording.	Agree reference to heritage assets has been included within the vision and objectives 5 and 8



	T T		T
3719	Tim Allen [521]	Objective 2 and 5 could be considered to conflict with each	Noted. Objectives should reflect the vision
		other as suggesting that housing growth should be in	and be based on issues arising from the
		locations that are accessible to jobs may be interpreted to	evidence base.
		preclude the villages, where there are few jobs.	
		Nevertheless, some of the villages are highly accessible,	
		sitting on strategic corridors and with high quality bus and	
		active mode connections from them to the larger centres and	
		employment areas. At the same time, as Strategic Objective	
		5 identifies, it is critically important to ensure that village	
		settlements of all sizes are considered in terms of their	
		viability and that growth is properly planned for them to	
		counter-act tendencies towards economic decline. The loss	
		of amenities in villages (schools, shops and community	
		activities) acts to seriously impact the overall sustainability of	
		these communities, and it is vital that the Local Plan for	
		Rutland - a highly rural district, purposely identifies the need	
		for planned growth in the villages.	Strategic Objective 2: Delivering
		We consider that Strategic Objective 2 should be amended to	Sustainable Development
		make clear that there is always a balance to be struck	Has been rewritten to reflect comments
		between supporting and enhancing local sustainability and	and evidence base. It uses some of the
		economic connections to employment in a rural district. We	phraseology suggested in this response.
		would suggest that it reads:	
		Delivering sustainable development by determining an	
		appropriate level and location of housing growth in Rutland,	
		sited in locations that support sustainability in local	
		communities, especially the villages, and where there are	
		good public transport and active mode connections to jobs	
		and services, and in delivering wider social and economic	
		outcomes, taking account of environmental considerations	
		With regard to Strategic Objective 9, Plan should take a more	
		proactive approach to ensuring that, where development is	
	1	The state of the s	<u>l</u>



		permitted, it plays its part in securing this objective. This might mean making appropriate contributions to the protection and enhancement of these assets, but could also encompass schemes for enabling development that are specifically devised to secure valuable assets in perpetuity. Such development proposals will need to be sympathetically designed and reflect the setting of the assets that they seek to secure, but should be considered positively where they would secure an asset that would otherwise be likely to be in increasing danger. If such proposals can provide a viable future for endangered cultural assets in perpetuity, then they should be considered as providing a sustainable outcome for the purposes of the Plan. We would suggest that the Strategic Objective should better reflect this pro-active approach by reading as follows: Protecting and enhancing Rutland's varied and high-quality environment, including its natural landscapes, green infrastructure and biodiversity, as well as its rich historic built environment and cultural assets and seek proactive and creative approaches to securing endangered assets in perpetuity	Strategic objective 8: Protect and enhance the built and natural environment. Has been rewritten to reflect comments and evidence base. It uses some of the phraseology suggested in this response.
3685	Severn Trent (Chris Bramley) [230]	Supportive of general principles. Climate change is anticipated to have an impact on the availability of water, alongside increasing demand due to population growth, we would therefore recommend that Strategic objective 1 also highlights water sustainability / efficiency. Section 2.3 Priority and Development Viability,	Agree inclusion of water sustainability and efficiency is included in <i>Strategic objective</i> 9: Make effective use of land and natural resources and in policy CC6 Water Efficiency and Sustainable Water Management



		Severn Trent would recommend that Water Efficiency and	
		SuDS are highlighted, as both elements could result in some	
		additional costs, Water Efficient Technology is often energy	
		Efficient potentially mitigating the impacts of climate change	
		in Multiple ways. SuDS however have a land take element,	
		especially if added as an afterthought but this can be partly	
		mitigated by incorporating it to the site layout form the	
		beginning adding green blue corridors that provide the	
		biodiversity benefits and flood resilient.	
3649	Ms Janet Taylor	Are they objectives, or simply a generic list of rather woolly	Noted
	[1109]	phrases that tick all the sound-good boxes, and could be	
		applied to anywhere. And if they are in any order then item	
		12 should be item 1 – we cannot have any more development	
		until we have infrastructure, and that must come before any	
		other works are done.	
3528	Barrowden Parish	Objectives 2 and 5 are too general in that they could result in	Noted
	Council (Mr	a very wide range of outcomes based on the decision-maker's	Objectives have been revised to reflect the
	Gordon Brown,	point of view. How accessible a settlement might be or how it	vision, consultation responses and issues
	Chairman) [1103]	might be sustainable. This needs a lot more detail.	arising from the evidence base.
3494	PDR Planning	Question 1: The Local Plan vision involves looking ahead to	Agreed the timeframe has been added into
	Limited (Mr Philip	what Rutland will look like in 15-20 years' time so it should	the vision
	Rawle, Director)	reflect the Plan period, and what you want to achieve and	
	[627]	thinking about different ways of approaching it.	
		The vision should be based on a sound understanding of the	
		form and function of the Plan area, and can draw upon	
		various sources including past Plans, sustainability work being	
		undertaken alongside the Plan and stakeholder involvement,	
		(including, the Future Rutland Vision work).	
		The vision should be aspirational but realistic, locally	
		distinctive, and spatial in planning terms. In addition, the	
		NPPF requires (paragraphs 24-27) that local planning	



			authorities are under the duty to cooperate with each other, and with other prescribed bodies, on strategic matters that cross administrative boundaries.	
			Question 2: Greenlight suggests Strategic Objective 5 be split in two, so that the market towns and the villages are treated separately. The detail for how villages should be treated is set out in our response to Question 3 (below). Question 3: Further to our response to Question 2, Greenlight suggests the reinstatement of Strategic Objective 3 'Diverse and thriving villages', as set out in the Local Plan Review Consultation Draft (July 2017), which states: "To develop diverse and thriving villages by encouraging	Noted, however this has not been included as an additional objective. Objective 2 has been re-written to provide a definition and objective for achieving sustainable development
			sustainable development where it supports the role of the larger villages as 'service hubs' for the smaller villages and meets local needs in the smaller villages to maintain and improve their vitality and viability."	
3465	The Burley Estate [691]	Savills (Lynette Swinburne, Associate Director) [520]	The 12 proposed strategic objectives identified for consideration (as set out on p10-11 of the Issues and Options consultation document) are generally supported and considered to broadly reflect the local needs and characteristics of the County, as well as the overarching economic, social and environmental objectives of the planning system (NPPF paragraph 8).	Noted Objectives reflect the vision and are based on issues arising from the Local Plan evidence base.
			It is important that the Emerging Local Plan recognises the significant impact that the leisure and tourism economy can make to the area, particularly when this is undertaken in a way that complements and enhances existing assets.	Up to date evidence on the economy and the role of the visitor economy has been prepared to support the policies in this plan.



			Τ .
		Whilst Strategic Objective 4 refers to business investment and	Noted
		job creation, it may be appropriate to ensure that all	Strategic objective 4:
		businesses are supported across a range of sectors, including	A prosperous and resilient local economy
		leisure and tourism. Similarly, there may be scope to ensure	includes the visitor economy
		that the opportunities that are offered through now leisure	
		and tourism development are explicitly supported within	
		Strategic Objective 8 and Strategic Objective 9.	
3462	Mrs Pam Allen	The majority of the vision and objectives you have outlined	Concern noted – however these matters
	[1085]	cover(s) development of property. The plan should cover	are covered by objectives 8 & 9 and were
		environmental objectives and strategies, enhancing wildlife	included under issues 9 and 10
		and improving the environment and heritage of the county.	
3387	Mr Adam Cade	Objective 1 should include biodiversity as it is so closely	Agreed
	[1078]	related to climate change as both a problem and solution.	
3348	Empingham	12 strategic objectives is far too many, as set out it is all apple	Noted. Purpose of setting objectives is to
	Parish Council	pie and motherhood, a wish list.	provide aspirations for achieving.
	(Mrs Rowan		
	Scholtz, Parish	Objectives 2, 3 and 9 and 12 should be prioritized as they deal	Prioritisation noted – the objectives
	Council	with our rural environment, truly affordable housing, and	provided are however not listed in any
	Representative)	essential infrastructure.	order of priority as they are all considered
	[413]		necessary to deliver sustainable
			development
3248	Edith Weston	While there are too many objectives in our view here are	Noted – the Future Rutland Vision does
	Parish Council	Edith Weston Parish council additional comments:	seek to capture this
	(Parish Council		
	Representative)	The vision should concentrate on the very essence of what	
	[411]	Rutland is and should focus on ensuring the rural nature of	
		the county, its two market towns and village communities are	
		maintained and enhanced to ensure the continued.	
		Objective 1 is important and as part of that minimisation of	Noted. Objectives should reflect the vision
		travel to places of employment and other facilities is key.	and be based on issues arising from the
		Large scale development outside the main employment areas	evidence base.
		of Oakham and Uppingham would be inappropriate.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		



Objective 2 refers to the appropriate level of development and location. It is important that the level and location of development is set at levels that reflect and are sympathetic to the surrounding environment and existing communities.

