



Opinion Research Services

Excellent research for the public, voluntary and private sectors



Rutland County Council Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment (GTTSA)

**Final Report
March 2023**



Opinion Research Services, The Strand, Swansea SA1 1AF

Steve Jarman, Michael Bayliss, Elliot Muldoon, Amber Davies-Hamill, Gill Craddock, and Lee Craddock

Enquiries: 01792 535300 · info@ors.org.uk · www.ors.org.uk

© Copyright 2023

The below copyrights acknowledge the open-source data that is provided by the respective bodies and is used throughout this document

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2023

Contains Royal Mail data © Royal Mail copyright and database right 2023

Contains National Statistics data © Crown copyright and database right 2023

Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Executive Summary | 6 |
| Introduction..... | 6 |
| Key Findings..... | 6 |
| Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers..... | 7 |
| Plot Needs - Travelling Showpeople..... | 9 |
| Transit Recommendations | 10 |
| 2. Introduction | 12 |
| Definitions | 12 |
| The Planning Definition in PPTS (2015)..... | 12 |
| Definition of Travelling..... | 13 |
| Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers..... | 15 |
| Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015 | 16 |
| National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021..... | 18 |
| Levelling-up and Regeneration Bill (2022) | 19 |
| Lisa Smith v The Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities and others [2022] | 19 |
| 3. Methodology | 20 |
| Background..... | 20 |
| Glossary of Terms/Acronyms | 21 |
| Desk-Based Review..... | 21 |
| Survey of Travelling Communities..... | 21 |
| Engagement with Bricks and Mortar Households..... | 22 |
| Timing of the Fieldwork..... | 23 |
| Applying the PPTS Planning Definition..... | 23 |
| Undetermined Households | 24 |
| Households that Do Not Meet the Planning Definition | 26 |
| Calculating Current and Future Need..... | 26 |
| Supply of Pitches | 27 |
| Current Need..... | 27 |
| Future Need..... | 28 |
| Pitch Turnover | 28 |
| Transit Provision..... | 29 |
| 4. Gypsy, Traveller & Travelling Showpeople Sites & Population | 31 |
| Introduction..... | 31 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Sites and Yards in Rutland | 32 |
| DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count..... | 32 |
| 5. Stakeholder Engagement | 34 |
| Introduction..... | 34 |
| Views of Key Stakeholders and Council Officers in Rutland | 34 |
| Neighbouring Authorities | 37 |
| 6. Survey of Travelling Communities | 42 |
| Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers..... | 42 |
| Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar | 43 |
| 7. Current and Future Pitch Provision..... | 44 |
| Introduction..... | 44 |
| New Household Formation Rates | 44 |
| Breakdown by 5 Year Bands | 47 |
| Applying the Planning Definition..... | 47 |
| Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar | 48 |
| Migration/Roadside..... | 48 |
| Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that met the Planning Definition | 50 |
| Pitch Needs – Undetermined Gypsies and Travellers | 51 |
| Pitch Needs - Gypsies and Travellers that did not meet the Planning Definition..... | 51 |
| Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople the met the Planning Definition..... | 52 |
| Plot Needs – Undetermined Travelling Showpeople | 53 |
| Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople that did not meet the Planning Definition | 54 |
| Transit Requirements | 54 |
| DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count..... | 54 |
| Stakeholder Interviews and Local Data..... | 55 |
| Transit Recommendations | 55 |
| 8. Conclusions | 57 |
| Gypsies and Travellers..... | 57 |
| Travelling Showpeople | 58 |
| Transit Provision..... | 58 |
| Summary of Need to be Addressed – Gypsies and Travellers | 59 |
| Summary of Need to be Addressed – Travelling Showpeople..... | 59 |

List of Figures60

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms / Acronyms61

Appendix B: Households that did not meet the Planning Definition65

Appendix C: Site and Yard List (October 2022).....67

Appendix D: Household Interview Questions.....68

Appendix E: Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates.....77

1. Executive Summary

Introduction

- 1.1 The primary objective of this Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment (GTTSAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation for Rutland County Council (the Council).
- 1.2 The GTTSAA provides a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of Local Plan Policies and, where appropriate, the provision of new Gypsy and Traveller pitches and Travelling Showpeople plots for the period 2022 to 2046, to meet the 15-year requirements set out in the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) and to meet the new Local Plan period. The outcomes of this study supersede the outcomes of any previous GTTSAA's for Rutland County Council.
- 1.3 The GTTSAA has sought to understand the accommodation needs of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population in Rutland through a combination of desk-based research, stakeholder interviews and engagement with members of the Travelling Community living on all known sites, yards, and encampments. A total of 16 interviews or proxy interviews were completed with Gypsies and Travellers living on sites in Rutland, and 22 Travelling Showpeople identified living in Rutland were interviewed. 4 Interviews were undertaken with Council Officers from Rutland County Council, and interviews were also completed with Officers from neighbouring local authorities.
- 1.4 The fieldwork for the study was completed between October 2022 and December 2022, and the baseline date for the study is December 2022.

Key Findings

- 1.5 Overall, the pitch needs for Gypsies and Travellers for the period 2022-2046 are set out below. Needs are set out for those households that met the PPTS planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller; for any undetermined households¹ where an interview was not able to be completed due to households not being present despite up to three visits to each site who may meet the planning definition; and for those households that did not meet the PPTS planning definition – although this is no longer a requirement for a GTTSAA.

¹See Paragraph 3.28 for further information on undetermined households.

- 1.6 Only the need from those households who meet the planning definition and from those from undetermined households who subsequently demonstrate that they meet it should be formally considered as need arising from the GTTSAA. The need arising from households that meet the planning definition should be addressed through site allocation/intensification/expansion Local Plan Policies as appropriate.
- 1.7 The Council will need to carefully consider how to address any need associated with undetermined Travellers as it is unlikely that all this need will have to be addressed through the provision of conditioned Gypsy or Traveller pitches. In terms of Local Plan Policies, the Council should use Core Strategy Policy CS12 (2011) which is a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any undetermined households, as well as to deal with any windfall applications, need from in-migration, and need from bricks and mortar.
- 1.8 In general terms, the need for those households who did not meet the PPTS planning definition will need to be addressed as part of general housing need and through separate Local Plan Policies. This approach is specifically referenced in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021. Paragraph 61 of the NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance. Paragraph 62 then states that [emphasis added] ‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, **travellers**, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’. The footnote to this section states that ‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’
- 1.9 The findings of this report should be considered as part of future housing mix and type within the context of the assessment of overall housing need in relation to Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. Whilst the findings in this report are aggregated totals for the whole of Rutland due to data protection issues, the Council have more detailed data to support the preparation of any future Local Plan Policies.

Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers

- 1.10 There were 13 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Rutland that met the planning definition; no undetermined households that may meet the planning definition; and 11 households that did not meet the planning definition.

1.11 There is a need for **19 pitches for households that met the planning definition**. This is made of 3 concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; 3 teenagers who will need a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; 2 from in-migration or roadside households; 2 households from movement from bricks and mortar; and 9 from new household formation derived from the household demographics².

1.12 There is **no need from undetermined households** as it was possible to complete interviews with households on all occupied pitches.

1.13 Whilst not now a requirement to include in a GTTSAA, there is a need for **12 pitches for households that did not meet the planning definition**. This is made up of 7 unauthorised pitches; 1 concealed or doubled-up household or single adult; 1 teenager who will need a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; and 3 from new household formation, derived from the household demographics.

1.14 Figure 1 summarises the identified need and Figure 2 breaks this down by year periods.

² See Chapter 7 for further information on new household formation.

Figure 1 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland (2022-46)

| Status | 2022-2046 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Meet Planning Definition | 19 |
| Undetermined | 0 |
| Do not meet Planning Definition | 12 |

Figure 2 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

| Year Period | Dates | Need |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|
| 0 – 5 | 2022 – 26 | 10 |
| 6 – 10 | 2027 – 31 | 2 |
| 11 – 15 | 2032 – 36 | 3 |
| 16 – 20 | 2037 – 41 | 1 |
| 21 – 25 | 2042 – 46 | 3 |
| 0 – 25 | 2022 – 46 | 19 |

Plot Needs - Travelling Showpeople

1.15 There were 28 Travelling Showpeople households identified in Rutland that met the planning definition; no undetermined households that may meet the planning definition; and 2 households that did not meet the planning definition.

1.16 There is a need for **37 plots for households that met the planning definition**. This is made up of 12 concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; 11 teenagers who will need a plot of their own in the next 5 years; and 14 from new household formation using a rate of 1.20% derived from the household demographics³.

1.17 There is **no need from undetermined households** as it was possible to complete interviews with households on all occupied plots.

1.18 Following the completion of household interviews it was determined that there was a **need for 1 plot for households that did not meet the planning definition**. This was for 1 concealed or doubled-up household or single adult.

1.19 Figure 3 summarises the identified need and Figure 4 breaks this down by 5-year periods.

³ See Chapter 7 for further information on new household formation.

Figure 3 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland (2022-46)

| Status | 2022-2046 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Meet Planning Definition | 37 |
| Undetermined | 0 |
| Do not meet Planning Definition | 1 |

Figure 4 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

| Year Period | Dates | Need |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|
| 0 – 5 | 2022 – 26 | 23 |
| 6 – 10 | 2027 – 31 | 3 |
| 11 – 15 | 2032 – 36 | 3 |
| 16 – 20 | 2037 – 41 | 4 |
| 21 – 25 | 2042 – 46 | 4 |
| 0 – 25 | 2022 – 46 | 37 |

Transit Recommendations

1.20 Due to low numbers of recorded encampments for Gypsies and Travellers it is not recommended that there is a need for any formal transit provision in Rutland at this time. However, the situation relating to encampments occupied by New Travellers needs to be quantified in terms of whether these households would make use of any formal transit provision; whether there are any options for more informal transit arrangements to be put in place; or whether some or all of these households are in need of more permanent accommodation options.

1.21 The situation relating to levels of encampments should continue to be monitored. As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in the local area; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; and whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in the local area. This information should be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or similar).

1.22 It is recommended that the review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments should be completed in conjunction with the Leicestershire Multi Agency Traveller Unit (MATU).

1.23 In the short-term the Council should continue to use its current approaches when dealing with encampments and management-based approaches such as negotiated stopping agreements could also be considered.

1.24 The term 'negotiated stopping' is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent 'built' transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the Council and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides.

See www.negotiatedstopping.co.uk for further information.

1.25 Discussions with MATU, who are responsible for dealing with encampments in Rutland, confirmed the existence of a "toleration policy", wherein an encampment is left for a period of time providing they adhere to The Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Code of Practice for Travellers.

1.26 Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities.

2. Introduction

- 2.1 The primary objective of this Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment (GTTSAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in Rutland County Council. The outcomes of the study will supersede the outputs of the previous Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment completed in Rutland County Council.
- 2.2 The study provides an evidence base to enable the Council to comply with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015, the Housing and Planning Act 2016, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021, and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2021.
- 2.3 The GTTSAA provides a robust assessment of need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in the study area. It is a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of Local Plan Policies and the provision of Traveller pitches and plots covering the period 2022 to 2046 to meet the 15-year requirements of the PPTS, and the new Local Plan period. As well as identifying current and future permanent accommodation needs, it also seeks to identify any need for the provision of transit sites or stopping places.
- 2.4 We would note at the outset that the study covers the needs of Gypsies (including English, Scottish, Welsh and Romany Gypsies), Irish Travellers, New (Age) Travellers, and Travelling Showpeople, but for ease of reference we have referred to the study as a Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment (GTTSAA).
- 2.5 The baseline date for the study is December 2022 which was when the fieldwork was completed.

Definitions

- 2.6 The planning definition for a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson is set out in Annex 1 of the PPTS (2015). The previous definition set out in the Housing Act 2004 was repealed by the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

The Planning Definition in PPTS (2015)

- 2.7 For the purposes of the planning system, the definition was changed in PPTS (2015). The planning definition is set out in Annex 1 and states that:

For the purposes of this planning policy “gypsies and travellers” means:

Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling Showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.

In determining whether persons are “gypsies and travellers” for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters:

- a) Whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life.
- b) The reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life.
- c) Whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances.

For the purposes of this planning policy, “travelling Showpeople” means:

Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family's or dependants' more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.

(Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), August 2015)

- 2.8 The key change that was made to both definitions was the removal of the term “persons...who have ceased to travel permanently”, meaning that those who have ceased to travel permanently will no longer fall under the planning definition of a Traveller for the purposes of formally assessing accommodation need in a GTTSAA.

Definition of Travelling

- 2.9 One of the most important questions that GTTSAA's need to address in terms of applying the PPTS planning definition is *what constitutes travelling?* This has been determined through case law that has tested, among other things, the meaning of the term 'nomadic'.

- 2.10R v **South Hams District Council (1994)** – defined Gypsies as “persons who wander or travel for the purpose of making or seeking their livelihood (not persons who travel from place to place without any connection between their movements and their means of livelihood.)” This includes ‘born’ Gypsies and Travellers as well as ‘elective’ Travellers such as New Age Travellers.

