

My verdict is **objection** to Uppingham Neighbourhood plan. VOTE :- 'No' to plan

The 61 page article is mostly a work of fiction. It would be prudent to know the details of the plan for infrastructure prior to saying we will build all these houses. To know about the new population. Organisation involves Forecast, plan action and review. *This document is more a forecast than a plan, and a poor forecast.*

It should cover housing ,infrastructure, population and climate, most of the document covers housing.

There is no clear definition as to how the CLIMATE will be addressed, eg flooding, solar panels, effect on the environment. It is a nerve to highlight all the existing green spaces some no larger than a stamp and including the cemeteries. Will the 300 year old tree in the field at Northgate be retained as you talk about retaining trees and vegetation. No mention of solar panels on houses. No mention of existing wildlife

The issue of traffic is ignored as unimportant and blamed on Corby, it is a public highway. Both councils have had decades to address these issues such as Ayston Rd and Station Road. It is discrimination to say too many 'disabled parking space' as I often cannot get a parking place in one on a regular basis. No mention made how emergency vehicles will gain access with parked cars in new builtup areas as we have no idea of width of streets. 513 homes will put approx. 1000 extra cars on the local roads. The parking in the town has been an issue for decades and there is no clear vision as how this will be addressed. No consideration given to all the extra cars accessing/exiting Firs Ave nor the Beeches. The issue of coach parking has not been addressed nor the bus stop at the Co-op. How will exiting bus services cope with new population.?

No mention has been made of extra facilities, police, surgeries, dentists, schools, garages, nothing concrete on supermarkets. This is not just about estate but about the extra people to man them

The listing of the leisure facilities is a joke. How will the likes of the Bowls club cope with the grown population. No mention made of the Uppingham School leisure facilities nor the rugby club at the community college. Pubs are mentioned but no mention of the number that have closed in the past 25 years.

*I totally object to the lines given to the Welland Garden Centre they were not needed as this is the only business promoted in the document, a sponsor of the Hopper bus*

The build up statement on the town centre is comparable to an introduction to a new town on 'Escape to the Country.' TV programme ie this place has a church, a pub and a post office. No mention of 'no Banks' in town. Uppingham town centre has gone down hill for the past 25 years, and been replaced with charity shops and cafes. How long will Boots Chemist survive? The concern shown for the Market Square is a joke with the 'no entry signs' eroded and traffic going in wrong direction.etc and the state of the surface

Where will the new population come from and what jobs to afford these houses will be available locally.? No mention of age groups expected, old ( how that will affect services) or young (where will the jobs be that they can afford these new houses)

Housing:- no guarantee the developers wont change the style of house once the green light given. 32 year ago Bryant's intended to build bungalows on the Beeches and only built one on phase one of the development. Most house built in last 20 years have been 2 and 3 story gaining most value for the developer on the plot.

Talking about the relief road which was muted 20 years ago when it could have been done before the expansion of new houses, this would be impossible now and was stupid to raise it in the document as it would be impossible.

The document was rushed in with no one on our street been aware of it, no poster nor documents sent to houses.

Sadly this may seem severe but every point is supported in the document