Objective 3 should reflect only the level of development required specifically for Rutland and not include unnecessary additional housing numbers.

Objective 5 is supported as it emphasises the need for the two market towns to be the places for economic and cultural activity while sustaining the larger villages and ensuring the viability of the smaller villages. Therefore, any proposed large scale developments outside or not adjoined with the two market towns would totally conflict with this objective.

Objective 7 correctly refers to "...design that reflects local character, contributes to local distinctiveness..."

Objective 9 provides for the protection of the county's high quality environment, its natural landscape and historic environment which is positive.

Objective 10 should put emphasis on the "prudent" use of previously developed land. It should also refer to minimising the impact on adjoining or nearby communities and/or landscapes.

Objective 11 is important as the county has reserves of nationally important minerals. These reserves should be protected, not just for the forecast use in the plan period, but beyond for the benefit of future generations. In considering

The Local Plan intends to meet the housing need requirement for Rutland determined using the Government standard methodology. *Strategic Objective 3: Meeting housing needs* clearly states this metric alongside the objective.



_	T		
		this even current unviable reserves should be protected as	
		they will become viable in the future.	
3018	Mr Malcolm Touchin [1038]	The Strategic Objectives are very limited in scope, and seem to be focused almost entirely on new housing developments and directly related issues (although Issue 9 recognises that measures to preserve some existing buildings would not be excluded from the plan). There should also be objectives covering:	Noted – many of these are covered in other Issues however the rewording of objectives have given scope to include some of these points
		Need to ensure compatibility with developments in adjoining authorities, especially for transport, health and education needs, as there will inevitably be significant influences across the county's borders. This is also relevant to employment opportunities (Issue 4).	This matter is covered through the plan making process by the Duty to Co-operate.
		A suitably balanced approach to land-use should be a key feature of the plan, to ensure we set aside enough for housing, business/industry/retail, agriculture, energy generation, leisure/tourism, etc There should also be consideration of longer-term goals (as noted at paras 2.4.3 and 2.4.4) – how long do we keep adding more houses, etc.? Is there a limit somewhere which should be set out in policy, i.e. an overall planned limit of development for the county (Q4, Issue 2a, Issue 6), as new development clearly cannot be sustained indefinitely.	
		There should be an objective around development of renewable energy supply and storage facilities so that adequate diversity of supply can be maintained. There will be a range of options for solar panels - should be fitted on all industrial roofs, for instance - , wind turbines, battery storage, etc., on which many residents will have valid views, and which should inform policy in this area.	



		What about maintaining/enhancing support and services for existing homes as well as for new ones (broadband, electric car charging, energy use, e.g.) (Q7)? Any enhancements to infrastructure provision must ensure that the infrastructure as a whole supports all of the community, not just new developments (Issue 12).	
		There should be an explicit statement about ensuring that the scale of new development and related infrastructure (schools, health, transport, etc.) is coherent with anticipated demographics (Issue 2a, Issue 12), and with ongoing changes in business and working practices, retail behaviour and leisure needs. Does RCC have any intention to influence those demographics by, for instance, encouraging particular types of employment/businesses, the creation of more specific attractions or assets for the county, or promoting much more genuinely affordable housing (beyond current government formulae) (Issue 3, Issue 4, Issue 6, Q37, Q38, Issue 10)?	
2938	Mr Brian Grady	There is a need for a detailed vision on the establishment of	Noted
	[1052]	renewable energy installations. There is a potential for such structures to change the whole rural character of the County.	
		There is also a need to identify the type and location of sites which might be suitable for such development in a similar way to those sites for housing and industrial development. Also there is a need to establish what total output of energy would be needed to sustain the future needs of Rutland.	Technical evidence on appropriate locations and policy requirements for new renewable energy generations schemes in the County forms part of the evidence base for the Plan. Policy CC8 provides the detailed guidance to determine applications for renewable energy schemes.
2921	Mrs Laura Gray	New housing should be near the existing towns of Oakham	Comment noted- relates to the spatial
	[1050]	and Uppingham.	strategy



2901	Mrs Janie		More specifics on services such as Health, GP practices	Noted – the draft plan is supported by a
	Johnson-			draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP)
	Crossfield [1049]		More specifics needed on new housing stock green	which identifies the baseline for
	. ,		credentials e.g solar panels, heat pump etc	infrastructure capacity and what might
				need to be improved to meet the overall
				growth proposed. A detailed IDP will be
				prepared to support he preparation of the
				Submission version of the plan which will
				include specific improvements schemes and
				costings.
2876	Mr Simon		We should have the option to 1) change the order of the	The objectives are not listed in any order of
	Frearson [1047]		objectives set and 2) totally disagree with certain objectives if	priority as they are all considered necessary
			considered irrelevant.	to deliver sustainable development.
			The order and priorities are wrong	
2822	Defence	Montagu	Question 1 – Local Plan Vision	Noted. The Future Rutland Vision has been
	Infrastructure	Evans LLP	Future Rutland Vision should be used as the basis of	used as a framework for the development
	Organisation	(Miss Lauren	preparing the new Local Plan, as the vision reflects the	of a short, strong and targeted vision
	(DIO) [1042]	Hawksworth,	matters which are important to the local community.	specifically for the Local Plan.
		Associate)	However, the Local Plan should be clear about the vision for	
		[1041]	the identified plan period, in order to give the community	
			certainty over how development will come forward and the	
			timing of associated infrastructure that will be needed.	
			Question 2 and 3 – Local Plan Strategic Objectives	Support noted
			The Council's proposed Strategic Objectives have been	Support noted
			drafted to help achieve the proposed vision for Rutland.	
			The DIO are supportive of the Council's approach to the new	
			Local Plan objectives.	
2815	CPRE Rutland (Mr		Missing are the need to host sources of sustainable energy	Noted
	Ron Simpson,		e.g nuclear, solar and wind.	Technical evidence on appropriate locations
	Chair) [1036]			and policy requirements for new renewable
	, []		Also the need to support sustainable vehicular transport	energy generations schemes in the County



2781	North Luffenham Neighbourhood Planning Group (Tim smith) [265]		based on motor vehicles e.g. electric cars and community buses. Also the need to support a county based Rutland Community Bus Company which would incorporate call and collect as well as link between villages and the two market towns. 12 strategic objectives are far too many and, as set out, just a wish list. Objectives 2, 3 9 and 12 should be prioritized as they deal with our rural environment, truly affordable housing, and essential infrastructure.	forms part of the evidence base for the Plan. Policy CC8 provides the detailed guidance to determine applications for renewable energy schemes. Noted
2736	Jeakins Weir Ltd [1037]	Mr Alasdair Thorne [562]	The intention to deliver sustainable development in Objective 2 is welcomed we consider the wording of this objective could imply that the Council will not meet, in full, its housing need and may instead seek to restrict growth to a level the Council deems appropriate. Government housing need of 142 represents only the starting	Noted Objectives reflect the vision and are based on issues arising from the Local Plan evidence base. The Local Plan intends to meet the housing
			point for identifying need. It may be appropriate to seek higher levels of delivery, particularly if the Council is to make a meaningful attempt to address the aspiration set out in the Future Rutland Vision to ensure fair access to affordable and sustainable housing given that affordable housing delivery in the County has, in recent years been insufficient to address local identified need.	need requirement determined using the Government standard methodology. Strategic Objective 3: Meeting housing needs clearly states this metric alongside the objective
			Given that part of this objective seems to be repeated in Objective 3 which seeks to meet 'Rutland's identified current and future diverse housing needs, including the affordability of housing, through the provision of high-quality new homes'.	
			We suggest that Objective 2 is amended to read 'Delivering sustainable development by determining an appropriate level and location of development in Rutland,	Strategic Objective 2: Delivering Sustainable Development



	Т	T	T
		sited in locations where people can access jobs and services,	Has been rewritten to reflect comments
		and in delivering wider social and economic outcomes, taking	and evidence base. It uses some of the
		account of environmental considerations'.	phraseology suggested in this response.
2724	Braunston Parish	The above are not objectives, but a list of sensible criteria	Noted metrics have been added to the
	Council (Mrs	against which objectives would be met. Desired outcomes still	objectives to enable monitoring of
	Carole Brown,	need to be set.	deliverables.
	Parish Clerk)		
	[1003]	The plan should set local objectives for increasing local	This will be covered through the Councils
		industry supported by local infrastructure this would develop	Economic Strategy 2023 and subsequent
		in parallel with rebuilding local agriculture rather than re-	Action Plans for the Economy
		wilding; this would form and employment plan, driving the	
		need for any growth in housing with the aim of bringing	
		economic benefit to Rutland	
2635	Mr Jamie Weir	Encourage local spend	This is not something which the Local Plan
	[1030]		can deliver
2617	Define (on behalf	Vision (Additional comments regarding Question 1):	Noted. The Future Rutland Vision has been
	of William Davis		used as a framework for the development
	Homes) (Mr Sam	Future Rutland Vision document presents a high-level outlook	of a short, strong and targeted vision
	Perkins, Graduate	for the area and it is important that Rutland County Council	specifically for the Local Plan.
	Planner) [1027]	(RCC) considers how it can realise that vision by developing an	
		appropriate spatial strategy.	
		In particular, the Future Rutland Vision document sets out	
		that "growth in Rutland will be sustainable and preserve the	
		county's quintessential character" and that new homes "will	
		be built in a way that protects and enhances the things that	
		matter most to everyone – Rutland's sense of community and	
		its unique rural identity." Clearly the Local Plan will need to	
		consider how its spatial strategy can achieve that, based on	
		an evidence base that considers all key factors in the round.	
			Support noted. Objectives should reflect
		Objectives (Additional comments regarding Question 2):	the vision and be based on issues arising
			from the evidence base.
		WDH support the scope of the proposed objectives for the	



Local Plan Review (LPR), and in particular the inclusion of strategic objectives that recognise the requirement to meet Rutland's current and future housing needs through the provision of new homes.