- 2.11 In **Maidstone BC v Secretary of State for the Environment and Dunn (2006)**, it was held that a Romany Gypsy who bred horses and travelled to horse fairs at Appleby, Stow-on-the-Wold, and the New Forest, where he bought and sold horses, and who remained away from his permanent site for up to two months of the year, at least partly in connection with this traditional Gypsy activity, was entitled to be accorded Gypsy status.
- 2.12 In **Greenwich LBC v Powell (1989)**, Lord Bridge of Harwich stated that a person could be a statutory Gypsy if he led a nomadic way of life only seasonally.
- 2.13 The definition was widened further by the decision in **R v Shropshire CC ex p Bungay (1990)**. The case concerned a Gypsy family that had not travelled for some 15 years in order to care for their elderly and infirm parents. An aggrieved resident living in the area of the family's recently approved Gypsy site sought a judicial review of the local authority's decision to accept that the family had retained their Gypsy status even though they had not travelled for some considerable time. Dismissing the claim, the judge held that a person could remain a Gypsy even if he or she did not travel, provided that their nomadism was held in abeyance and not abandoned.
- 2.14 That point was revisited in the case of **Hearne v National Assembly for Wales (1999)**, where a traditional Gypsy was held not to be a Gypsy for the purposes of planning law as he had stated that he intended to abandon his nomadic habit of life, lived in a permanent dwelling, and was taking a course that led to permanent local employment.
- 2.15 **Wrexham County Borough Council v National Assembly of Wales and Others (2003)** determined that households and individuals could continue to lead a nomadic way of life with a permanent base from which they set out from and return to.
- 2.16 The implication of these rulings in terms of applying the PPTS planning definition is that it will **only include those who travel (or have ceased to travel temporarily) for work purposes, or for seeking work, and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence**. It can include those who have a permanent site or place of residence, but that it will not include those who only travel for purposes other than work – such as holidays and visiting friends or relatives. It will not cover those who commute to work daily from a permanent place of residence (see planning appeal APP/E2205/C/15/3137477).
- 2.17 It may also be that within a household some family members travel for nomadic purposes on a regular basis, but other family members stay at home to look after children in education, or other dependants with health problems etc. In these circumstances the household unit would be defined as travelling under the planning definition.

2.18 Households will also fall under the planning definition if they can demonstrate that they have ceased to travel temporarily as a result of their own or their family's or dependants' educational, health needs or old age. In order to have ceased to travel temporarily these households will need to demonstrate that they have travelled for work in the past. In addition, households will also have to demonstrate that they plan to travel again for work in the future.

2.19 This approach was endorsed by a Planning Inspector in a Decision Notice for an appeal in East Hertfordshire (Appeal Ref: APP/J1915/W/16/3145267) that was issued in December 2016. A summary can be seen below.

Case law, including the R v South Hams District Council ex parte Gibb (1994) judgment referred to me at the hearing, despite its reference to 'purposive activities including work' also refers to a connection between the travelling and the means of livelihood, that is, an economic purpose. In this regard, there is no economic purpose... This situation is no different from that of many landlords and property investors or indeed anyone travelling to work in a fixed, pre-arranged location. In this regard there is not an essential connection between wandering and work... Whilst there does appear to be some connection between the travel and the work in this regard, it seems to me that these periods of travel for economic purposes are very short, amounting to an extremely small proportion of his time and income. Furthermore, the work is not carried out in a nomadic manner because it seems likely that it is done by appointment... I conclude, therefore, that XX does not meet the definition of a gypsy and traveller in terms of planning policy because there is insufficient evidence that he is currently a person of a nomadic habit of life.

2.20 This was further reinforced in a Decision Notice for an appeal in Norfolk that was issued in February 2018 (Ref: APP/V2635/W/17/3180533) that stated:

As discussed during the hearing, although the PPTS does not spell this [the planning definition] out, it has been established in case law (R v South Hams DC 1994) that the nomadism must have an economic purpose. In other words, gypsies and travellers wander or travel for the purposes of making or seeking their livelihood.

Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers

2.21 Decision-making for policy concerning Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sits within a complex legislative and national policy framework and this study must be viewed in the context of this legislation and guidance. For example, the following key pieces of legislation and guidance are relevant when developing policies relating to Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople:

- » The Housing Act, 1985

- » Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS), 2015
- » The Housing and Planning Act, 2016
- » National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2021
- » Planning Practice Guidance⁴ (PPG), 2021

2.22 In addition, Case Law, Ministerial Statements, the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals, and Judicial Reviews, need to be taken into consideration. Relevant examples have been included in this report.

2.23 The primary guidance for undertaking the assessment of housing need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople is set out in the PPTS. It should be read in conjunction with the NPPF. In addition, the Housing and Planning Act makes provisions for the assessment of need for those Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households living on sites and yards who do not meet the planning definition – through the assessment of all households living in caravans.

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015

2.24 PPTS (2015), sets out the direction of Government policy. As well as introducing the planning definition of a Traveller, PPTS is closely linked to the NPPF. Among other objectives, the aims of the policy in respect of Traveller sites are (PPTS Paragraph 4):

- » Local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning.
- » To ensure that local planning authorities, working collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites.
- » To encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale.
- » That plan-making and decision-taking should protect Green Belt from inappropriate development.
- » To promote more private Traveller site provision while recognising that there will always be those Travellers who cannot provide their own sites.

⁴ With particular reference to the sections on Housing needs of different groups (May 2021).

- » That plan-making and decision-taking should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective.
- » For local planning authorities to ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive policies.
- » To increase the number of Traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply.
- » To reduce tensions between settled and Traveller communities in plan-making and planning decisions.
- » To enable provision of suitable accommodation from which Travellers can access education, health, welfare, and employment infrastructure.
- » For local planning authorities to have due regard to the protection of local amenity and local environment.

2.25 In practice, the document states that (PPTS Paragraph 9):

- » Local planning authorities should set pitch targets for Gypsies and Travellers and plot targets for Travelling Showpeople, which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of Travellers in their area, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities.

2.26 PPTS goes on to state (Paragraph 10) that in producing their Local Plan local planning authorities should:

- » Identify and annually update a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of sites against their locally set targets.
- » Identify a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth, for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15.
- » Consider production of joint development plans that set targets on a cross-authority basis, to provide more flexibility in identifying sites, particularly if a local planning authority has special or strict planning constraints across its area (local planning authorities have a Duty-to-Cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries).
- » Relate the number of pitches or plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population's size and density.

- » Protect local amenity and environment.

2.27 Local Authorities now have a duty to ensure a 5-year land supply to meet the identified needs for Traveller sites. However, PPTS 2015 also notes in Paragraph 11 that:

- » Where there is no identified need, criteria-based policies should be included to provide a basis for decisions in case applications nevertheless come forward. Criteria-based policies should be fair and should facilitate the traditional and nomadic life of Travellers, while respecting the interests of the settled community.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021

2.28 The most recent version of the National Planning Policy Framework was issued in July 2021. Paragraph 61 of the NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance.

2.29 Paragraph 62 then states that [emphasis added] ‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, **travellers**, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’. The footnote to this section states that ‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’

2.30 This essentially sets out that the needs of households that meet the planning definition should be assessed under the PPTS and that the needs of households that are not found to meet the planning definition should be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of an area.

2.31 In an Appeal Decision that was published in March 2020 for an appeal in Central Bedfordshire (APP/P0240/C/18/3213822) the Inspector concluded in relation to the then Paragraph 61 (now Paragraph 62) of the NPPF that:

It seems to me that this wording makes clear that it is only those meeting that definition that should be included in an assessment of need for ‘planning definition’ travellers and that gypsies who have ceased travelling should be counted and provided for elsewhere and this is the approach proposed in the emerging LP. This does not, of course mean that these gypsies should be allocated ‘bricks and mortar’ type housing. They will also need a suitable supply of caravan sites to meet their needs.

Levelling-up and Regeneration Bill (2022)

2.32 Among other things, this Bill seeks to make provision about town and country planning. Whilst there is currently no specific reference to changes to policy and guidance for Gypsies and Travellers, the Council may need to consider the outcomes of any changes to planning legislation that may impact on the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers.

Lisa Smith v The Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities and others [2022]

2.33 In October 2022 the Court of Appeal handed down judgment in *Lisa Smith v The Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities* [2022] EWCA Civ 1391. The case was a challenge to a specific appeal decision and concerned whether the planning definition of Gypsies and Travellers contained in Annex 1 of the PPTS (2015) is discriminatory against Travellers who are settled and who no longer travel for work. The Court of Appeal allowed the appeal and quashed the Inspectors decision from 2018 and referred the case back to The Secretary of State for redetermination.

2.34 Whilst certain parts of the PPTS planning definition of a Traveller were found to be discriminatory, as the PPTS 2015 itself was not the subject of the case it has not been quashed or declared unlawful at this time.

2.35 It is too early to properly identify the impact that the judgement will have on an assessment of need for Travellers. However, it is important to note that the approach taken by ORS when undertaking a GTTSAA does include an assessment of need for all Travellers, and should any changes be made to the PPTS planning definition of a Traveller, the outputs of the GTTSAA can be amended accordingly.

3. Methodology

Background

- 3.1 Over the past 10 years, ORS has continually refined a methodology for undertaking robust and defensible Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments. This has been updated in light of changes to PPTS in August 2015, the Housing and Planning Act (2016) the NPPF (2021), and the PPG (2021). It has also responded to changes set out by Planning Ministers, with particular reference to new household formation rates. This is an evolving methodology that has been adaptive to changes in planning policy as well as the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals.
- 3.2 PPTS (2015) contains a number of requirements for local authorities which must be addressed in any methodology. This includes the need to pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves); identification of permanent and transit site accommodation needs separately; working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities; and establishing whether households fall within the PPTS planning definition for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
- 3.3 ORS would note that since the changes to the PPTS in August 2015 the ORS GTTSAA methodology has been repeatedly found to be sound and robust, including through Local Plan Examinations in Bedford, Blaby, Brentwood, Cambridge, Castle Point, Central Bedfordshire, Cheltenham, Cotswold, Daventry, East Hertfordshire, Gloucester, Maldon, Milton Keynes, Newham, Runnymede, South Cambridgeshire, South Northamptonshire, Tewkesbury, and Waverley.
- 3.4 An Appeal Decision for a Hearing in Central Bedfordshire (APP/P0240/C/18/3213822) that was issued in March 2020 concluded:
- ‘...whilst there have been some queries in previous appeal decisions over the conclusions of other GTAA’s produced by ORS, the methodology, which takes into account the revisions made in 2015 to the Government’s Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS), has nevertheless been accepted by Inspectors in a considerable number of Local Plan Examinations.’
- 3.5 The Inspector for the East Herts District Plan also found the evidence base in relation to Gypsies and Travellers to be sound in her Inspection Report that was issued in July 2018. She concluded:

‘The need of the travelling community has been carefully and robustly assessed and locations to meet identified needs have been allocated for the plan period. Policy HOU9 sets out the need for 5 permanent pitches for Gypsies and Travellers... the approach to the provision of housing is comprehensive, positively prepared, appropriate to the needs of the area and consistent with national policy.’

3.6 The stages below provide a summary of the methodology that was used to complete this study. More information on each stage is provided in the appropriate sections of this report.

Glossary of Terms/Acronyms

3.7 A Glossary of Terms/Acronyms can be found in **Appendix A**.

Desk-Based Review

3.8 ORS collated a range of secondary data that was used to support the study. This included:

- » Census 2011 data (detailed 2021 Census data was not available at the time of reporting).
- » Traveller Caravan Count data.
- » Records of unauthorised sites/encampments.
- » Information on planning applications/appeals.
- » Information on enforcement actions.
- » Existing Needs Assessments and other relevant local studies.
- » Existing national and local policy, guidance, and best practice.

Survey of Travelling Communities

3.9 Through the desk-based research and the stakeholder interviews, ORS sought to identify all authorised and unauthorised sites/yards and encampments in the study area and attempted to complete an interview with the residents on all occupied pitches and plots. In order to gather the robust information needed to assess households against the planning definition of a Traveller, up to 3 attempts were made to contact households where it was not initially possible to conduct an interview because they were not available at the time.

- 3.10 Our experience suggests that an attempt to interview households on all pitches is more robust. A sample-based approach often leads to an under-estimate of need – and is an approach which is regularly challenged by the Planning Inspectorate and at Planning Appeals.
- 3.11 ORS worked closely with the Council to ensure that the interviews collected all the necessary information to support the study. The site interview questions that were used (see **Appendix D**) take account of past changes to PPTS and collect the information ORS feel is necessary to apply the current planning definition of a Traveller.
- 3.12 All interviews were completed by members of our dedicated team of experienced Researchers who work on our GTTSAA studies across England and Wales. Researchers attempted to conduct semi-structured interviews with residents to determine their current demographic characteristics, their current or future accommodation needs, whether there is any over-crowding or the presence of concealed households and travelling characteristics. Researchers also sought to identify contacts living in bricks and mortar to interview, as well as an overall assessment of each site to determine any opportunities for intensification or expansion to meet future needs.
- 3.13 Researchers also sought information from residents on the type of pitches they may require in the future – for example private or socially rented, together with any features they may wish to be provided on a new pitch or site.
- 3.14 Where it was not possible to undertake an interview, Researchers sought to capture as much information as possible about each pitch through a proxy interview from sources including neighbouring residents and site management (if present).

Engagement with Bricks and Mortar Households

- 3.15 The 2011 Census recorded just 3 households that identified as either Gypsies or Irish Travellers who lived in a house or bungalow Rutland and just 1 who lived in a flat or maisonette.
- 3.16 ORS apply a rigorous approach to making contact with bricks and mortar households as this is a common issue raised at Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals. Contacts were sought through a range of sources including the interviews with people on existing sites and yards; information from housing registers; and other local knowledge from Officers. Through this approach the GTTSAA endeavoured to do everything possible to give households living in bricks and mortar the opportunity to make their views known.
- 3.17 As a rule, ORS do not make any assumptions on the overall needs from households in bricks and mortar based on the outcomes of any interviews that are completed, as in our experience this leads to a significant over-estimate of the number of households

wishing to move to a site or a yard. ORS work on the assumption that all those wishing to move will make their views known to us or the Council during the fieldwork period for the GTTSAA.

Timing of the Fieldwork

3.18 ORS are fully aware of the transient nature of many travelling communities and subsequent seasonal variations in site and yard occupancy. ORS would normally aim to complete fieldwork during the non-travelling season, and also avoid days of known local or national events. The fieldwork was completed between October and December 2022 and it was possible to complete interviews with households on all occupied pitches and plots.

Applying the PPTS Planning Definition

3.19 The primary change to PPTS (2015) in relation to the assessment of need was the change to the definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson for planning purposes. Through the site interviews ORS sought to collect information necessary to assess each household against the planning definition. Since the PPTS was issued in 2015, a number of relevant appeal decisions have been issued by the Planning Inspectorate on how the planning definition should be applied (see Paragraphs 2.19 and 2.20 for examples) – these support the view that households need to be able to demonstrate that they travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, to meet the planning definition, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so, or have ceased to travel for work purposes temporarily due to education, ill health or old age.

3.20 The household survey included a structured section of questions to record information about the travelling characteristics of household members. This included questions on the following key issues:

- » Whether any household members have travelled in the past 12 months.
- » Whether household members have ever travelled.
- » The main reasons for travelling.
- » Where household members travelled to and for how long.
- » The times of the year that household members travelled.
- » Where household members stay when they are away travelling.
- » When household members stopped travelling.

- » The reasons why household members stopped travelling.
- » Whether household members intend to travel again in the future.
- » When and the reasons why household members plan to travel again in the future.

3.21 When the household survey was completed, the answers from these questions on travelling were used to determine the status of each household against the planning definition in PPTS (2015). Through a combination of responses, households need to provide sufficient information to demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, and plan to travel again for work purposes in the future. The same definition applies to Travelling Showpeople as to Gypsies and Travellers. This included information on the type of work that is undertaken; which family members travelled for work; the times of year that family members travel for work; the duration of trips for work; and where family members stay when travelling away from home for work.

3.22 Households that need to be considered in the GTTSAA fall under one of three classifications that will determine whether their housing needs will need to be assessed in the GTTSAA. Only those households that meet, or may meet, the planning definition will form the components of need to be formally included in the GTTSAA:

- » Households that travel under the planning definition.
- » Households that have ceased to travel temporarily under the planning definition.
- » Households where an interview was not possible who may fall under the planning definition.

3.23 Whilst the needs of those households that do not meet the planning definition do not need to be included in the GTTSAA, they will be assessed to provide the Council with components of need to consider as part of their work on wider housing needs assessments. This is consistent with the requirements of the NPPF (2021).

Undetermined Households

3.24 As well as calculating need for households that meet the planning definition, the needs of the households where an interview was not completed (either due to refusal to be interviewed or households that were not present during the fieldwork period) need to be assessed as part of the GTTSAA where they are believed to be Gypsies and Travellers who may meet the planning definition. Whilst there is no law or guidance that sets out

how the needs of these households should be addressed; an approach has been taken that seeks an estimate of potential need from these households. This will be an additional need figure over and above the need identified for households that do meet the planning definition.

- 3.25 The estimate seeks to identify potential current and future need from any pitches known to be temporary or unauthorised, and through new household formation. For the latter, the ORS national rate of 1.50%⁵ has been used as the demographics of residents are unknown.
- 3.26 Should further information be made available to the Council that will allow for the planning definition to be applied, these households could either form a confirmed component of need to be addressed through the GTTSAA or through wider assessments of housing need.
- 3.27 ORS believe it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether households where an interview was not completed meet the planning definition based on the outcomes of households where an interview was completed.
- 3.28 However, data that has been collected from over 5,500 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that overall, approximately 30% of households who have been interviewed meet the planning definition (this rises to 70% for Travelling Showpeople based on over 400 interviews that have been completed) – and in some local authorities, no households meet the planning definition.
- 3.29 ORS are not implying that this is an official national statistic - rather a national statistic based on the outcomes of our fieldwork since the introduction of PPTS (2015). It is estimated that there are 14,000 Gypsy and Traveller pitches in England and ORS have spoken with households on approximately 40% of them at a representative range of sites. Approximately 30% meet the planning definition. It is ORS' view therefore that this is the most comprehensive national statistic in relation to households that meet the planning definition in PPTS (2015) and should be seen as a robust statistical figure.
- 3.30 This would also suggest that it is likely that only a proportion of the potential need identified from undetermined households will need conditioned Gypsy and Traveller pitches, and that the needs of the majority will need to be addressed through separate Local Plan Policies.

⁵ See Appendix F for further details.

3.31 The ORS methodology to address the need arising from undetermined households was supported by the Planning Inspector for a Local Plan Examination for Maldon District Council, Essex. In his Report that was published on 29th June 2017 he concluded:

The Council's stance is that any need arising from 'unknowns' should be a matter left to the planning application process. Modifications to Policy H6 have been put forward by the Council setting out criteria for such a purpose, which I consider further below. To my mind, that is an appropriate approach. While there remains a possibility that up to 10 further pitches may be needed, that cannot be said to represent identified need. It would be unreasonable to demand that the Plan provide for needs that have not been established to exist.

Households that Do Not Meet the Planning Definition

3.32 Households who do not travel for work now fall outside the planning definition of a Traveller. However Romany Gypsies, Irish and Scottish Travellers may be able to claim a right to culturally appropriate accommodation under the Equality Act (2010) as a result of their protected characteristics. In addition, provisions set out in the Housing and Planning Act (2016) now include a duty (under Section 8 of the 1985 Housing Act that covers the requirement for a periodical review of housing needs) for local authorities to consider the needs of people residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed, or places on inland waterways where houseboats can be moored. Draft Guidance⁶ related to this section of the Act has been published setting out how the government would want local housing authorities to undertake this assessment and it is the same as the GTTSAA assessment process. The implication is therefore that the housing needs of any Gypsy and Traveller households who do not meet the planning definition of a Traveller will need to be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of the area and will form a subset of the wider need arising from households residing in caravans. This is echoed in Paragraph 62 of the NPPF (2021).

Calculating Current and Future Need

3.33 To identify need, PPTS (2015) requires an assessment for current and future pitch requirements but does not provide a methodology for this. However, as with any housing assessment, the underlying calculation can be broken down into a relatively small number of factors. In this case, the key issue is to compare the supply of pitches available for occupation with the current and future needs of the population.

⁶ Draft guidance to local housing authorities on the periodical review of housing needs for caravans and houseboats. DCLG (March 2016). Note that this Guidance was never finalised and brought into effect. However, the key principles have been accepted by ORS when completing GTTSAA's.

Supply of Pitches

3.34 The first stage of the assessment sought to determine the number of occupied, vacant, and potentially available supply in the study area:

- » Current vacant pitches.
- » Pitches currently with planning consent due to be developed within 5 years.
- » Pitches vacated by people moving to housing.
- » Pitches vacated by people moving from the study area (out-migration).

3.35 It is important when seeking to identify supply from vacant pitches that they are in fact available for general occupation – i.e. on a public or social rented site, or on a private site that is run on a commercial basis with anyone being able to rent a pitch if they are available. Typically, vacant pitches on small private family sites are not included as components of available supply but can be used to meet any current and future need from the family living on the site.

Current Need

3.36 The second stage was to identify components of current need, which is not necessarily the need for new pitches because they may be able to be addressed by space already available in the study area. It is important to address issues of double counting i.e. concealed or doubled-up households or households living in bricks and mortar that are also on the waiting list:

- » Households on unauthorised developments for which planning permission is not expected.
- » Concealed, doubled-up or over-crowded households (including single adults).
- » Teenage children in need of a pitch or plot of their own in the next 5 years.
- » In-migration/roadside.
- » Households in bricks and mortar wishing to move to sites or yards.
- » Households in need on waiting lists for public sites or yards.

Future Need

3.37 The final stage was to identify components of future need. This includes the following components:

- » Households living on sites or yards with temporary planning permissions.
- » New household formation.

3.38 Household formation rates are often the subject of challenge at appeals or examinations. ORS firmly believe that any household formation rates should consider both a local evidence base, as well as national precedent. The approach taken is set out in more detail in Chapter 7 of this report.

3.39 ORS are also increasingly identifying households and adult household members who have been forced to leave sites due to over-crowding or exceeding planning conditions on the number of caravans permitted on sites or yards. These households are typically living on the roadside or doubling-up on pitches or plots in neighbouring local authorities. ORS include these households as components of hidden need and term them displaced in-migration.

3.40 All of these components of supply and need are presented in tabular format which identify the overall net need for current and future accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. This has proven to be a robust model for identifying needs. The residential and transit pitch and plot needs for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople are identified separately and the needs are to 2046.

Pitch Turnover

3.41 Some assessments of need make use of turnover as an ongoing component of supply. ORS do not agree with this approach or about making any assumptions about annual turnover rates. This approach frequently ends up significantly under-estimating need as, in the majority of cases, vacant pitches or plots on sites are not available to meet any local need. The use of turnover has been the subject of a number of Inspectors' Decisions, for example APP/J3720/A/13/2208767 found a GTTSAA to be unsound when using turnover and concluded:

West Oxfordshire Council relies on a GTTSAA published in 2013. This identifies an immediate need for 6 additional pitches. However, the GTTSAA methodology treats pitch turnover as a component of supply. This is only the case if there is net outward migration, yet no such scenario is apparent in West Oxfordshire. Based on the evidence before me I consider the underlying

criticism of the GTTSAA to be justified and that unmet need is likely to be higher than that in the findings in the GTTSAA.

3.42 In addition, Best Practice for Assessing the Accommodation Needs of Gypsies and Travellers⁷ produced jointly in June 2016 by organisations including Friends, Families and Travellers, the London Gypsy and Traveller Unit, the York Travellers Trust, the Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group, Garden Court Chambers and Leeds GATE concluded that:

Assessments involving any form of pitch turnover in their supply relies upon making assumptions, a practice best avoided. Turnover is naturally very difficult to assess accurately and in practice does not contribute meaningfully to additional supply so should be very carefully assessed in line with local trends. Mainstream housing assessments are not based on the assumption that turnover within the existing stock can provide for general housing needs.

3.43 As such, other than current vacant pitches or plots on sites and yards that are known to be available, or pitches or plots that are known to become available through the household interviews, turnover has not been considered as an ongoing component of supply in this GTTSAA.

Transit Provision

3.44 GTTSAA studies require the identification of demand for transit provision. While the majority of Gypsies and Travellers have permanent bases either on Gypsy and Traveller sites or in bricks and mortar and no longer travel from the roadside, other members of the community either travel permanently or for part of the year. Due to the mobile nature of the population a range of sites can be developed to accommodate Gypsies and Travellers as they move through different areas.

- » **Transit sites** - full facilities where Gypsies and Travellers might live temporarily (for up to three months) – for example, to work locally, for holidays or to visit family and friends.
- » **Stopping places** - more limited facilities.
- » **Temporary sites and stopping places** - only temporary facilities to cater for an event.
- » **Negotiated stopping places** - agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time.

⁷ See www.londongypsiesandtravellers.org.uk/resources/ for details.

3.45 Transit sites serve a specific function of meeting the needs of Gypsy and Traveller households who are visiting an area or who are passing through on the way to somewhere else. A transit site typically has a restriction on the length of stay of usually around 12 weeks and has a range of facilities such as water supply, electricity, and amenity blocks.

4. Gypsy, Traveller & Travelling Showpeople Sites & Population

Introduction

- 4.1 One of the main considerations of this study is to provide evidence to support the provision of pitches and plots to meet the current and future accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. A pitch is an area normally occupied by one household, which typically contains enough space for one or two caravans but can vary in size⁸. A site is a collection of pitches which form a development typically exclusively for Gypsies and Travellers. For Travelling Showpeople, the most common descriptions used are a plot for the space occupied by one household and a yard for a collection of plots which are typically exclusively occupied by Travelling Showpeople. Throughout this study the main focus is upon how many extra pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and plots for Travelling Showpeople are required in the study area.
- 4.2 The public and private provision of mainstream housing is also largely mirrored when considering Gypsy and Traveller accommodation. One common form of a Gypsy and Traveller site is the publicly provided residential site, which is provided by a Local Authority or by a Registered Provider (usually a Housing Association). Pitches on public sites can be obtained through signing up to a waiting list, and the costs of running the sites are met from the rent paid by the tenants (similar to social housing).
- 4.3 The alternative to a public residential site is a private residential site or yard for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. These result from individuals or families buying areas of land and then obtaining planning permission to live on them. Households can also rent pitches on existing private sites. Therefore, these two forms of accommodation are the equivalent to private ownership and renting for those who live in bricks and mortar housing. Generally, the majority of Travelling Showpeople yards are privately owned and managed.
- 4.4 The Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population also has other types of sites due to its mobile nature. Transit sites tend to contain many of the same facilities as a

⁸ Whilst it has now been withdrawn, *Government Guidance on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites* recommended that, as a general guide, an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer [a static caravan or park home for example] and touring caravan, parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area.

residential site, except that there is a maximum occupancy period of residence which can vary from a few days or weeks to a period of months. An alternative to a transit site is an emergency or negotiated stopping place. This type of site also has restrictions on the length of time someone can stay on it but has much more limited facilities. Both of these two types of site are designed to accommodate, for a temporary period, Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople whilst they travel. A number of authorities also operate an accepted encampments policy where short-term stopovers are tolerated without enforcement action.