Focusing appropriate growth to the County's settlements is key to sustaining the vitality of settlements and the services and facilities therein in accordance with paragraph 79 of the NPPF, which states that planning policies "should identify opportunities for villages to grow and thrive, especially where this will support local services."

Moreover, the Local Plan must recognise how services and facilities operate in a collective manner in rural areas, with networks of settlements effectively coming together to meet the daily needs of the residents of all settlements. The LPR should consider how suitably located residential growth can enhance this and should identify residential development sites accordingly.

Viability:

It is noted that paragraph 2.3.1 states that, "there is a risk if expectations and policy requirements are too high, that development may not be viable." It should be clarified, however, that development will certainly not be viable if policy requirements are too high, and in that regard it is critical that RCC carry out a comprehensive policy requirement that considers the cumulative impact of all proposed policy requirements in the Plan to ensure that its policies and proposed allocations are all deliverable in accordance with NPPF paragraph 35c.

A draft whole Plan Viability report has been prepared which considers the cumulative impact of policy requirements on development within Rutland.



2528	Digoon	Carter Jonas	Question 1 Local Plan Vision:	Agreed the Vision has been revised to
2528	Pigeon Investment	(Ms		Agreed – the Vision has been revised to make reference to these matters.
		,	It is suggested that the Local Plan Vision should include	make reference to these matters.
	Management Ltd	Kimberley	references to the following: the relevant plan period; the	
	[1022]	Brown,	delivery of all three objectives of sustainable development;	
		Associate	meeting development needs for housing, employment and	
		Partner)	other uses; areas to be protected from development;	
		[601]	locations that will be the main focus for growth; the strategy	
			for Oakham and Uppingham; the strategy for other types of	
			settlement in the hierarchy; and, community aspirations.	
			Question 2 Local Plan Strategic Objectives: The proposed	Support noted
			strategic objectives are supported, and they are generally	
			consistent with national policy contained in the National	
			Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). However, if the strategic	
			objectives are to be delivered it will be necessary for the	
			emerging Rutland Local Plan (RLP) to meet identified housing	
			needs in full, and to allocate sites to meet that need in	
			suitable locations where there are no constraints, or any	
			constraints can be addressed through mitigation measures.	
			Pigeon is promoting land off Burley Road in Oakham for	
			residential development.	
			No additional atratagic abicativas are moded	
2474	N.A.: :		No additional strategic objectives are needed.	Noted
2474	Mr james youatt		needs to have more emphasis on affordable housing	Noted
2450	[593]		provision with mixed tenure	Noted
2459	Francis Jackson		Given the Council's 4.1 year housing land supply position, it	Noted
	Homes Ltd (Mr		should be acknowledged that housing is needed in the	
	Paul Johnson,		immediate term to address this point - as such, the Strategic	
	Land and		Objectives need to acknowledge that housing is needed	
	Planning Director)		across the plan period (including right at the start of it - or	
	[761]		before), and larger sites which will take longer to come on	
			stream need to be complemented with smaller and/or more	



	T T		T
		immediately deliverable sites in the short term to meet local	
		housing needs.	
2456	Mr Harold	These 'Local Plan Strategic Objectives' are so vague as to be	Noted
	Dermott [1001]	meaningless and are designed so that the obvious answer is	
		Agree.	
		Who would not want to live in this amazing sounding Utopia	
		that RCC is creating for us all? Except it won't be delivered.	
		They are also intended to enable carrying forward the	
		majority of policies from the previous Local Plan - again. The	
		reality is different.	
		Take Objective 1, where RCC "will (ensure) new development	Noted, the new Local Plan presents an
		takes a proactive approach to carbon reduction". Really?	opportunity to deliver Carbon net zero and
		Despite the highly selective summary shown, Fig 6.2 in the SA	adapt to the impacts of climate change. See
		document, shows that, apart from a few brief months in	policies included in the Climate Change
		2012, between 2005 and 2018 Ruland produced more CO2	chapter (CC1- CC11)
		per sq km than both the East Midlands region and the entire	chapter (der derr)
		UK. RUTLAND IS A MAJOR EMITTER OF CO2 and this data	
		clearly shows it is not getting any better. Major action is	
		needed in this new Local Plan, not just another	
		rearrangement of the deckchairs on the Titanic.	
		RCC's inadequate Climate Change strategy - which is a proven	
		failure - runs through all the Objectives and requires RCC to	
		raise its game. This required improvement is in many cases	
		supported by the NPPF 2021.	
		Objective 3 should require ALL homes to be carbon neutral	
		from the start of this plan in 2025 and this would be	
		supported by Paras 152 and 107 of NPPF 2021. (Note: The	
		Heat & Buildings Strategy, part of the Net Zero Strategy	
		referenced in the SA, sets standards for new build homes that	
		natural gas boilers are unlikely to meet from 2025, at the time	
		of issue of this Local Plan. All natural gas boilers will be	
		banned in new build homes from 2035, well within the life of	



		ı		
			this Local Plan. What are RCC doing in this Local Plan to	
			support the transfer to all-electric new home builds?) A	
			modern home can be made virtually carbon neutral	
			immediately by requiring the fitment of an ADEQUATE	
			number of solar panels to the roof of each and every new	
			home, and is supported by Paras 152 to 158 of NPPF 2021.	
			Note particularly 154(b), the 'orientation' of new homes, to	
			maximise the efficiency of any solar panels.	
2435	Uppingham Town		There should be an additional Strategic Objective for RCC to	Not necessary, it is a statutory requirement
	Council (Parish		actively promote Neighbourhood Planning and to guarantee	for the council to support all parishes in
	Council		to allow those communities that wish to allocate sites for	preparation of a neighbourhood plan, this
	Representative)		development to do so through appropriate Neighbourhood	includes allowing communities to make
	[445]		Plans.	allocations for development should they
				wish to do so. RCC has a proven track
				record of supporting this process.
2414	Muller Property	Harris Lamb	We are in general agreement with the strategic objectives	Support noted
	Group [1012]	(Miss Josie	that have been set out particularly Strategic Objective 2 that	
		Hobbs,	seeks to deliver sustainable development by determining an	
		Planner)	appropriate level and location of housing growth in Rutland	
		[1010]	and Strategic Objective 3 which seeks to meet the identified	
			current and future diverse housing needs, including the	
			affordability of housing, through the provision of high quality	
			new homes.	
			We do not believe that there is any need for additional	
			strategic objectives other than the ones listed in the	
			consultation document.	
2405	Les Allen [174]		I do not agree with all the objectives. Seven out of twelve of	Comments noted.
			your objectives are about development or property. This	New evidence regarding Green and Blue
			subject disproportionately outweighs other objectives which	infrastructure, biodiversity and landscape
			have been missed.	has been prepared to support the new
			The Local Plan should have stronger environmental strategies	policy approach for inclusion in the local
			which will enhance wildlife and improve the environment. In	plan. This addresses the issues raised here



T		<u></u>
		and new policies have been included within
	• •	the Environment Chapter to address these
	·	issues.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	level of commitment from RCC with some strong measures to	
	protect and enhance what we have painstakingly tried to	
	build over the last 50 years!	
	Secondly as a county we have less trees per square kilometre	
	· ·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	
	·	
	its emission targets .	
Limes, Firs &	There should be an additional Strategic Objective for RCC to	Not necessary, it is a statutory requirement
Spurs Resident's	actively promote Neighbourhood Planning and to guarantee	for the council to support all parishes in
Association (Mr	to allow those communities that wish to allocate sites for	preparation of a neighbourhood plan, this
David Ainslie,	development to do so through appropriate Neighbourhood	includes allowing communities to make
Chairman) [1006]	Plans.	allocations for development should they
		wish to do so. RCC has a proven track
		record of supporting this process.
Mr Peter Coe	The vision should more specifically refer to the rural nature of	Noted. The Future Rutland Vision has been
[1004]	the county, it's numerous traditional villages which should be	used as a framework for the development
	protected from over development such that any future	of a short, strong and targeted vision
	development whether within the limits of permitted	specifically for the Local Plan which will
	development or adjoining is proportionate.	need to be locally distinct
Uppingham	There should be an additional Strategic Objective for RCC to	Not necessary, it is a statutory requirement
Neighbourhood	actively promote Neighbourhood Planning and to guarantee	for the council to support all parishes in
Plan Group (David	to allow those communities that wish to allocate sites for	preparation of a neighbourhood plan, this
Ainslie) [270]		includes allowing communities to make
S / [Spurs Resident's Association (Mr David Ainslie, Chairman) [1006] Mr Peter Coe 1004] Jppingham Neighbourhood Plan Group (David	Secondly as a county we have less trees per square kilometre than any other English county and yet we try to portray that we are endeavouring to reach climate change protection target? As the smallest county we should punch above our weight to show others that we champion and embrace reduced emission targets, which hopefully will persuade others follow our lead. UK Govt will surely assist us if we show our resolve as the Govt are keen to build more trees to meet its emission targets. There should be an additional Strategic Objective for RCC to actively promote Neighbourhood Planning and to guarantee to allow those communities that wish to allocate sites for development to do so through appropriate Neighbourhood Plans. Or Peter Coe The vision should more specifically refer to the rural nature of the county, it's numerous traditional villages which should be protected from over development such that any future development whether within the limits of permitted development or adjoining is proportionate. There should be an additional Strategic Objective for RCC to actively promote Neighbourhood Planning and to guarantee to allow those communities that wish to allocate sites for



		development to do so through appropriate Neighbourhood Plans.	allocations for development should they wish to do so. RCC has a proven track record of supporting this process.
2248	Mr Murdo Ross [890]	The strategic objectives are statements without any context in terms of a specific baseline and what is expected to be delivered and at what stage within the overall timescale of the plan. As statements they are difficult to disagree with. Example: If we are to have a vibrant town centres / profitable	Noted, the Local Plan should be read as whole and provides the context and justification for the policy approach being suggested.
		retail operations, we require an increase in the number of people in employment in the county itself. It follows that there must be specific steps in the plan to ensure the creation of viable employment opportunities and not simply beds for commuters. So what NEW steps are to be taken to attract business to Rutland. There are benefits of scale; is there not a case for a mixed development within the Stamford North / St George's Barracks conurbation. What steps can be taken to improve further education provision, possibly by association with Corby / Stamford and an extended role for the Rutland Agricultural Society	
2223	Severn Trent (Chris Bramley) [230]	Climate change is anticipated to have an impact on the availability of water, alongside increasing demand due to population growth, we would therefore recommend that Strategic objective 1 also highlights water sustainability / efficiency. Section 2.3 Priority and Development Viability, Severn Trent would recommend that Water Efficiency and SuDS are highlighted, as both elements could result in some additional costs, Water Efficient Technology is often energy Efficient potentially mitigating the impacts of climate change in Multiple ways. SuDS however have a land take element,	Agree inclusion of water sustainability and efficiency is included in <i>Strategic objective 9:</i> Make effective use of land and natural resources and in policy CC6 Water Efficiency and Sustainable Water Management



2129	Mr Norman Milne [996] Mrs Penelope	especially if added as an afterthought but this can be partly mitigated by incorporating it to the site layout form the beginning adding green blue corridors that provide the biodiversity benefits and flood resilient. I disagree with Strategic Objective 10. Everything should be sustainable in New Developments RCC should focus on affordable not social housing I broadly agree but Objectives 9 and 12 should be much	Noted Objectives should reflect the vision and be based on issues arising from the evidence base. Support noted, Objectives have not been
	Forbes [994]	higher priorities than this list suggests.	listed in priority order
1994	Mr David Denness [990]	I disagree with objective 4 as written. Investment and job creation should only be supported if it is fully compatible with objective 9.	Noted
		Objective 3 should be changed to 'Meeting Rutland's identified current and future diverse housing needs, including the affordability of housing, through the provision of high-quality new homes that support Objective 9.	suggested changes have been incorporated into Objective 3
		Overall there are too many objectives. I think Objective 9 should not be overridden for the achievement of other objectives.	Objectives have not been listed in priority order
1958	Mr Malcolm Forbes [988]	The underlying principles are fine but to be able to focus on that number of objectives seems far too much. Identifying and pursuing the top priority objectives is required.	Support noted, Objectives have not been listed in priority order
1937	Mr Bernard Glick [987]	12 strategic objectives is far too many and, as set out, just a wish list. Objectives 2, 3, 9 and 12 should be prioritized as they deal with our rural environment, truly affordable housing, and essential infrastructure.	Support noted, Objectives have not been listed in priority order
1848	Ms Rosemary Harris [984]	Whilst agreeing with the proposed objectives they do not mention sustainable rural development. This is just a continuation of the old Local Plan which seemed to actively	Noted Vision and objectives and proposed policies do support sustainable rural development



1818	South Luffenham Parish Council (Mr Victor Bacon, Councillor) [982] South Luffenham Parish Council (Mr Victor Bacon, Councillor) [982]	discourage such development. This goes against the Government's stated "levelling up" agenda. There are too many issues and they are not prioritise and aligned with the objectives There are too many strategic objectives, it reads as a wish list.	Noted Noted
1660	Lincolnshire County Council (Mr PHILIP HUGHES, Strategic Planning Manager) [968]	Strategic Objective 10 is very weak with respect to making provision for waste management and disposal – particularly given that Rutland currently exports most of its waste. The objective should include a commitment to seek net self-sufficiency in waste management in line with the NPPG. Just because Rutland is a small county doesn't mean it shouldn't play its part in dealing with waste.	Noted. The Waste Needs Assessment identifies a need for additional capacity/facilities in Rutland over the plan period. To address this capacity gap and facilitate self-sufficiency, the Draft Local Plan allocates X sites for waste management (X site name/s) and enables unallocated sites to come forward (where they are in line with the spatial strategy and development criteria). The approach taken in the Draft Local Plan encourages the use of existing waste infrastructure networks in Oakham, Uppingham, new garden community and the Local Service Centres and in other areas, including the countryside, redundant agricultural and forestry buildings. Development criteria for waste-related development includes facilitating the delivery of Rutland's capacity requirements.
1576	Mr Neil Robertson [846]	More priority given in planning to ensure sufficient healthcare and education infrastructure and all growth in population	Noted – covered through consideration of Issue 12



		protected by prorate increases in health and education	
		resources	
1525	Whissendine Parish Council (Parish Council Representative) [447]	Add ridge and furrow protections	Covered through heritage assets
1497	Mr Andrew Lunn [689]	12 strategic objectives is far too many. Too many objectives become a wish list and normally not achievable. Objectives 2, 3 9 and 12 should be prioritised as they deal with our rural environment, truly affordable housing, and essential infrastructure.	Comments noted, objectives have not been listed in priority order
1474	Mr Julian Barwell [962]	 Need additional GP access Need local secondary school provision for children with SEN Job opportunities for young adults Complete bypass around Oakham due to bottlenecks around the station and Brooke Road. These would create opportunities/environment for new housing 	Suggestions noted and considered as part of preparing the draft plan
1326	Mr John Redshaw [919]	Within the meaning of the objectives it is important that the potential for carbon-free energy generation is included and ideally highlighted. We are already seeing the pressure on land use by the various proposals for wind and solar farms driven by erroneous central financial policies and creation of conflicts regarding prime farming land and land availability for housing. Very clear issues exist that are not necessarily going to be objectively assessed - eg taking prime farming land for a solar farm backed by grants means additional food imports	Strategic Objective 1: Climate Change addresses this issue



		with increased carbon footprints! Is there a need for a further objective specifically targeting the route to a carbon zero future?	
1283	Mr Tony Wray [545]	Objective 5 - Consideration should be given to a more enlightened view of development in small villages. Part of making the sustainable is to ensure that there is a housing supply for young families, starter homes and/or smaller homes for downsizing. RCC has allowed the construction of large 'trophy' houses that reduce the village populations and make them ever more expensive enclaves for a tiny minority of potential owners.	Noted – the new Spatial strategy includes provision for small scale development in and adjoining smaller villages. A review of the Planned Limits of Development has been undertaken, this includes consideration of whether they remain an effective policy tool.
		The outdated Limits Of development should be reviewed as many are no longer relevant with the development and extension of property boundaries that have taken place over time. Imaginative, carbon negative, low cost, highly efficient, affordable buildings of great rural character could be encouraged as in fills and edge of development, particularly as these could be built by small local builders rather than the large house builders. Further, such properties could be covenanted to ensure any onward sales are to local families, pensioners etc as first time buyers or downsizing elderly residents.	
		Objective 7 - The same comments as above apply to the consideration of new developments. Stop allowing trophy buildings that take the place of multiple homes in small villages and encourage more innovative, carbon negative, low cost, affordable, rural housing to keep our villages vibrant with young, firs time buyers or self builders being able to add to the vitality of our ageing small villages.	