- 4.5 Further considerations for the Gypsy and Traveller population are unauthorised developments and encampments. Unauthorised developments occur on land which is owned by the Gypsies and Travellers or with the approval of the landowner, but for which they do not have planning permission to use for residential purposes. Unauthorised encampments occur on land which is not owned by the Gypsies and Travellers.

Sites and Yards in Rutland

- 4.6 In Rutland, at the base date for the GTTSAA, there were no public Gypsy and Traveller sites; 3 private sites with permanent planning permission (10 pitches); 1 unauthorised site (7 pitches); and 3 Travelling Showpeople's yards (24 plots). Furthermore, there were no public transit sites identified. See **Appendix D** for further details.

Figure 5 - Total amount of provision in Rutland December 2022

| Category | Sites/Yards | Pitches/Plots |
|--|-------------|---------------|
| Public sites | 0 | 0 |
| Private sites with permanent planning permission | 3 | 10 |
| Private sites with temporary planning permission | 0 | 0 |
| Tolerated sites | 0 | 0 |
| Unauthorised sites | 1 | 7 |
| Public transit sites | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling Showpeople yards | 3 | 24 |
| TOTAL | 7 | 41 |

DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count

- 4.7 Another source of information available on the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population is the bi-annual Traveller Caravan Count which is conducted by each Local Authority in England on a specific date in January and July of each year and reported to DLUHC. This is a statistical count of the number of caravans on both authorised and unauthorised sites across England. With effect from Jan 2012, the Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Count was renamed the Traveller Caravan Count due to the inclusion of information on Travelling Showpeople caravans.

4.8 As this count is of caravans and not households, it makes it more difficult to interpret for a study such as this because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is merely a 'snapshot in time' conducted by the Local Authority on a specific day, and any unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates will not be recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count will not be included. As such it is not considered appropriate to use the outputs from the Traveller Caravan Count in the calculation of current and future need as the information collected during the site visits is seen as more robust and fit-for-purpose. However, the Caravan Count data has been used to *support* the identification of the need to provide for transit provision and this is set out later in this report.

5. Stakeholder Engagement

Introduction

- 5.1 ORS undertook a stakeholder engagement programme to complement the information gathered through interviews with members of the Travelling Community. This consultation took the form of telephone interviews which were tailored to the role of the individual.
- 5.2 The aim of these interviews was to provide an understanding of current provision and possible future need; short-term encampments; transit provision; and cross-border issues.
- 5.3 A total of 4 interviews were undertaken with Council Officers from Rutland County Council. An interview was also completed with an Officer from the Leicestershire Multi Agency Traveller Unit (MATU) who are responsible for managing encampments in Rutland.
- 5.4 As stated in the PPTS, Local Authorities have a duty to cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries (S.110 Localism Act 2011). In order to explore issues relating to cross boundary working, ORS interviewed a Planning Officer from 5 neighbouring local authorities, as well as an Officer from Lincolnshire County Council:
- » Harborough District Council
 - » Lincolnshire County Council
 - » Melton Borough Council
 - » North Northamptonshire Council
 - » Peterborough City Council
 - » South Kesteven District Council
- 5.5 Due to issues surrounding data protection, and in order to protect the anonymity of those who took part, this section presents a summary of the views expressed by interviewees and verbatim comments have not been used. The views expressed in this section of the report represent a balanced summary of the views expressed by stakeholders, and on the views of the individuals concerned, rather than the official policy of their Council or organisation.

Views of Key Stakeholders and Council Officers in Rutland

Accommodation Needs

- 5.6 Since the last GTTSAA the Council has been focused, where appropriate, on enlarging existing Gypsy and Traveller sites within the area. In addition to this, one Travelling

Showpeople's yard has gone through an enlargement and there is possibility of further enlargement for the same yard.

5.7 The Council confirmed there are currently 3 privately owned Gypsy and Traveller sites in Rutland, with discussions ongoing about expanding one of the sites, and also to provide private transit pitches for family members. However, no formal decisions have been made at the time of reporting.

5.8 One Officer interviewed believes there to be overcrowding on the large Showpeople's yard and on one of the smaller Gypsy and Traveller sites. They also believe that the larger Gypsy and Traveller site is on the verge of overcrowding.

5.9 The Council confirmed that there are 2 Traveller sites that need expanding as pitches and plots are being subdivided, and equipment is being stored on them.

5.10 The Council was unaware of any Gypsies and Travellers living in bricks and mortar housing.

5.11 The Council is using the current GTTSAA to explore the needs of New Travellers.

Short-term Encampments and Transit Provision

5.12 An Officer confirmed that, at the time of interview, there were no existing short-term encampments, and that Rutland is not regularly visited.

5.13 The Council believes that when short term encampments do occur in the area this is due to the fact they are situated in a fairly well-connected route on the A1 between the East Midlands and East Anglia.

5.14 An Officer interviewed confirmed that whilst most encampments are recorded, many short-term encampments that occur at weekends may not be if they are not reported to the Council. These are usually to visit family. A favoured location for short-term encampments is Oakham on the A606.

Cross Border Issues

5.15 The Council is unaware of any cross-border issues.

5.16 In terms of joint working, the Council has discussions with Leicestershire Multi Agency Traveller Unit (MATU) when there is a possibility of households pitching in Rutland. The Council is also working with neighbouring authorities during the preparation of a new Local Plan.

5.17 Both Rutland Council and their neighbouring boroughs believe that they are complying with the Duty to Cooperate.

Future Priorities and Any Further Issues

5.18 There have been discussions and plans about turning St George's Barracks into a new settlement and Gypsies and Travellers were being considered in the development. However, that idea was axed due to a failure to agree the plans. A new Local Plan is currently being prepared.

Leicestershire Multi Agency Traveller Unit (MATU)

5.19 With regard to overall accommodation need across Leicestershire and Rutland, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer confirmed they are conducting a council-wide search for transit provision across Leicestershire, due to transit provision not being needed in each individual district/borough in the county. They explained it would be useful to have transit provision in Rutland due to the issues around the use of S62 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 and directing families across local authority boundaries, but as the level of unauthorised encampments is low in Rutland it isn't essential, and that there are no transit sites or fixed negotiated stopping places within Leicestershire.
- » The Officer said that there may be a need for New Travellers in Rutland, based on the presence of short-term encampments, but this needs to be confirmed. These encampments usually consist of the same group of Travellers who have been moving around the area for many years. Some of the families move around regularly due to the nature of their horses and the need for fresh grazing.
- » The Officer confirmed there to be under reporting of some of the unauthorised encampments especially the single traditional Vardo's (horse drawn wagons) possibly due to the frequency of which the encampments move on.
- » The Officer confirmed the existence of a "toleration policy", wherein an encampment is left in situ for a period of time providing they adhere to The Leicestershire, Leicester & Rutland Code of Practice.

5.20 With regard to the subject of cross border issues, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer confirmed joint working through The National Association of Gypsy Traveller Officers (NAGTO). Members from the county liaise through NAGTO for regional meetings.
- » The Officer described MATU's relationship with Rutland being contracted to MATU as "part of the same team" when asked about joint-working as

Rutland joined the other local authorities in Leicestershire in being part of the Multi Agency Travellers Unit Agreement. There is a good deal of cross boundary work happening.

Neighbouring Authorities

Harborough District Council

5.21 With regard to overall accommodation need across Harborough, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » In March 2016 there were 82 residential pitches occupied by Gypsies and Travellers across Harborough District and 98 plots occupied by Travelling Showpeople households.
- » Since the last GTTSAA, Harborough District Council has adopted a Local Plan which, under Policy H6, allocates land for 5 Gypsy and Traveller pitches to meet the identified requirement for 5 pitches to 2031. It also identifies a reserve site of 10 pitches to meet future accommodation needs due to a potential increase in need for pitches arising from any changes to the PPTS definition of Gypsies and Travellers. In addition, the policy allows for new, and extensions/improvements to existing permitted or lawful, Gypsy and Traveller sites, providing that specified criteria are met.
- » The latest published Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) 2020/21 shows that the Council has a 17.14 year supply of Gypsy and Traveller pitches.
- » The Local Plan also allocates a yard for 18 plots for Travelling Showpeople to contribute to the identified requirement up to 2031. A further yard of 7 plots as an expansion to an existing yard is also identified to meet the requirement.

5.22 With regard to the subject of cross border issues, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Jointly prepared evidence (across Leicester and Leicestershire) fed into the Local Plan, ensuring a coherent cross-boundary approach to the assessment of need. Rutland County Council officers were interviewed as part of the 2017 Leicestershire and Leicester City GTAA.
- » The Council has embarked on a review of the Local Plan and the duty to cooperate with adjoining authorities on this strategic issue will be part of the work going forward.

Lincolnshire County Council

5.23 Regarding overall accommodation needs in Lincolnshire, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer interviewed confirmed that one of Lincolnshire's District Councils had purchased a piece of land with the intention of using it for a transit site.
- » The Officer confirmed they are aware of one Showpeople's site in Lincolnshire which is in Mablethorpe where it is opened during the summer months and closed through the winter.

5.24 Regarding the subject of Cross-Boundary issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer confirmed the Council had spoken to transit groups in the past. The general consensus among the groups in question was that a large, grassed area with access to facilities such as portable toilets and refuse bins would be preferred over traditional transit sites.
- » The Officer suggested that transit sites in the county should be either at main travelling destinations or on main travelling routes due to the wants of the travellers.
- » The County is unaware of any issues with the Duty to Cooperate.

Melton Borough Council

5.25 Regarding overall accommodation needs in Melton, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer interviewed confirmed that the permanent pitches that are currently in the borough meet the identified need in their latest GTAA from April 2026 to 2036.
- » The Officer confirmed there were unauthorised encampments/tolerated sites/temporary permissions identified in the previous GTAA.

- » The Officer confirmed the presence of short-term encampments which occur within the borough; however, these encampments appear to be seasonal.

5.26 Regarding the subject of Cross-Boundary issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer confirmed that their neighbouring area of Charnwood appear to have high levels of unauthorised encampments which is believed could impact on Melton Borough.
- » The Officer confirmed that there are county-wide meetings that have taken place to discuss the need for transit provision across Leicestershire.
- » The Officer confirmed that Melton Borough and its neighbouring boroughs are complying with Duty to Cooperate with the aforementioned dialogues as an example.

North Northamptonshire Council

5.27 With regard to overall accommodation need across North Northamptonshire, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer confirmed there has been a GTAA update commissioned to reflect that the four former councils of Corby, East Northamptonshire, Kettering, and Wellingborough have been combined in a new unitary authority for North Northamptonshire. The commissioning of a Pitch Deliverability assessment was also confirmed.
- » The Officer confirmed that the previous GTAA (2019) identified a need for additional provision to meet the needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
- » The previous GTAA identified overcrowding/concealed households. In addition to this it identified unauthorised encampments, tolerated sites and temporary permissions, however this information is subject to change with the result of the upcoming GTAA.

5.28 With regard to the subject of cross border issues, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer interviewed mentioned past cross-border issues with West Northamptonshire and Market Harborough, related to a cluster of sites close to the borders of Market Harborough and the former local authority areas of Kettering and Daventry.

- » North Northamptonshire Council and their neighbouring authorities are complying with Duty to Cooperate.
- » The Council will be joint working through the preparation of the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocation Policy DPD.

Peterborough City Council

5.29 With regard to overall accommodation need across Peterborough, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer confirmed that a GTANA conducted to inform the Local Plan did not identify any need for additional pitches in the area for households that meet the definition.
- » The Officer confirmed a future need of 18 pitches was identified in the aforementioned GTANA for households that did not meet the definition.
- » The Officer interviewed confirmed that they are aware of 1 unauthorised site with 1 pitch.
- » The Officer confirmed that Peterborough is used regularly as a stopping place but that they do not have any transit provision. Due to this transit site shortage, households that are stopping are moved on.

5.30 With regard to the subject of cross border issues, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer interviewed was unaware of any cross-border issues, and believes the neighbouring authorities are successfully meeting their own need.
- » Peterborough City Council and its neighbouring authorities are complying with Duty to Cooperate, and Peterborough works closely with other Cambridgeshire authorities.

South Kesteven District Council

5.31 With regard to overall accommodation need across South Kesteven, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer confirmed that site allocations were not included in the Local Plan. The Council is now completing a Local Plan Review and are seeking to commission a new GTAA to assess need in the area.
- » In the most recent Caravan Count, the Council identified 5 unauthorised sites with 20 pitches between them, a socially rented site with 17 pitches,

and 3 privately owned sites with planning permission, with 47 pitches between them.

- » The Officer interviewed believes there is a lack of site accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople within the South Kesteven district.
- » The Officer confirmed that at the time of interview there were 4 unauthorised sites without planning permission that were being tolerated, and 2 pitches on one unauthorised site that were not tolerated.
- » The Officer was not aware of any short-term unauthorised encampments but expressed that it was a matter that the Enforcement Team to deal with.

5.32 With regard to the subject of cross border issues, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Officer interviewed was unaware of any cross-border issues, and believes the neighbouring authorities are successfully meeting their own need.
- » The Council and its neighbouring authorities are complying with Duty to Cooperate.
- » The Officer confirmed that South Kesteven has signed a statement of common ground with Central Lincolnshire. In addition to this they have been working with Rutland on a cross boundary general housing development and have set up a strategic board to discuss general cross boundary issues.

6. Survey of Travelling Communities

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers

- 6.1 One of the major components of this study was a detailed survey of the Gypsy and Traveller population living in the study area, and also efforts to engage with the bricks and mortar community.
- 6.2 In Rutland, at the base date for the GTTSAA, there was no public Gypsy and Traveller sites; 3 private sites with permanent planning permission (10 pitches); and 1 unauthorised site (7 pitches). There were 3 Travelling Showpeople yards identified (24 plots). Furthermore, there were no public transit sites identified. See **Appendix D** for further details.
- 6.3 The tables below set out the number of pitches/plots, the number of interviews that were completed, and any reasons why interviews were not able to be completed. When vacant pitches, pitches currently used for storage, and pitches occupied by non-Travellers are taken into consideration this represents a response rate of 100%.

Figure 6 – Interviews completed in Rutland

| Site Status | Pitches/Plots | Interviews | Reasons for not completing interviews/additional interviews |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------|---|
| Public Sites | | | |
| None | - | - | - |
| Private Sites | | | |
| Acorns, near Uppingham | 1 | 1 | - |
| Plots 1 to 8 The Paddocks, Langham | 8 | 7 | 1 x un-developed |
| Travellers Rest, Langham | 1 | 1 | - |
| Tolerated Sites | | | |
| None | - | - | - |
| Unauthorised Sites | | | |
| Oakham Road | 7 | 7 | - |
| TSP - Authorised | | | |
| Five Counties Caravan Park, Greetham | 21 | 19 | 1 x non-Travellers, 1 x storage |
| Pinders Circus, near Uppingham | 2 | 2 | - |
| The Elms, Greetham | 1 | 1 | - |
| TOTAL | 41 | 38 | |

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar

6.4 It was possible to identify and interview 2 households living in bricks and mortar.

7. Current and Future Pitch Provision

Introduction

- 7.1 This section focuses on the pitch provision which is needed in the study area currently and to 2046. This includes both current unmet need and need which is likely to arise in the future⁹. This time period allows for robust forecasts of the requirements for future provision, based upon the evidence contained within this study and also secondary data sources. Whilst the difficulty in making accurate assessments beyond 5 years has been highlighted in previous studies, the approach taken in this study to estimate new household formation has been accepted by Planning Inspectors as the most appropriate methodology to use.
- 7.2 We would note that this section is based upon a combination of the on-site surveys, planning records and stakeholder interviews. In many cases, the survey data is not used in isolation, but instead is used to validate information from planning records or other sources.
- 7.3 This section concentrates not only upon the total provision which is required in the area, but also whether there is a need for any transit sites and/or emergency stopping place provision.

New Household Formation Rates

- 7.4 Nationally, a household formation and growth rate of 3.00% net per annum¹⁰ has been commonly assumed and widely used in local Gypsy and Traveller assessments, even though there is no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for pitches unrealistically. In this context, ORS prepared a Technical Note on Gypsy and Traveller Household Formation and Growth Rates in 2015 and updated it in June 2020. The main conclusions are set out here and the full paper is in **Appendix F**.
- 7.5 Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in caravan counts. However, caravan count data is unreliable and

⁹ See Paragraphs 3.41 and 3.42 for details of components on current and future need.

¹⁰ Page 25, Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments – Guidance (DCLG – 2007) Now withdrawn.

erratic – so the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis.

7.6 The Technical Note concludes that in fact, the growth in the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – much less than the 3.00% per annum often assumed, but still greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2.00% per annum nationally.

7.7 The often assumed 3.00% per annum net household growth rate is unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.50% per annum for Gypsies and Travellers (in addition research by ORS has identified a national growth rate of 1.00% for Travelling Showpeople) and this has also been adjusted locally based on site demographics.

7.8 This view has been supported by Planning Inspectors in a number of Decision Notices. The Inspector for an appeal in Doncaster that was issued in November 2016 (Ref: APP/F4410/W/15/3133490), where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used, concluded:

In assessing need account also needs to be taken of likely household growth over the coming years. In determining an annual household growth rate, the Council relies on the work of Opinions Research Services (ORS), part of Swansea University. ORS's research considers migration, population profiles, births & fertility rates, death rates, household size data and household dissolution rates to determine average household growth rates for gypsies and travellers. The findings indicate that the average annual growth rate is in the order of 1.50% but that a 2.50% figure could be used if local data suggest a relatively youthful population. As the Council has found a strong correlation between Doncaster's gypsy and traveller population age profile and the national picture, a 1.50% annual household growth rate has been used in its 2016 GTANA. Given the rigour of ORS's research and the Council's application of its findings to the local area I accept that a 1.50% figure is justified in the case of Doncaster.

7.9 Another more recent case was in relation to an appeal in Guildford that was issued in March 2018 (Ref: APP/W/16/3165526) where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant again claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used. The Inspector concluded:

There is significant debate about household formation rates and the need to meet future growth in the district. The obvious point to make is that this issue is likely to be debated at the local-plan examination. In my opinion, projecting growth rates is not an exact science and the debate demonstrates some divergence of opinion

between the experts. Different methodologies could be applied producing a wide range of data. However, on the available evidence it seems to me that the figures used in the GTAA are probably appropriate given that they are derived by using local demographic evidence. In my opinion, the use of a national growth rate and its adaptation to suit local or regional variation, or the use of local base data to refine the figure, is a reasonable approach.

7.10 In addition, the Technical Note has been accepted as a robust academic evidence base and has been published by the Social Research Association in its journal *Social Research Practice* in December 2017. The overall purpose of the journal is to encourage and promote high standards of social research for public benefit.

7.11 ORS assessments take full account of the net local household growth rate per annum calculated on the basis of demographic evidence from the site surveys, and the 'baseline' includes all current authorised households, all households identified as in current need (including concealed households, movement from bricks and mortar and those on waiting lists not currently living on a pitch or plot), as well as households living on tolerated unauthorised pitches or plots who are not included as current need. The assessments of future need also take account of modelling projections based on birth and death rates, household dissolution, and in-/out-migration.

7.12 Overall, the household growth rate used for the assessment of future needs is informed by local evidence. This local demographic evidence is usually used to adjust the ORS national growth rate of 1.50% up or down based on the proportion of those aged under 18 (by planning status). This approach has been applied for Travelling Showpeople in Rutland that met the planning definition of a Traveller.

7.13 However, in certain circumstances where the numbers of households and children are low, or the population age structure cohorts are skewed by certain age groups, it is not appropriate to apply a percentage rate for new household formation. In these cases, a judgement is made on likely new household formation based on the age and gender of the children. This is based on the assumption that 50% of households likely to form will stay in the area. This is based on evidence from other GTTSAA's that ORS have completed across England and Wales.

7.14 The latter approach has been applied in Rutland for the following reasons:

- » Gypsy and Traveller households that meet the planning definition: High numbers of children aged 3-7.
- » Gypsy and Traveller households that do not meet the planning definition: Low numbers of children aged under 18.

7.15 New household formation has been calculated from year 6 of the GTTSAA period onwards. New household formation for years 0-5 of the GTTSAA period is from any teenagers identified as being in need of a pitch in the next 5 years who have been

identified as components of need in the household interviews. This eliminates any double counting in the assessment of need.

Breakdown by 5 Year Bands

7.16 In addition to tables which set out the overall need for Gypsies and Travellers, the overall need has also been broken down by 5-year bands as required by PPTS (2015). The way that this is calculated is by including all current need (from unauthorised pitches, pitches with temporary planning permission, concealed and doubled-up households, 5 year need from teenage children, and net movement from bricks and mortar) in the first 5 years. In addition, the total net new household formation is split across the GTTSAA period based on the compound rate of growth that was applied rather than being split equally over time.

Applying the Planning Definition

7.17 The outcomes from the household interviews were used to determine the status of each household against the planning definition in PPTS (2015). This assessment was based on the responses to the questions given to Researchers. Only those households that met the planning definition or those who demonstrated that they have ceased to travel temporarily (due to education, ill health, or old age) form the components of need in the GTTSAA that will need to be addressed through a Gypsy and Traveller Local Plan Policy. In addition, households where an interview was not completed who may meet the planning definition have also been included as a potential additional component of need from undetermined households. Whilst they do not need to be formally considered in the GTTSAA, need from households that did not meet the planning definition has also been assessed to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be considered as part of the wider housing needs of the area and through separate Local Plan Policies.

7.18 The information used to assess households against the planning definition included information on whether households have ever travelled; why they have stopped travelling; the reasons that they travel; and whether they plan to travel again in the future and for what reasons. The table below sets out the planning status of households that were interviewed for the Rutland GTTSAA. This includes any hidden households that were identified during the household interviews including concealed and doubled-up households or single adults and accepted in-migration.

Figure 7 – Planning status of households in Rutland

| Status | Meet Planning Definition | Do Not Meet Planning Definition | Undetermined |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Gypsies and Travellers | | | |
| Private Sites | 9 | 4 | 0 |
| Unauthorised Sites | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| In-Migration/Roadside | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Bricks & Mortar | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Total | 13 | 11 | 0 |
| Travelling Showpeople | | | |
| TSP – Private Yards | 28 | 2 | 0 |
| Sub-Total | 28 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 13 | 0 |

7.19 Figure 7 shows that for Gypsies and Travellers in Rutland, 13 households met the planning definition of a Traveller, and for Travelling Showpeople 28 households met the planning definition, in that they were able to demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and stay away from their usual place of residence or have ceased to travel temporarily.

7.20 A total of 11 Gypsy and Traveller households and 2 Travelling Showpeople households did not meet the planning definition as they were not able to demonstrate that they travel away from their usual place of residence for the purpose of work, nor that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to children in education, ill health, or old age. Some did travel for cultural reasons, to visit relatives or friends, and others had ceased to travel permanently.

7.21 There were no undetermined households as it was possible to make contact with all Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households identified in the site and yard baseline.

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar

7.22 It was possible to identify 2 households living in bricks and mortar to interview and both met the planning definition.

Migration/Roadside

7.23 The study has also sought to address in-migration (households requiring accommodation who move into the study area from outside) and out-migration (households moving away from the study area). Site surveys typically identify only small numbers of in-migrant and out-migrant households and the data is not normally robust enough to extrapolate long-term trends. At the national level, there is nil net migration

of Gypsies and Travellers across the UK, but the assessment has taken into account local migration effects on the basis of the best evidence available.

7.24 The study also sought to identify any need from households who have been forced to move from sites due to overcrowding and who are currently living on the roadside or on sites in other local authorities – and who have strong family links with households in Rutland. These are referred to as roadside households or displaced in-migration.

7.25 Evidence drawn from stakeholder and household interviews has been considered alongside assessments of need that have been completed in other nearby local authorities. The household interviews identified 2 households living on the roadside both in Rutland and in other parts of the country, but did not identify any households living in other local authorities who need to move back to a site in Rutland.

7.26 ORS have found no firm evidence from other local studies that have been completed recently of any households wishing to move to Rutland. Therefore, apart from the roadside need set out above, net migration to the sum of zero has been assumed for the GTTSAA – which means that net pitch requirements are driven by locally identifiable need rather than speculative modelling assumptions.

7.27 It is important to note that any applications for new sites or additional pitches as a result of in-migration should be seen as windfall need and should be dealt with by Criteria-Based Local Plan Policies.

Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that met the Planning Definition

7.28 Analysis of the household interviews has identified a need from 3 concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; 3 teenagers who will need a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; 2 households from in-migration or roadside; 2 households from movement from bricks and mortar; and 9 from new household formation derived from the demographics of the residents.

7.29 Therefore, the overall level of need for those households who met the planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller is for **19 pitches** over the GTTSAA period.