1272	Oakham Quaker Meeting (Ms Susan Bolter, Clerk) [941]	The Strategic Objectives appear to be the same as the "key issues". Maybe too many to retain focus?	Noted
1255	CLA (John Greenshields, Chartered Surveyor) [937]	Whilst the CLA is in agreement with the majority of the proposed objectives, there is a lack of attention given to sustainable rural development. Much of Rutland's rural areas have been starved of needed sustainable development. This undermines the vitality, vibrancy and opportunities within rural areas. There should be explicit support of rural development to improve the sustainability of rural areas. Such improvements will help contribute to the other objectives.	Noted this matter is covered within the Vison and in Strategic Objective 2: Delivering Sustainable Development and Strategic objective 4: A prosperous and resilient local economy
1236	Mrs Hannah Williams [925]	I would suggest alternative wording for Strategic Objectives 11 and 12. All other objectives I feel are acceptable with consideration to the competing needs of our land and local area. Objective 12 has so much content, that I feel it may be worth breaking down into individual parts - 'active travel and greener travel networks', 'infrastructure' and 'services'. These are also what most local complaints centre around - GP and Healthcare access, bus services and lack of a swimming pool - agreeing at least the objective at this point would be a worthwhile investment. Strategic Objective 11: States "ensure a steady and adequate supply of minerals to meet national, regional and local needs whilst taking account of impacts on environments and local communities." I would argue that we as a county are unable to 'ensure' something such as this, and could only 'endeavour' to best use our resources.	Comments noted



In addition, I would instead put the impact on the wider environment and local communities first. It is very easy to see examples of short-termism in our past, and therefore we should be careful and ensure sufficient scrutiny of these decisions. I would write: "Ensure proper scrutiny to safeguard and minimise impact on both environment and local communities of our obligation [or, in seeking] to provide a steady and adequate supply of minerals to meet national, regional and local needs."

In a similar vein, with Objective 12 the easier (and cheaper) options of sustainable and greener modes of travel are put second to active travel/ public transport, with less strong wording - I would like to see this reversed. I appreciate that we cannot let roads crumble to gravel, but investment in sport and active travel, for example, delivers better returns than major road projects (see https://bit.ly/3R4Ug6u) and "Every £1 spent on community sport and physical activity generates nearly £4 for the English economy and society" - https://bit.ly/3CH9sCx.

"Ensuring development is supported by essential infrastructure and services (most notably: roads, schools, health facilities and utility provision) and promotes safe movement and more sustainable modes of travel by enhancing greener travel networks for walking, cycling and public transport" should be changed to "Support safe movement for all and sustainable modes of travel by enhancing greener travel networks for walking, cycling and public transport"



1227	Jane Bateman [124]	I would then add either a 13th objective, or a second sentence: "Support development by ensuring sufficient infrastructure and services (most notable: health facilities, sports provisions, schools, utility provision and roads)." I would argue that sports and healthcare are more complex and also more likely to be left too late or given less thought till last/ too late whereas developers advocate for the road infrastructure themselves - they need this but the direct value in a healthy and thriving workforce is less apparent. Finally, as a local authority we know that investment towards health and wellbeing will produce a healthier, more independent and less 'needy' society - resulting in less cost for social care and other statutory costs which make up a significant part of Rutland's expenditure. I am a bit concerned about Strategic Objective 3 - the reference to affordability of housing through the provision of high-quality new homes. There is no mention of social housing which is far more important for local residents than affordable housing and no mention of new homes being built being energy efficient, ie	Noted – see policies in the Climate Change chapter and the Housing chapter
1120	Nick Townsend [153]	with solar panels, heat pumps, EV charges. The objectives should take account of the increasing impact of technology on our lives including the need for digital infrastructure to assist businesses and households, the importance of connectivity in accessing services, changing modes of transport based on electric vehicles, renewable energy technologies etc.	Agreed these matters are included in specific policies within the draft plan. Noted that new Building Regulations for new homes require an number of these already.
1088	Mr Michael Pearson [914]	Objectives must specifically recognise and reflect the impacts of the inevitable increasing age profile of our residents on healthcare provision, bus and rail services.	Noted. Objectives should reflect the vision and be based on issues arising from the evidence base.



1052	Mr Paul Forster	Does Strategic Objective 10 adequately cover the need to	This is covered by policy <i>CC5 Embodied</i>
	[912]	refurbish existing sites rather than demolish and rebuild,	Carbon
		which creates far more Co2 emissions.	
1049	Mr andrew	Ensure that the Planning Committee follows these Objectives.	Noted
	greasley [910]	For example, No hydrocarbon fuels in new housing stock, No	
		further destruction of grade 1 agricultural land, especially	
		wheat fields, No further housing without real choices primary	
		healthcare especially in Oakham that matches national	
		averages	
1019	Mr Peter Tippett	My main issue is infrastructure especially health and access to	Noted, Objectives have not been listed in a
	[906]	doctors and dentist within Rutland with the current property	priority order
		development plans - Objective 12 should be much higher on	
		the list of objectives to ensure that the access to Doctors and	
		dentist should be equal if not better that it was in 2010!	
		Before development starts this should be implemented and	
002	Dutland Overm	demonstrated before permission to continue is given.	
882	Rutland Quarry	None	
	Forum (David Hodson) [113]		
727	Environment	Question 1 additional comments: need to establish a	Noted. The Future Rutland Vision has been
/2/	Agency (Mrs	sustainable strategy for the scale and location of future	used as a framework for the development
	Nicola Reyman,	development. Must encapsulates the principles and	of a short, strong and targeted vision
	Planning	requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework,	specifically for the Local Plan.
	Specialist) [855]	Planning Practice Guidance and other important documents	,
	,,,,,	including the Government's 25 Year Environment Plan.	
		The vision should capture the overarching objectives of	
		sustainable development. This includes recognising the	
		importance of the water environment to achieve good place-	
		making which considers the network of rivers, streams, and	
		landforms to achieve a measurable net gain in biodiversity,	
		whilst connecting people with nature and attracting economic	
		investment. The vision should emphasise the importance of	



wildlife corridors connecting both urban and rural areas. In addition, it could refer to taking a natural capital approach to growth and infrastructure provision and protecting and enhancing natural assets, and the role of the Nature Recovery Network.

It is integral that the vision recognises the challenges faced by climate change, and how these challenges may develop over the coming years.

The vision should be ambitious, and the approach should be both sustainable and measurable

Question 3: We broadly agree with the proposed strategic objectives but recommend the following updates.

Objective 1 should be broadened to add greater emphasis to the importance of addressing climate change through appropriate mitigation and adaptation, to better reflect the aspirations of the 25 Year Environment Plan to 'take all possible action to mitigate climate change, while adapting to reduce its impact' and to 'reduce the risk of harm to people, the environment and the economy from natural hazards including flooding, drought and coastal erosion'. We support that it refers to 'carbon reduction', however this is only one aspect of climate change mitigation.

We suggest this objective could also refer to opportunities for carbon capture, including carbon sequestration and to seek opportunities to support green and blue infrastructure. Whilst we support the reference to 'managing flood risk', this could be expanded to seek to reduce flood risk. Furthermore, we consider this objective does not adequately acknowledge other factors linked to climate change. A greater emphasis

Noted comments have been taken into consideration in amending the wording of these objectives



could be made on these other factors, such as protecting and enhancing water resources, including water quality and habitat resilience.

Objective 2 focuses on delivering sustainable development by determining an appropriate level and location of housing growth in Rutland alongside the delivery of economic and social infrastructure. The reference to 'taking account of environmental considerations' should be given further consideration to take a more proactive approach to protect and enhance the natural environment. Development should not be at the detriment to the natural environment and therefore we suggest this is reworded to ensure development captures opportunities to make a positive contribution to green and blue assets. It is well documented that England has experienced a significant loss to biodiversity in recent decades and we are experiencing a biodiversity and climate crisis. The NPPF sets out that policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural local environment. This could be reworded to '...social and economic outcomes. whilst protecting and enhancing the natural environment, taking a natural capital approach'. A natural capital approach seeks to incorporate the wider social and economic benefits of the environment into decision making.

Objective 7 is important to achieve high quality places. This could be expanded to include 'climate resilient' places.