Figure 8 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition (2022-46)

| Gypsies and Travellers - Meeting Planning Definition | Pitches |
|--|----------------|
| Supply of Pitches | |
| Available supply from vacant public and private pitches | 0 |
| Available supply from pitches on new sites | 0 |
| Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar | 0 |
| Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area | 0 |
| Total Supply | 0 |
| Current Need | |
| Households on unauthorised developments | 0 |
| Households on unauthorised encampments | 0 |
| Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding | 3 |
| 5 year need from teenage children | 3 |
| In-migration/Roadside | 2 |
| Movement from bricks and mortar | 2 |
| Households on waiting lists for public sites | 0 |
| Total Current Need | 10 |
| Future Need | |
| Households on sites with temporary planning permission | 0 |
| New household formation | 9 |
| <i>(Formation from demographics)</i> | |
| Total Future Needs | 9 |
| Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply) | 19 |

Figure 9 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

| Year Period | Dates | Need |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|
| 0 – 5 | 2022 – 26 | 10 |
| 6 – 10 | 2027 – 31 | 2 |
| 11 – 15 | 2032 – 36 | 3 |
| 16 – 20 | 2037 – 41 | 1 |
| 21 – 25 | 2042 – 46 | 3 |
| 0 – 25 | 2022 – 46 | 19 |

Pitch Needs – Undetermined Gypsies and Travellers

7.30 There is **no need from undetermined households** as it was possible to complete interviews with households on all occupied pitches.

Pitch Needs - Gypsies and Travellers that did not meet the Planning Definition

7.31 It is not now a requirement for a GTTSAA to include an assessment of need for households that did not meet the planning definition. However, this assessment is included for illustrative purposes, to help fulfil the requirements of the Housing Act (1985)¹¹ and the NPPF (2021) and to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be addressed through separate Local Plan Policies.

7.32 On this basis, it is evident that whilst any needs from the households who did not meet the planning definition will represent only a very small proportion of the overall housing need, the Council will still need to ensure that arrangements are in place to properly address these needs – especially as many identified as Irish and Romany Gypsies and may claim that the Council should meet their housing needs through culturally appropriate housing.

7.33 The households interviews **identified a need for 12 pitches**. This is made up of 7 unauthorised pitches; 1 concealed or doubled-up household or single adult; 1 teenager who will need a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; and 3 from new household formation, derived from the household demographics. See **Appendix B** for further details.

¹¹ See Paragraph 3.34 for details.

Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople the met the Planning Definition

7.34 Analysis of the household interviews for those that met the planning definition has identified a need from 12 concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; 11 teenagers who will need a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; and 14 from new household formation using a rate of 1.20% derived from the demographics of the residents.

7.35 Therefore, the overall level of need for those households who met the planning definition of a Travelling Showpeople is for **37 plots** over the GTTSAA period.

Figure 10 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition (2022-46)

| Travelling Showpeople - Meeting Planning Definition | Plots |
|--|--------------|
| Supply of Plots | |
| Available supply from vacant public and private plots | 0 |
| Available supply from plots on new yards | 0 |
| Plots vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar | 0 |
| Plots vacated by households moving away from the study area | 0 |
| Total Supply | 0 |
| Current Need | |
| Households on unauthorised developments | 0 |
| Households on unauthorised encampments | 0 |
| Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding | 12 |
| 5 year need from teenage children | 11 |
| In-migration/Roadside | 0 |
| Movement from bricks and mortar | 0 |
| Households on waiting lists for public yards | 0 |
| Total Current Need | 23 |
| Future Need | |
| Households on yards with temporary planning permission | 0 |
| New household formation | 14 |
| <i>(Household base 43 and formation rate 1.20%)</i> | |
| Total Future Needs | 14 |
| Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply) | 37 |

Figure 11 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

| Year Period | Dates | Need |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0 – 5 | 2022 – 26 | 23 |
| 6 – 10 | 2027 – 31 | 3 |
| 11 – 15 | 2032 – 36 | 3 |
| 16 – 20 | 2037 – 41 | 4 |
| 21 – 25 | 2042 – 46 | 4 |
| 0 – 25 | 2022 – 46 | 37 |

Plot Needs – Undetermined Travelling Showpeople

7.36 There is **no need from undetermined households** as it was possible to complete interviews with households on all occupied plots.

Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople that did not meet the Planning Definition

7.37 It is not now a requirement for a GTTSAA to include an assessment of need for households that did not meet the planning definition. However, this assessment is included for illustrative purposes, to help fulfil the requirements of the Housing Act 1985¹² and the NPPF (2021) and to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be addressed through separate Local Plan Policies.

7.38 On this basis, it is evident that whilst any needs from the households who did not meet the planning definition will represent only a very small proportion of the overall housing need, the Council will still need to ensure that arrangements are in place to properly address these needs.

7.39 The households interviews **identified a need for 1 plot for those that did not meet the planning definition** and this was from a concealed or doubled-up household or single adult. See **Appendix B** for further details.

Transit Requirements

7.40 When determining the potential need for transit provision the assessment has looked at data from the DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count, the outcomes of the stakeholder interviews and records on numbers of unauthorised encampments.

DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count

7.41 Whilst it is considered to be a comprehensive national dataset on numbers of authorised and unauthorised caravans across England, it is acknowledged that the Traveller Caravan Count is a count of caravans and not households. It also does not record the reasons for unauthorised caravans. This makes it very difficult to interpret in relation to assessing future need because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is also only a twice yearly (January and July) ‘snapshot in time’ for Gypsies and Travellers, and a once yearly (January) for Travelling Showpeople conducted by local authorities on a specific day, and any caravans on unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates are not recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count are not included. As such it is not considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count in the assessment of future transit provision. It does however provide valuable historic and trend data on whether there are instances of unauthorised caravans in local authority areas.

7.42 Data from the Traveller Caravan Count shows that there have been very low numbers of unauthorised caravans recorded on land not owned by Travellers in recent years.

¹² See Paragraph 3.34 for details.

There were 11 caravans recorded in the July 2022 Caravan Count but these may have included the 7 unauthorised caravans on the long-term unauthorised site at Oakham Road that do not have a transit need.

Stakeholder Interviews and Local Data

- 7.43 Data provided by MATU identified a total of 14 recorded encampments between August 2019 and May 2022, plus the long-term unauthorised development at Oakham Road. Excluding Oakham Road, 10 of the encampments were occupied by New Travellers, and 4 were occupied by Irish Travellers.
- 7.44 The Irish Traveller encampments were all for less than 4 days and comprised larger numbers of caravans. This suggests that these households were either travelling through Rutland; were visiting friends and family; or were attending an event.
- 7.45 The encampments occupied by New Travellers were smaller with the majority comprising only 1 or 2 caravans. However, the length of stay for many of these was far longer than for the Irish Travellers. This may suggest more of a local connection to Rutland and surrounding areas.
- 7.46 The interview with MATU stated that the majority of the encampments occupied by New Travellers usually consist of the same groups who have been moving around the area for many years, but this needs to be confirmed. This could also suggest a need for more permanent accommodation as opposed to transit provision. This is similar to the households living on the long-term encampment at Oakham Road.
- 7.47 An Officer interviewed was also of the view that some encampments are not reported to the Council due to the fact the households only usually stay for a couple of days before moving on.

Transit Recommendations

- 7.48 Due to low numbers of recorded encampments for Gypsies and Travellers it is not recommended that there is a need for any formal transit provision in Rutland at this time. However, the situation relating to encampments occupied by New Travellers needs to be quantified in terms of whether these households would make use of any formal transit provision; whether there are any options for more informal transit arrangements to be put in place; or whether some or all of these households are in need of more permanent options.
- 7.49 The situation relating to levels of encampments should continue to be monitored. As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in the local area; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from;

and whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in the local area. This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or similar).

7.50 It is recommended that the review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments should be completed in conjunction with the Leicestershire Multi Agency Traveller Unit (MATU).

7.51 In the short-term the Council should continue to use its current approaches when dealing with encampments and management-based approaches such as negotiated stopping agreements could also be considered.

7.52 The term 'negotiated stopping' is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent 'built' transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the Council and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides. See www.negotiatedstopping.co.uk for further information.

7.53 Discussions with MATU, who are responsible for dealing with encampments in Rutland, confirmed the existence of a "toleration policy", wherein an encampment is left for a period of time providing they adhere to The Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Code of Practice for Travellers.

7.54 Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities.

8. Conclusions

8.1 This study provides a robust evidence base to enable the Council to assess the housing needs of the Travelling Community as well as complying with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015, the Housing and Planning Act 2016, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021, and Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2021. It also provides the evidence base which can be used to support Local Plan Policies.

Gypsies and Travellers

8.2 In summary there is a need for:

- » 19 pitches in Rutland over the GTTSAA period to 2046 for Gypsy and Traveller households that met the planning definition.
- » No pitches for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households that may meet the planning definition.
- » 12 pitches for Gypsy and Traveller households who did not meet the planning definition.

8.3 In general terms, need identified in a GTTSAA is seen as need for pitches. As set out in Chapter 4 of this report, the now withdrawn *Government Guidance on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites* recommended that, as a general guide, an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer and touring caravan, parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area.

8.4 The Council will also need to carefully consider how to address any needs from households seeking to move to Rutland (in-migration), or from any households currently living in bricks and mortar who may wish to move to a site. In terms of Local Plan Policies, the Council should use Core Strategy Policy CS12 (2011) which is a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any undetermined households, as well as to deal with any windfall applications, and need from bricks and mortar.

8.5 Regarding need from households that did not meet the planning definition, in general terms, it is the Government's intention that any need for households who do not fall within the PPTS planning definition should be met as part of general housing need, and through separate Local Plan Policies.

8.6 In addition, the Council should be aware that the 7 households living on the unauthorised site who did not meet the planning definition stated categorically when interviewed that they did not want to move to a formal Traveller site, and simply wanted access to basic facilities such as a water bowser and portaloo(s). As

such, it is recommended that further engagement is sought with these households to determine how best the Council can support them to meet their needs.

8.7 It is recognised that the Council is in the process of preparing a new Local Plan that sets out overall housing need. The findings of this report should be considered as part of future housing mix and type within the context of the assessment of overall housing need in relation to Gypsies and Travellers. Whilst the findings in this report are aggregated totals for the whole of Rutland due to data protection issues, the Council have more detailed data to support the preparation on the new Local Plan.

Travelling Showpeople

8.8 In summary there is a need for:

- » 37 plots in Rutland over the GTTSAA period to 2046 for Travelling Showpeople households that met the planning definition.
- » No plots for undetermined Travelling Showpeople households that may meet the planning definition.
- » 1 plot for Travelling Showpeople households who did not meet the planning definition.

Transit Provision

8.9 Due to low numbers of recorded encampments for Gypsies and Travellers it is not recommended that there is a need for any formal transit provision in Rutland at this time. However, the situation relating to encampments occupied by New Travellers needs to be quantified in terms of whether these households would make use of any formal transit provision; whether there are any options for more informal transit arrangements to be put in place; or whether some or all of these households are in need of more permanent options.

8.10 The situation relating to levels of encampments should continue to be monitored. As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in the local area; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; and whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in the local area. This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or similar).

8.11 It is recommended that the review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments should be completed in conjunction with the Leicestershire Multi Agency Traveller Unit (MATU).

8.12 In the short-term the Council should continue to use its current approaches when dealing with encampments and management-based approaches such as negotiated stopping agreements could also be considered.

8.13 Discussions with MATU, who are responsible for dealing with encampments in Rutland, confirmed the existence of a “toleration policy”, wherein an encampment is left for a period of time providing they adhere to the Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Code of Practice for Travellers.

Summary of Need to be Addressed – Gypsies and Travellers

8.14 The total need identified in the GTTSAA is for 31 pitches for the period to 2046.

8.15 Taking into consideration all of the elements of need that have been assessed, the table below sets out the likely number of pitches that will need to be addressed either through a Gypsy and Traveller Local Plan Policy, or through separate Local Plan Housing Policies.

Figure 12 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by Local Plan Policy Type

| Delivery Status | Gypsy & Traveller Policy | Housing Policy | TOTAL |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Meet Planning Definition | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| Not meeting Planning Definition | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| TOTAL | 19 | 12 | 31 |

Summary of Need to be Addressed – Travelling Showpeople

8.16 The total need identified in the GTTSAA is for 38 plots for the period to 2046.

8.17 Taking into consideration all of the elements of need that have been assessed, the table below sets out the likely number of plots that will need to be addressed either through a Travelling Showpeople Local Plan Policy, or through separate Local Plan Housing Policies.

Figure 13 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households broken down by Local Plan Policy Type

| Delivery Status | Travelling Showpeople Policy | Housing Policy | TOTAL |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Meet Planning Definition | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| Not meeting Planning Definition | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 37 | 1 | 38 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland (2022-46)..... | 9 |
| Figure 2 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition by year periods | 9 |
| Figure 3 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland (2022-46)..... | 10 |
| Figure 4 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition by year periods | 10 |
| Figure 5 - Total amount of provision in Rutland December 2022 | 32 |
| Figure 6 – Interviews completed in Rutland | 42 |
| Figure 7 – Planning status of households in Rutland..... | 48 |
| Figure 8 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition (2022-46)..... | 50 |
| Figure 9 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods | 50 |
| Figure 10 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition (2022-46)..... | 53 |
| Figure 11 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods | 53 |
| Figure 12 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by Local Plan Policy Type | 59 |
| Figure 13 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households broken down by Local Plan Policy Type | 59 |
| Figure 14 - Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that did not meet the Planning Definition (2022-46)..... | 65 |
| Figure 15 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that did not meet the Planning Definition by 5-year periods | 65 |
| Figure 16 - Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that did not meet the Planning Definition (2022-46)..... | 66 |
| Figure 17 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that did not meet the Planning Definition by 5-year periods | 66 |

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms / Acronyms

Amenity block meaning a building where basic plumbing amenities are provided. This could include a bath, a shower, a WC and a sink.

Bricks and mortar is used to describe mainstream housing.

Caravan is used to describe mobile living vehicle used by Gypsies and Travellers. Also referred to as trailers.

Concealed household is used to describe households living within other households, who are unable to set up separate family units.

Doubling-Up refers to there being more than the permitted number of caravans on a pitch or plot.

Emergency Stopping Place is a temporary site with limited facilities to be occupied by Gypsies and Travellers while they travel.

Green Belt refers to a land use designation used to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another; assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

Household Formation is the process in which individuals form separate households. This is normally though adult children setting up their own household.

In-migration refers to movement of households into a region or community.

Local Plans are Local Authority spatial planning documents that can include specific policies and/or site allocations for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.

Out-migration refers to the Movement from one region or community in order to settle in another.

Pitch/plot is an area of land on a site or development generally home to one household. Can be varying sizes and have varying caravan numbers. Pitches refer to Gypsy and Traveller sites and Plots to Travelling Showpeople yards.

Private site is an authorised site owned privately. This can be owner-occupied, rented or a mixture of owner-occupied and rented pitches.

Site refers to an area of land on which Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople are accommodated in caravans, chalets, or vehicles. Can contain one or multiple pitches or plots.

Social/Public/Council Site is an authorised site owned by either the local authority or a Registered Housing Provider.

Temporary planning permission refers to a private site with planning permission for a fixed period of time.

Tolerated site/yard refers to long-term tolerated sites or yards where enforcement action is not expedient, and a certificate of lawful use would be granted if sought.

Amenity block meaning a building where basic plumbing amenities are provided. This could include a bath, a shower, a WC and a sink.

Bricks and mortar is used to describe mainstream housing.

Caravan is used to describe mobile living vehicle used by Gypsies and Travellers. Also referred to as trailers.

Concealed household is used to describe households living within other households, who are unable to set up separate family units.

Doubling-Up refers to there being more than the permitted number of caravans on a pitch or plot.

Emergency Stopping Place is a temporary site with limited facilities to be occupied by Gypsies and Travellers while they travel.

Green Belt refers to a land use designation used to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another; assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

Household formation is the process in which individuals form separate households. This is normally though adult children setting up their own household.

In-migration refers to movement of households into a region or community.

Local Plans are Local Authority spatial planning documents that can include specific policies and/or site allocations for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.

Out-migration refers to the Movement from one region or community in order to settle in another.

Pitch/plot is an area of land on a site or development generally home to one household. Can be varying sizes and have varying caravan numbers. Pitches refer to Gypsy and Traveller sites and Plots to Travelling Showpeople yards.

Private site is an authorised site owned privately. This can be owner-occupied, rented or a mixture of owner-occupied and rented pitches.

Site refers to an area of land on which Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople are accommodated in caravans, chalets, or vehicles. Can contain one or multiple pitches or plots.

Social/Public/Council Site is an authorised site owned by either the local authority or a Registered Housing Provider.

Temporary planning permission refers to a private site with planning permission for a fixed period of time.

Tolerated site/yard refers to long-term tolerated sites or yards where enforcement action is not expedient, and a certificate of lawful use would be granted if sought.

Transit provision refers to a site intended for short stays and containing a range of facilities. There is normally a limit on the length of time residents can stay.

Unauthorised Development refers to caravans on land owned by Gypsies and Travellers and without planning permission.

Unauthorised Encampment refers to caravans on land not owned by Gypsies and Travellers and without planning permission.

Waiting list is a record held by the local authority or site managers of applications to live on a site.

Yard is a name often used by Travelling Showpeople to refer to a site.

GTAA Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment

LPA Local Planning Authority

DLUHC Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework

ORS Opinion Research Services

PPG Planning Practice Guidance

PPTS Planning Policy for Traveller Sites refers to a site intended for short stays and containing a range of facilities. There is normally a limit on the length of time residents can stay.

Appendix B: Households that did not meet the Planning Definition

Figure 14 - Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that did not meet the Planning Definition (2022-46)

| Gypsies and Travellers - Not Meeting Planning Definition | Pitches |
|--|-----------|
| Supply of Pitches | |
| Supply from vacant public and private pitches | 0 |
| Supply from pitches on new sites | 0 |
| Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar | 0 |
| Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area | 0 |
| Total Supply | 0 |
| Current Need | |
| Households on unauthorised developments | 7 |
| Households on unauthorised encampments | 0 |
| Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding | 1 |
| 5 year need from teenage children | 1 |
| In-migration/Roadside | 0 |
| Movement from bricks and mortar | 0 |
| Households on waiting lists for public sites | 0 |
| Total Current Need | 9 |
| Future Need | |
| Households on sites with temporary planning permission | 0 |
| New household formation | 3 |
| <i>(Formation from demographics)</i> | |
| Total Future Needs | 3 |
| Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply) | 12 |

Figure 15 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rutland that did not meet the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

| Year Period | Dates | Need |
|-------------|-----------|------|
| 0 – 5 | 2022 – 26 | 9 |
| 6 – 10 | 2027 – 31 | 1 |
| 11 – 15 | 2032 – 36 | 1 |
| 16 – 20 | 2037 – 41 | 0 |
| 21 – 25 | 2042 – 46 | 1 |
| 0 – 25 | 2022 – 46 | 12 |

Figure 16 - Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that did not meet the Planning Definition (2022-46)

| Travelling Showpeople - Not Meeting Planning Definition | Plots |
|---|--------------|
| Supply of Plots | |
| Supply from vacant public and private plots | 0 |
| Supply from plots on new yards | 0 |
| Plots vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar | 0 |
| Plots vacated by households moving away from the study area | 0 |
| Total Supply | 0 |
| Current Need | |
| Households on unauthorised developments | 0 |
| Households on unauthorised encampments | 0 |
| Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding | 1 |
| 5 year need from teenage children | 0 |
| In-migration/Roadside | 0 |
| Movement from bricks and mortar | 0 |
| Households on waiting lists for public yards | 0 |
| Total Current Need | 0 |
| Future Need | |
| Households on plots with temporary planning permission | 0 |
| New household formation | 0 |
| <i>(No household formation)</i> | |
| Total Future Needs | 0 |
| Net Plot Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply) | 1 |

Figure 17 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Rutland that did not meet the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

| Year Period | Dates | Need |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0 – 5 | 2022 – 26 | 1 |
| 6 – 10 | 2027 – 31 | 0 |
| 11 – 15 | 2032 – 36 | 0 |
| 16 – 20 | 2037 – 41 | 0 |
| 21 – 25 | 2042 – 46 | 0 |
| 0 – 25 | 2022 – 46 | 1 |

Appendix C: Site and Yard List (October 2022)

| Site/Yard | Authorised Pitches or Plots | Unauthorised Pitches or Plots |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Public Sites | | |
| None | - | - |
| Private Sites with Permanent Permission | | |
| Acorns, near Uppingham | 1 | - |
| Plots 1 to 8, The Paddocks, Langham | 8 | - |
| Travellers Rest, Langham | 1 | - |
| Unauthorised Developments | | |
| Oakham Road | - | 7 |
| TOTAL PITCHES | 10 | 7 |
| | | |
| Travelling Showpeople Yards - Authorised | | |
| Five Counties Caravan Park, Greetham | 21 | - |
| Pinders Circus, near Uppingham | 2 | - |
| The Elms, Greetham | 1 | - |
| TOTAL PLOTS | 24 | 0 |
| | | |
| TOTAL | 34 | 7 |

Appendix D: Household Interview Questions

GTAA Questionnaire 2019



INTERVIEWER: Good Morning/afternoon/evening. My name is < > from Opinion Research Services, working on behalf of XXXX Council.

The Council are undertaking a study of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation needs assessment in this area. This is needed to make sure that accommodation needs are properly assessed and to get a better understanding of the needs of the Travelling Community.

The Council need to try and speak with every Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople household in the area to make sure that the assessment of need is accurate.

Your household will not be identified and all the information collected will be anonymous and will only be used to help understand the needs of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households.

ORS is registered under the Data Protection Act 1998. Your responses will be stored and processed electronically and securely. This paper form will be securely destroyed after processing. Your household will not be identified to the council and only anonymous data and results will be submitted, though verbatim comments may be reported in full, and the data from this survey will only be used to help understand the needs of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households

A

General Information

A1 Name of planning authority:

INTERVIEWER please write in

A2 Date/time of site visit(s):

INTERVIEWER please write in

| | |
|----------|------|
| DD/MM/YY | TIME |
|----------|------|

A3 Name of interviewer:

INTERVIEWER please write in

A4 Address and pitch number:

INTERVIEWER please write in

A5 Type of accommodation: *INTERVIEWER please cross one box only*

Council

Private rented

Private owned

Unauthorised

Bricks and Mortar

A6 Name of Family:

INTERVIEWER please write in

A7 Ethnicity of Family:

INTERVIEWER please cross one box only

Romany Gypsy

Irish Traveller

Scots Gypsy or Traveller

Show Person

New Traveller

English Traveller

Welsh Gypsy

Non-Traveller

Other (please specify)

A8 Number of units on the pitch:

INTERVIEWER please write in

Mobile homes

Touring Caravans

Day Rooms

Other (please specify)

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

© Opinion Research Services 2019

A9 Is this site your main place of residence? If not where is it?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes No

A10 How long have you lived here? If you have moved in the past 5 years, where did you move from? *INTERVIEWER: Please write in below*

| | | |
|-------|--------|---|
| Years | Months | If you have moved in the past 5 years, where did you move from? Include ALL moves |
|-------|--------|---|

A11 Did you live here out of your own choice or because there was no other option? If there was no other option, why? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

Choice No option

A12 Is this site suitable for your household? If so why and if not why not? (For example close to schools, work, healthcare, family and friends etc.)
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes No

A13 How many separate families or unmarried adults live on this pitch?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

B Demographics

B1 Demographics — Household 1 *INTERVIEWER: Please write-in*

| | | | | | |
|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|
| Person 1 | | Person 2 | | Person 3 | |
| Sex | Age | Sex | Age | Sex | Age |

Complete additional forms for each household on pitch *INTERVIEWER: Please write-in*

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|
| Person 4 | | Person 5 | | Person 6 | | Person 7 | | Person 8 | |
| Sex | Age | Sex | Age | Sex | Age | Sex | Age | Sex | Age |

C Accommodation Needs

C1 How many families or unmarried adults living on this pitch are in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

INTERVIEWER: AN ADULT IS DEFINED AS 16+

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Other *Please specify*

C2 How many of your children will need a home of their own in the next 5 years? If they live here now, will they want to stay on this site? If not, where would they wish to move? (e.g. other site, in bricks and mortar etc.) If they do not live on this site, where do they currently live and would they want to move on to this site or another local site if they could get a pitch? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

- | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Other *Please specify*

Details *(Please specify)*

D Waiting List

D1 Is anyone living here on the waiting list for a pitch in this area?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

- | | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|---|----------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | → | Continue to D2 |
| No | <input type="checkbox"/> | → | Go to D4 |

D2 How many people living here are on the waiting list for a pitch in this area?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

- | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Other *(Please specify)*

Details *(Please specify)*

D3 How long have they been on the waiting list? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

- | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0-3 months | 3-6 months | 6-12 months | 1-2 years | 2+ years |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Other *(Please specify)*

Details *(Please specify)*

D4 If they are not on the waiting list, do any of the people living here want to be on the waiting list? (*INTERVIEWER* if they do - please take their contact details)