Objective 8 should emphasise the importance of providing sustainable access to rich greenspaces and blue spaces which provide a range of health and wellbeing benefits to all members of a community. It should commit to connecting people with nature, particularly enhancing areas where there



	I I	T	
		is currently limited access.	
		Objective 9 should be expanded to refer to both green and	
		blue infrastructure; healthy blue infrastructure	
		(watercourses, lakes and groundwater) contribute to the	
		integrity of the green infrastructure network and are integral	
		for biodiversity. It could also refer to a net gain in biodiversity,	
		adopting a natural capital approach and refer to Local Nature	
		Recovery Strategies. Furthermore, it could include reference	
		to the hierarchy of internationally, nationally, and locally	
		designated sites (The Framework, paragraph 179a) which all	
		contribute to the ecological network and are essential	
		components to the natural environment.	
		We welcome that objective 10 recognises the importance of	
		encouraging the effective use of previously developed land	
		and natural resources. It specifically refers to providing for	
		waste management and disposal. The reference to natural	
		resources should be expanded. For Rutland to achieve its	
		growth ambitions, development must consider the	
		environmental capacity and limitations in the area. For	
		example, we advise this objective includes water resources,	
		water quality, and flood management, alongside waste	
		management.	
		Objective 12 should be breadened to recognise the	
		Objective 12 should be broadened to recognise the	
		importance of providing people with access to green and blue infrastructure networks.	
739	Environment	Objective 10 encourages the effective and prudent use of	Noted this is included in <i>Strategic objective</i>
	Agency (Mrs	previously developed land. Whilst we support this objective,	9: Make effective use of land and natural
	Nicola Reyman,	we note that it does not mention the risk of contaminated	resources
	Planning	land in any of the issues. The Framework encourages Local	
	Specialist) [855]	Plans to enhance the natural and local environment, including	
	Specialist/[055]	Thans to enhance the natural and local environment, including	



		by 'remediating and mitigating unspoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated and unstable land, where appropriate'. The Water Framework Directive and the Groundwater Directive set out objectives for groundwater including aiming for good chemical and quantitative status; reverse upwards trends in pollution; and preventing or limiting the entry of certain substances to waterbodies. Contamination in or on land can present unacceptable risk to human health and the wider environment, including groundwater, and it may be caused by previously developed land. It is vital that the Rutland Local Plan policies ensure that where land is affected by contamination, it will not create unacceptable risk, it protects soils and water and contributes positively to reducing the impacts of and adapting to climate change	
692	Mrs Hilary Smith [868]	But these are numerous objectives and perhaps should be reduced Nos 2,3 9,and 12 being the most important. Strategies that allow for low cost housing - not the same as affordable housing need considering	Noted
678	Mrs Karen Nagel [866]	The vision and objectives are commendable and I am grateful for the opportunity to contribute. My main concern on ALL current and prior developments has been the essential infrastructure i.e Objective 12. I believe the Council do a good job already with many of the objectives but the lack of local health services is a huge problem now so must be addressed before more development occurs and we certainly need to consider affordable housing. Current developments close to towns are fine in Brownfield sites but in Oakham the roads reach gridlock in rush hour around the train station. Unfortunately the current provision of train services for the area is woeful and if we really want people to take trains and buses these have to be improved. I am sure I saying everything you already know.	Noted



641	Mr Andrew Nebel	It is important that the weighting given to Zero carbon	Agree – see policies within Climate Change
	[864]	emissions be high enough to encourage maximum energy efficiency in all residential and commercial property development particularly designing properties that use heat	chapter
		pumps, ground water pumps, integral solar panel tiles,	
		surface water tanks for garden watering etc. Too many	
		property designs are locked in the past and buildings must be Future Proofed.	
640	Mr Andrew Nebel	Whilst I agree with some of these I feel the objectives fail to	Noted. The Council continues to work with
	[864]	address the important issues associated with important	the NHS ICB in both Leicestershire and
		community infrastructure, in particular Health Service provision both in Rutland, Leicester and in Stamford and	Rutland and in Lincolnshire to ensure that health services meet the needs of the
		Peterborough.	development proposed
595	North Luffenham	12 strategic objectives is far too many and, as set out, just a	Noted
	Neighbourhood	wish list. Objectives 2, 3 9 and 12 should be prioritized as they	110100
	Planning Group	deal with our rural environment, truly affordable housing,	
	(Tim smith) [265]	and essential infrastructure.	
563	Mr Ian Higgins	The strategic objectives for Rutland should not include	Noted, however legislation requires the
	[860]	helping neighboring counties to meet their housing objectives	Council to work with neighbouring
		and targets.	authorities through the "duty to co-
			operate" this may lead to a request to deliver housing for a neighbouring area
525	Mrs Jayne	There should be a neither agree nor disagree option with	deliver flousing for a fleighbouring area
323	Williams [857]	space to explain.	Noted
	Williams [657]	эрисс со схрынн	Developers pay CIL to the Council to cover
		In theory these points seem acceptable if a bit wordy and full	this infrastructure. The Council is
		of jargon. I feel they should be listed in a way that the	developing a strategy to determine what its
		respondent can prioritise them. Number 12 would be my	infrastructure priorities are for the
		highest priority and no development until supporting	expenditure of CIL.
		infrastructure is in place - BEFORE not lagging years behind	
		housing development. No new houses before additional	
		doctors, NHS dentists, improved transport provision both	
		public transport and better roads.	



		Number 9 next most important. No development that would threaten or change beyond recognition our natural environment and heritage.	
452	Richard Camp [155]	It is critically important that Rutland should not become a commuter county. The withdrawn Local Plan indicated that around 40% of working Rutland residents commuted out of county to local towns and cities. This commuting to relatively distant jobs by car must be stopped in the interests of climate change reduction. As indicated in a recent email to the Planning Policy Manager, copied to the Leader of the Council, the Chair of the Planning and Licensing Committee and the Chief Executive, application of the standard method for calculating local housing need (LHN) is not mandatory (as established by my communication with the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities). Thus, unless appropriate numbers of local jobs are available, it would be essential to reduce the proposed LHN below 140 new dwellings per annum. Further, the ratio of affordable to more costly homes in new developments should be governed by the nature of available jobs. Other reasons for reducing the LHN number include the current inadequacy of GP services.	The Local Plan intends to meet the housing need requirement determined using the Government standard methodology. Strategic Objective 3: Meeting housing needs clearly states this metric alongside the objective
442	Mrs Jo Munro [834]	If you are going to build new houses then you need more schools, dental surgeries, GP practices and better transport links including better public buses. Please do not allow the housing developers to shirk their responsibilities.	Noted. Developers pay CIL to the Council to cover this infrastructure. The Council is developing a strategy to determine what its infrastructure priorities are for the expenditure of CIL.
355	Martin Shewry [755]	Housing has proven the most sensitive local issue - especially new estates. This must be recognised!	Noted
279	Mr Graham Layne [801]	Ensure that communities that are closer to Stamford than Oakham and Uppingham are given equal consideration and are better linked to the rest of Rutland	Noted



276	Mr Jerry Barnes [781]	This may be covered later, but inclusion of further leisure facilities such as swimming pool in County and a Cinema would be welcomed.	Noted
193	Natural England (Roslyn Deeming, Senior Planning Adviser) [236]	Question 1 Additional Comments: Suggest that a more focussed and specific vision would be preferable for the Local Plan. The Vision for the Local Plan should set out what can be achieved through the land use planning process. Natural England would wish to see the following included within the Vision - Enhancement of the natural environment and the ecosystem services it provides; protecting and enhancing natural habitats and species connecting to the Nature Recovery Network; provision of high quality accessible green infrastructure for the benefit of people and nature.	Noted The Future Rutland Vision has been used as a framework for the development of a short, strong and targeted vision specifically for the Local Plan
		Question 2 additional comments: Natural England generally support the strategic objectives particularly 1,8, and 9 Question 3 additional comments: Natural England suggest that Strategic Objective 1 should mention Nature Based Solutions to climate change.	Support noted Agree
172	Mr Keith Henbrey [751]	NORTH LUFINGHAM!!!	



Rep	Respondent (ID)	Agent	Officer Summary Q4-Q6	Officer Comments
ID				
4168	De Merke Estates	Barton	We agree with a 20-year Plan period.	Comments noted. The evidence base which will
	[589]	Willmore,		underpin the new local plan has been reviewed
		now	But the previously withdrawn Local Plan's Evidence Base	and updated with wholly new evidence.
		Stantec	should also be revisited accordingly – ie not simply "re-used"	this illustration of the tiles are the stable in
		(Seth Tyler,	with a changed date on the front.	– this will ensure that the new Local Plan is
		Graduate	The medianity of the 100 Commention Decomments have not	supported by robust and up to date evidence
		Planner)	The majority of the I&O Supporting Documents have not	covering the proposed plan period.
		[1141]	been updated, and still dated 2019.	The CA process is iterative and engains
			• The SA Scening Penert is dated March 2022 but does not	The SA process is iterative and ongoing throughout the plan making process and will
			• The SA Scoping Report is dated March 2022 – but does not refer correctly to the I&O – it is based on a Plan period to only	therefore need to be updated as new evidence
			2036.	becomes available.
			2030.	becomes available.
			The baseline studies (referred to in the SA Scoping) need to	
			be revisited in the context of the new Local Plan and the	
			extended Plan period (2041) – at present it fails to do this –	
			and will result in a(nother) failed Local Plan.	
			The new Local Plan requires an overhaul of the entire	
			evidence base, as opposed to a cut/paste exercise from that	
			of the previously withdrawn Local Plan.	
4135	Silver Fox		It is noted that the introductory text to the consultation	noted
	Developments		provides that Plan will 'shape how Rutland changes and	
	(John Edmond)		develops over the next 15 to 20 years'. However, given that	
	[1138]		the Plan will not be adopted until Autumn 2025 we would	
			agree that in order to ensure that the Plan can look ahead	
			over a minimum 15-year period from adoption (in line with	
			paragraph 22 of the National Planning Policy Framework) the	
			earliest end date should be 2041.	



			3.18 To allow for slippage in the Local Plan programme, we consider it would be reasonable and prudent to prepare a Plan which covers the period 2022-2042. This may better reflect the date meaningful work on the Plan commenced (for example the first consultation) and would allow some	
			flexibility for the Council's plan preparation programme to	
			slip, which given the complexity of the plan making process	
			cannot be ruled out.	
4119	Avant Homes	Mr Alasdair	We note that the introductory text to the consultation states	Noted
	[1131]	Thorne [562]	that Plan will	
			'shape how Rutland changes and develops over the next 15 to	
			20 years'. However, given that the Plan will not be adopted	
			until Autumn 2025 we would agrees that in order to ensure	
			that the Plan can look ahead over a minimum 15 year period	
			from adoption (in line with paragraph 22 of the National	
			Planning Policy Framework) the earliest end date should be	
			2041. However it is our view that it would be more sensible	
			to prepare a Plan which covers the period 2022-2042. This	
			would better reflect the date meaningful work on the Plan	
			commenced (for example the first consultation) and would	
			allow some flexibility for the Council's plan preparation	
			programme to slip, which given the complexity of the plan	
			making process seems like a likely proposition.	
4095	Wells McFarlane	Pegasus	The proposed 20-year plan period is supported. The NPPF	Support noted
	[365]	group (Mrs	states at paragraph 22 that Strategic policies should look	
		Georgina	ahead over a minimum 15-year period from adoption. At	
		Doyle)	paragraph 33 it further states that Local plans and spatial	
		[575]	development strategies should be reviewed to assess whether	
			they need updating at least once every five years. This will	
			ensure that the Local Plan does not become out of date due to	



		1		
			the long development plan period. It will also be important to	
			plan for the development needs for at least 20 years.	
4042	Vistry Group c/o	Pegasus	The proposed 20-year plan period is supported. The National	Support noted
	Pegasus Group	group (Mrs	Planning Policy Framework states that Strategic policies	
	(Jonathan Porter,	Clare	should look ahead over a minimum 15-year period from	
	Strategic Planning	Clarke,	adoption to anticipate and respond to long-term	
	Manager) [1129]	Associate	requirements and opportunities, such as those arising from	
		Planner)	major improvements in infrastructure.	
		[523]	It will take at least two years to prepare and adopt a new local	
			plan and so it is essential that the Rutland Local Plan looks	
			ahead 20 years from 2021, to ensure there are 15 years	
			covered by the plan at adoption.	
4008	John Dejardin		In accepting this plan period it will essential that the polices	Noted
	[128]		fully appreciate and promote the rapid changes needed to	
			meet net zero because by 2041 it will be far too late.	
3938	North		Proposed timescale for the plan period, 2021-41 aligns with	Support noted
	Northamptonshire		the proposed period for the North Northamptonshire	
	Joint Planning &		Strategic Plan (NNSP) - helps with the continuation of the Duty	
	Delivery Unit		to Cooperate and makes it easier for both authorities to	
	(Samuel		address any cross-boundary issues that may arise during the	
	Humphries) [244]		respective plan making processes.	
3916	Anglian Water		Anglian Water considers that in planning the spatial	Comments noted
	(Darl Sweetland,		distribution and quantum of growth, utilising existing	
	Spatial Planning		infrastructure capacity development will be less costly for	
	Manager) [234]		developers and buyers of new homes and commercial	
			property. Development which requires new infrastructure will	
			incur additional costs from utility companies as well as	
			increasing carbon generated by that ill planned growth.	
			Reducing infrastructure costs also enables limited funding to	
			be used on other objectives including more stretching	
			environmental gains. Similarly through using existing visitor	
			and recreation infrastructure to support tourism business and	



			employment we can reduce the operational carbon associated	
			with visitors and tourism.	
3824	Sally Renner [1124]		15 years	Noted, however, given that the Plan will not be adopted until late 2025 it is important that it covers a minimum 15-year period from adoption
3777	Ketton Darby & Joan Club (Ruth Renner) [1122]		15 years would be better. The climate is changing and other goals may need to be set before 2041.	Noted, however, given that the Plan will not be adopted until late 2025 it is important that it covers a minimum 15-year period from adoption
3686	Severn Trent (Chris Bramley) [230]		Whilst the plan could not be incorporated into this DWMP, we would look to incorporate additional growth projections and information into our future DWMP, which will set out our long-term plan on a 25 year bases, therefore the longer the period your plan covers the more proposals, we will be able to incorporate it into our plan. However, it is accepted that a longer the plan period can result in reduced reliability of the projections and that a balance is therefore needed.	Noted
3650	Ms Janet Taylor [1109]		We already have a plan that ends in 2026, so the proposed plan should start from then.	Noted
3607	Jaynic Property Group [1106]	DLP Planning Ltd (Mrs Megan Wilson, Associate Director) [1105]	Paragraph 22 of the NPPF21 requires strategic policies to look ahead over a minimum of 15-years post adoption. In line with the April 2022 Local Development Scheme, the Council are currently anticipating adoption of the new Local Plan in September 2025. Accordingly, the proposed Plan period to 2041, does meet these requirements, but should any significant delay in the preparation of the Plan occur, as is often our experience with Plan making, the Council may find that they are unable to achieve provision for a minimum of 15-years upon adoption.	Support noted. Evidence of need for housing and the economy have been updated.
			As such, it may be sensible to include an appropriate buffer of	



		provision towards additional needs for housing and economic development that would potentially support an extension to the plan period, if required. This would avoid circumstances where a minimum of 15-years post adoption cannot be achieved. Aligned to this it is noted that the proposed base-date of the plan period in 2021 includes circa 4 years for monitoring prior to adoption. It is critical that the Council's updated evidence	
		base addressing needs for economic development (and housing) aligns with this base date. Positive planning for employment needs is required urgently to support sustainable economic growth and development. This applies particularly in terms of the wider requirements of the logistics sector and reflects the very limited ability to make provision for additional land and floorspace to meet needs in Rutland in recent years. This adds further weight to reasons not to adopt a 'constrained' requirement in the years prior to adoption (for example, based on short-term trends).	
		As a result, and without additional provision in the immediate term, this would anticipate a substantial shortfall in delivery against a robust assessment of overall needs upon adoption in 2025. The solution to this, in the event of no alternative supply in the short term, would also be to ensure sufficient choice of sites and flexibility to provide a buffer in overall provision.	
3530	Barrowden Parish Council (Mr Gordon Brown, Chairman) [1103]	The Plan Period will be subject to the type of development which comes forward. For example if either of Woolfox or St George's is allocated then a timescale stretching out to 2045 or even 2050 might be appropriate.	Comments noted
3496	PDR Planning Limited (Mr Philip	NPPF Paragraph 22 states, "Strategic policies should look ahead over a minimum 15-year period from adoption." The	Comments noted



	Rawle, Director) [627]		Council assumes the new Local Plan will be adopted in September 2025 (LDS, April 2022); hence the Council is proposing that the Plan period should be for a minimum of 20 years from 2021 to 2041; however, this is only a 15 year Plan period (from adoption), which does not factor in any time for slippage. A more appropriate period would be for a minimum of 20 years from adoption of the Plan in 2025, so to 2045.	
3464	Mrs Pam Allen [1085]		2025-40	noted
3430	Vistry Homes East Midlands [1070]	Marrons (Mr Dan Robinson- Wells, Associate Director) [535]	The start date of 2021 and ending in 2041 is appropriate currently. However, the NPPF states that plans should look ahead over a MINIMUM of a 15 year period from adoption. Experience elsewhere shows that Local Plan examinations are becoming increasingly protracted along with the production of the Local Plan through regulation 18 and 19 consultations. The Council should be mindful of being flexible as the plan progresses to ensure the plan period can be extended if necessary and have planned for sufficient sites from an early stage to easily do so.	Noted
3187	Taylor Wimpey Straetgic Land [660]	Bidwells (Mr Mark Harris, Partner) [659]	This date should be kept under review to ensure that any short-term slippage in the timetable does not lead to less than 15 years remaining in the plan period on adoption.	Noted
2877	Mr Simon Frearson [1047]		2024 - 2044 There is no point in looking backwards as you cannot change history. Set a realistic period timetable for the plan to cover for the future.	Noted



2816	CPRE Rutland (Mr		Ton years would allow the planning function to mare suickly	Noted however NDDE requires local plans to
2010			Ten years would allow the planning function to more quickly	Noted, however NPPF requires local plans to
	Ron Simpson,		adapt to a rapidly changing and likely to be regularly updated	cover a minimum period of 15 years from
	Chair) [1036]		NPPF and its planned successor.	adoption. It also requires a review of adopted
				plans on a 5 year basis
2738	Jeakins Weir Ltd	Mr Alasdair	We note that the introductory text to the consultation states	Noted, however the base date for much of the
	[1037]	Thorne	that Plan will 'shape how Rutland changes and develops over	statistical data used in evidence is 2021 Census
		[562]	the next 15 to 20 years'. However, given that the Plan will not	
			be adopted until Autumn 2025 we would agrees that in order	
			to ensure that the Plan can look ahead over a minimum 15	
			year period from adoption (in line with paragraph 22 of the	
			National Planning Policy Framework3) the earliest end date	
			should be 2041. However it is our view that it would be more	
			sensible to prepare a Plan which covers the period 2022-2042.	
			This would better reflect the date meaningful work on the	
			Plan commenced (for example the first consultation) and	
			would allow some flexibility for the Council's plan preparation	
			programme to slip, which given the complexity of the plan	
			making process seems like a likely proposition.	
2723	Braunston Parish		We disagree. We have a perfectly viable plan, which runs to	The adopted plan is out of date as it precedes
	Council (Mrs		2026. We should raise a fifteen year plan with 5 yearly	the publication of the NPPF and changes to
	Carole Brown,		reviews, which would extend the plan by five years and make	national policy this requires the preparation of a
	Parish Clerk)		any revisions needed. The plan should set measurable	new Local Plan. It also requires local plans to be
	[1003]		outcomes which are reported on a quarterly basis on the RCC	reviewed on a 5 yearly basis.
			website. The Council and its executive should take	Currently the outcome of policies in the
			responsibility for these outcomes and the executive should be	adopted local plan are reported annually
			rewarded according to the results achieved.	through the Authority Monitoring Report.
2618	Define (on behalf		Establishing a plan period at this point of the LPR process is	It is important that the plan period is set in the
	of William Davis		premature, given that the spatial strategy has not yet been	early stages of preparing the local plan as this
	Homes) (Mr Sam		established and this can influence the extent of the plan	will impact on the base period for new evidence
	Perkins, Graduate		period; NPPF paragraph 22 requiring plans to provide at least	and upon the establishment of housing and
	Planner) [1027]		a 30 year vision if they are seeking to facilitate new	economic development needs.
	• • •		settlements or significant extensions to existing settlements.	·
			•	•



			With that point of principle made, the proposed plan period of 2021 to 2041 is inappropriate and appears to have been	Comments noted
			informed by the anticipated adoption date of the plan (2025),	
			allowing for a 15 year period post-adoption in accordance	
			with NPPF paragraph 22. However, the timescales set out for	
			the plan's preparation in RCC's Local Development Scheme	
			(LDS) are overly unrealistic, with the LDS suggesting the plan	
			will be submitted for examination just over 2 years on from	
			this current Issues and Options (I&O) consultation. However,	
			in preparing the now withdrawn plan, that period took 4.5	
			years. Further, Local Plan Examinations can often be	
			protracted, particularly where there is the need for significant	
			modifications.	
			Therefore, to suggest that the plan will be adopted within 3	
			years of this I&O consultation is entirely unrealistic. Rather,	
			allowing for 4.5 years to submission (as per the withdrawn	
			plan) and 18 months from the plan's submission to adoption	
			(rather than 1 year) would be more appropriate. That would	
			suggest a more realistic adoption date of mid to late 2028 which, allowing for 15 years post-adoption, would suggest an	
			end date of 2044. A plan period of 2021 to 2044 is, therefore,	
			more appropriate.	
2580	Mr Jonathan		2023-2053	30 year period noted
	Griffin [1023]			, '
2577	Ms Lelia O'Connell		I think it should be for longer - at least 30 years, if not more.	30 year period noted
	[1008]		The changes could be profound, so looking further ahead than	
			20 years will ensure better plans can be made for future	
			generations.	
2529	Pigeon	Carter	Paragraph 22 of the NPPF requires strategic policies to look	Support noted
	Investment	Jonas (Ms	ahead over a minimum 15-year period from adoption. It is	
	Management Ltd	Kimberley	predicted that the emerging RLP would be adopted in Autumn	
	[1022]	Brown,	2025 and the proposed end date of the plan would be 2041.	



		Associate Partner) [601]	Therefore, the emerging RLP would have 16 years remaining of the plan period from adoption, which would meet the requirements of national policy. A plan period for the emerging RLP to 2041 is supported. It is noted that some of the supporting documents for the emerging RLP e.g. the SA Scoping Report and the Strategic Housing Market Assessment Update refer to a plan end date of 2036 which is inconsistent with the Issues & Options consultation document.	Evidence base has been reviewed and new evidence is now in place for the period to 2041
2476	Mr james youatt [593]		10 years maximum	noted
2461	Francis Jackson Homes Ltd (Mr Paul Johnson, Land and Planning Director) [761]		There is a fine balance to be struck. Plans of considerable length may become outdated and/or rendered irrelevant, however, longer term strategic thinking is to be encouraged. It would perhaps be better to have a longer term plan period of say 25 years to allow strategic levels planning to take place, but some options to early review within this to ensure the plan remains relevant and flexible enough to adapt to changing circumstances.	Noted. National policy requires 5 yearly review of the Local Plan once it is adopted
1956	Mr Malcolm Forbes [988]		15 years maximum from the time of achieving legal status	Noted
1284	Mr Tony Wray [545]		Whilst the timeframe would be appropriate there needs to be an adequate mechanism to recognise any significant developments (eg national Policy changes, technological breakthroughs, etc) that provide the opportunity for better outcomes than those originally envisaged in the plan.	Noted. National policy requires 5 yearly review of the Local Plan once it is adopted
1273	Oakham Quaker Meeting (Ms Susan Bolter, Clerk) [941]		15 years	Noted
1121	Nick Townsend [153]		Agree that 20 years is appropriate for the current plan period but the Plan must be subject to regular review (at least every	Noted. National policy requires 5 yearly review of the Local Plan once it is adopted



		6	
		five years) and the vision must look beyond the 20 year period	
		to a carbon neutral 2050	
1095	Ms Patricia Dalby	10 years	Noted
	[916]		
777	Mr Paul Topham	30 years	Noted
	[894]		
751	Mrs Gemma	5-10 years	Noted
	Flavell [888]		
728	Environment	Whilst we do not object to the proposed plan-period, it is	Noted. National policy requires 5 yearly review
	Agency (Mrs	important that the Local Plan aligns with the long-term	of the Local Plan once it is adopted
	Nicola Reyman,	aspirations of the 25-year Environment Plan and considers the	
	Planning	long-term threat posed by climate change, for example	
	Specialist) [855]	ensuring development is flood resilient over its lifetime.	
		The new Rutland Local Plan should also recognise that	
		effective plans need to be kept up to date; the National	
		Planning Policy Framework sets out that Local Plans should be	
		reviewed to assess whether they need updating at least once	
		every 5 years. The review process is important where flood	
		risk data is continuously evolving, where the impact of climate	
		change will likely alter the levels of risk during the plan period.	
642	Mr Andrew Nebel	Given 2050 is the target date for zero emissions should not	Noted. National policy requires 5 yearly review
	[864]	the plan cover a longer duration and should it not also have	of the Local Plan once it is adopted
		built in review stages to permit 'course corrections' to cope	
		with unplanned and unpredictable geopolitical events? War in	
		Ukraine being an obvious example as is the predictable	
		showdown with China when it invades Taiwan and brings	
		about a collapse in globalisation. And if plans take 5 years to	
		produce there must be a scheduled start of a new plan 5 years	
		before the end of the current one.	
181	Mr Michael	Twenty years is too long in such a fast changing world. There	Noted, however, given that the Plan will not be
	Masters [752]	should be rolling 15 year plans,	adopted until late 2025 it is important that it



		covers a minimum 15-year period from
		adoption