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

- | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

No Other *(Please specify)*

Details *(Please specify) and take contact details)*

| E Future Accommodation Needs | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <p>E1 Do you plan to move from this site in the next 5 years? If so, why? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> If yes → Continue to E2 No <input type="checkbox"/> If no → Go to E5</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin-left: auto;"> If so, why? (please specify) </div> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>E2 Where would you move to? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: center;"> Another site in this area <i>(specify where)</i> </td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: center;"> A site in another council area <i>(specify where)</i> </td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: center;"> Bricks and mortar in this area <i>(specify where)</i> </td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: center;"> Bricks and mortar in another council area <i>(specify where)</i> </td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: center;"> Other (e.g. land they own elsewhere) <i>(Please specify)</i> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 20px; margin-top: 10px; text-align: center;"> Please specify where they would move to If they own land elsewhere - probe for details </div> | | Another site in this area <i>(specify where)</i> | A site in another council area <i>(specify where)</i> | Bricks and mortar in this area <i>(specify where)</i> | Bricks and mortar in another council area <i>(specify where)</i> | Other (e.g. land they own elsewhere) <i>(Please specify)</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Another site in this area <i>(specify where)</i> | A site in another council area <i>(specify where)</i> | Bricks and mortar in this area <i>(specify where)</i> | Bricks and mortar in another council area <i>(specify where)</i> | Other (e.g. land they own elsewhere) <i>(Please specify)</i> | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | |
| <p>E3 If you want to move would you prefer to buy a private pitch or site, or rent a pitch on a public or private site? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Private buy</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Private rent</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Public rent</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> | | Private buy | Private rent | Public rent | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| Private buy | Private rent | Public rent | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>E4 Can you afford to buy a private pitch or site? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 50%;">No</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> | | Yes | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | |
| Yes | No | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>E5 Are you aware of, or do you own any land that could have potential for new pitches? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 50%;">No</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 20px; margin-top: 10px; text-align: center;"> Please ask for details on where land/site is located and who owns the land/site? </div> | | Yes | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | |
| Yes | No | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | | |

| F Travelling | |
|--------------|--|
| F1 | <p>How many trips, living in a caravan or trailer, have you or members of your family made away from your permanent base in the last 12 months? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> 0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5+ </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> ↓ Go to F6a } Continue to F2 </p> |
| F2 | <p>If you or members of your family have travelled in the last 12 months, which family members travelled? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> All the family Adult males Other if other, please specify </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </p> |
| F3 | <p>What were the reasons for travelling? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross all that apply</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Work Holidays Visiting family Fairs Other </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </p> <p style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; text-align: center; color: #ccc;">Details / specify if necessary. If fairs—probe for whether this involves work</p> |
| F4 | <p>At what time of year do you or family members usually travel? And for how long? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> All year Summer Winter </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </p> <p style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; text-align: center; color: #ccc;">And for how long?</p> |
| F5 | <p>Where do you or family members usually stay when they are travelling? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross all boxes that apply</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> LA transit sites Private transit sites Roadside Friends/family Other if other, please specify </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </p> <p style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; text-align: center; color: #ccc;">INTERVIEWER: Ask F6a — F8 <u>ONLY</u> if F1 = 0. Otherwise, go to F9</p> |
| F6a | <p>Are there any reasons why you don't you travel at the moment?</p> <p style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; text-align: center; color: #ccc;">Details</p> |
| F6b | <p>Have you or family members ever travelled? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> —————→ Continue to F7 No <input type="checkbox"/> —————→ Go to F9 </p> |
| F7a | <p>When did you or family members last travel? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please write in</i></p> <p style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; text-align: center; color: #ccc;">Details</p> |
| F7b | <p>What were the reasons for travelling? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross all that apply</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Work Holidays Visiting family Fairs Other </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </p> <p style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; text-align: center; color: #ccc;">Details / specify if necessary. If fairs—probe for whether this involves work</p> |

F8 Why do you not travel anymore? INTERVIEWER: Cross all boxes that apply & probe for details

- | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Children in school | Ill health | Old age | Settled now | Nowhere to stop | No work opportunities | Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

If other, please specify

Details about children in school, types of ill health, or looking after relative with poor health, and specific problems/issues relating to old age

F9 Do you or other family members plan to travel in the future?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

- | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | —————> | Continue to F10 |
| No | <input type="checkbox"/> | —————> | Go to G1 |
| Don't know | <input type="checkbox"/> | —————> | Go to G1 |

F10 When, and for what purpose do you/they plan to travel?

Details

F11 Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your travelling patterns?

Details

G

Any other information

G1 Any other information about this site or your accommodation needs? INTERVIEWER:
Please write in

Details (e.g. can current and future needs be met
by expanding or intensifying the existing site?)

G2 Site/Pitch plan? Any concerns? INTERVIEWER: *Please sketch & write in*

Sketch of Site/Pitch — any concerns?

Are any adaptations needed?

Why does the current accommodation not meet the household's needs; and could their needs could be addressed in situ e.g. extra caravans. This could cover people wanting to live with that household but who cannot currently

| H Bricks & Mortar Contacts | |
|--|---|
| H1 | Contacts for Bricks and Mortar interviews? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please write in</i> |
| <p>Details</p> | |
| Council contact? | |
| <p>Would you like the council to contact you about any of the issues raised in this interview? Please note that although ORS will pass on your contact details to the Council we cannot guarantee when they will contact you?</p> <p><i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> | |
| Yes | No |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>INTERVIEWER: Can I confirm your name and telephone number so that we can pass them on to the Council for this purpose only. Your details will only be used for this purpose and will not be passed onto anyone else.</p> | |
| Respondent's Name..... | <input type="text"/> |
| Respondent's Telephone..... | <input type="text"/> |
| Respondent's Email..... | <input type="text"/> |
| Interview log | |
| <p><i>INTERVIEWER: Please record the date and time that the interview was carried out</i></p> | |
| Date..... | <input type="text"/> |
| Time of interview..... | <input type="text"/> |

Appendix E: Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates



Opinion Research Services

Excellent research for the public, voluntary and private sectors

Technical Note

Gypsy and Traveller Household Formation and Growth Rates

June 2020

Opinion Research Services



As with all our studies, this research is subject to Opinion Research Services' Standard Terms and Conditions of Contract.

Any press release or publication of this research requires the advance approval of ORS. Such approval will only be refused on the grounds of inaccuracy or misrepresentation.

© Copyright June 2020

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Contents | 80 |
| Household Growth Rates | 81 |
| Abstract and Conclusions..... | 81 |
| Introduction | 81 |
| Modelling Population and Household Growth Rates | 81 |
| Migration Effects | 82 |
| Population Profile | 82 |
| Birth and Fertility Rates | 83 |
| Death Rates..... | 83 |
| Modelling Outputs..... | 83 |
| Household Growth..... | 83 |
| Summary Conclusions..... | 85 |

Household Growth Rates

Abstract and Conclusions

1. National and local household formation and growth rates are important components of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments, but until 2013 little detailed work had been done to assess their likely scale. ORS undertook work in 2013 to assess the likely rate of demographic growth for the Gypsy and Traveller population and concluded that the figure could be as low 1.25% per annum, but that best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.50% per annum.
2. This analysis was produced as a separate document in 2013 and then updated in 2015 (www.opinionresearch.co.uk/formation2015) in light of comments from academics, planning agents and local authorities. The 2015 document was complex because there was still serious dispute as to the level of demographic growth for Gypsies and Travellers in 2015. However, ORS now consider these disputes have largely been resolved at Planning Appeals and Local Plan Examinations, so we consider that much of the supporting evidence is now no longer required to be in the document.
3. This current document represents a shortened re-statement to our findings in 2015 to allow for easier comprehension of the issues involved. It contains no new research and if reader wishes to see further details of the supporting information, they should review the more detailed 2015 report.

Introduction

4. Compared with the general population, the relative youthfulness of many Gypsy and Traveller populations means that their birth rates are likely to generate higher-than-average population growth, and proportionately higher *gross* household formation rates. However, while their *gross* rate of household growth might be high, Gypsy and Traveller communities' future accommodation needs are, in practice, affected by any reduction in the number of households due to dissolution and/or by movements in/out of the area and/or by transfers into other forms of housing. Therefore, the *net* rate of household growth is the *gross* rate of formation *minus* any reductions in households due to such factors.

Modelling Population and Household Growth Rates

5. The basic equation for calculating the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth seems simple: start with the base population and then calculate the average increase/decrease by allowing for births, deaths, in-/out-migration and household dissolution. Nevertheless, deriving satisfactory estimates is difficult because the evidence is often tenuous – so, in this context in 2013, ORS modelled the growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population based on the most likely birth and death rates, and by using PopGroup (the leading software for population and household forecasting). To do so, we supplemented the available national statistical sources with data derived from our own surveys.

Migration Effects

6. Population growth is affected by national net migration and local migration (as Gypsies and Travellers move from one area to another). In terms of national migration, the population of Gypsies and Travellers is relatively fixed, with little international migration. It is in principle possible for Irish Travellers (based in Ireland) to move to the UK, but there is no evidence of this happening to a significant extent and the vast majority of Irish Travellers were born in the UK or are long-term residents.

Population Profile

7. The main source for the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth is the UK 2011 Census. The ethnicity question in the 2011 Census included for the first time 'Gypsy and Irish Traveller' as a specific category. While non-response bias probably means that the size of the population was underestimated, the age profile the Census provides is not necessarily distorted and matches the profile derived from ORS's extensive household surveys.

Table 1 - Age Profile for the Gypsy and Traveller Community in England (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

| Age Group | Number of People | Cumulative Percentage |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Age 0 to 4 | 5,725 | 10.4 |
| Age 5 to 7 | 3,219 | 16.3 |
| Age 8 to 9 | 2,006 | 19.9 |
| Age 10 to 14 | 5,431 | 29.8 |
| Age 15 | 1,089 | 31.8 |
| Age 16 to 17 | 2,145 | 35.7 |
| Age 18 to 19 | 1,750 | 38.9 |
| Age 20 to 24 | 4,464 | 47.1 |
| Age 25 to 29 | 4,189 | 54.7 |
| Age 30 to 34 | 3,833 | 61.7 |
| Age 35 to 39 | 3,779 | 68.5 |
| Age 40 to 44 | 3,828 | 75.5 |
| Age 45 to 49 | 3,547 | 82.0 |
| Age 50 to 54 | 2,811 | 87.1 |
| Age 55 to 59 | 2,074 | 90.9 |
| Age 60 to 64 | 1,758 | 94.1 |
| Age 65 to 69 | 1,215 | 96.3 |
| Age 70 to 74 | 905 | 97.9 |
| Age 75 to 79 | 594 | 99.0 |
| Age 80 to 84 | 303 | 99.6 |
| Age 85 and over | 230 | 100.0 |

Birth and Fertility Rates

8. The table above provides a way of understanding the rate of population growth through births. The table shows that surviving children aged 0-4 years comprise 10.4% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which means that, on average, 2.1% of the total population was born each year (over the last 5 years). The same estimate is confirmed if we consider that those aged 0-14 comprise 29.8% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which also means that almost exactly 2% of the population was born each year.
9. The total fertility rate (TFR) for the whole UK population is just below 2 – which means that on average each woman can be expected to have just less than two children who reach adulthood. We know of only one estimate of fertility rates of the UK Gypsy and Traveller community, in *'Ethnic identity and inequalities in Britain: The dynamics of diversity'* by Dr Stephen Jivraj and Professor Ludi Simpson (published May 2015). The authors use the 2011 Census data to estimate the TFR for the Gypsy and Traveller community as 2.75.
10. ORS used our own multiple survey data to investigate the fertility rates of Gypsy and Traveller women. The ORS data shows that on average Gypsy and Traveller women aged 32 years have 2.5 children (but, because the children of mothers above this age point tend to leave home progressively, full TFRs were not completed). On this basis it is reasonable to infer an average of 3 children per woman during her lifetime, which is broadly consistent with the estimate of 2.75 children per woman derived from the 2011 Census.

Death Rates

11. Although the above data imply an annual growth rate through births of about 2%, the death rate has also to be taken into account. Whereas the average life expectancy across the whole population of the UK is currently just over 80 years, a Sheffield University study found that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy is about 10-12 years less than average (Parry et al (2004) *'The Health Status of Gypsies and Travellers: Report of Department of Health Inequalities in Health Research Initiative'*, University of Sheffield).
12. Therefore, in our population growth modelling we used a conservative estimate of average life expectancy as 72 years – which is entirely consistent with the lower-than-average number of Gypsies and Travellers aged over 70 years in the 2011 Census (and also in ORS's own survey data).

Modelling Outputs

13. If we assume a TFR of 3 and an average life expectancy of 72 years for Gypsies and Travellers, then the modelling, undertaken in PopGroup, projects the population to increase by 66% over the next 40 years – implying a population compound growth rate of 1.25% per annum. If we assume that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy increases to 77 years by 2050, then the projected population growth rate rises to nearly 1.50% per annum. To generate an 'upper range' rate of population growth, we assumed an implausible TFR of 4 and an average life expectancy rising to 77 over the next 40 years – which then yields an 'upper range' growth rate of 1.90% per annum.

Household Growth

14. In addition to population growth influencing the number of households, the size of households also affects the number. Hence, population and household growth rates do not necessarily match directly, mainly due to the current tendency for people to live in smaller childless or single person households.

15. Because the Gypsy and Traveller population is relatively young and has many single parent households, a 1.25%-1.50% annual population growth could yield higher-than-average household growth rates, particularly if average household sizes fall or if younger-than-average households form. However, while there is evidence that Gypsy and Traveller households already form at an earlier age than in the general population, the scope for a more rapid rate of growth, through even earlier household formation, is limited.
16. Based on the 2011 Census, the table below compares the age of household representatives in English households with those in Gypsy and Traveller households – showing that the latter has many more household representatives aged under-25 years. In the general English population 3.60% of household representatives are aged 16-24, compared with 8.70% in the Gypsy and Traveller population. ORS's survey data shows that about 10% of Gypsy and Traveller households have household representatives aged under-25 years.

Table 2 - Age of Head of Household (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

| Age of household representative | Number of households - England | Percentage households - England | Number of households – Gypsy and Traveller | Percentage households – Gypsy and Traveller |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Age 24 and under | 790,974 | 3.6% | 1,698 | 8.7% |
| Age 25 to 34 | 3,158,258 | 14.3% | 4,232 | 21.7% |
| Age 35 to 49 | 6,563,651 | 29.7% | 6,899 | 35.5% |
| Age 50 to 64 | 5,828,761 | 26.4% | 4,310 | 22.2% |
| Age 65 to 74 | 2,764,474 | 12.5% | 1,473 | 7.6% |
| Age 75 to 84 | 2,097,807 | 9.5% | 682 | 3.5% |
| Age 85 and over | 859,443 | 3.9% | 164 | 0.8% |
| Total | 22,063,368 | 100% | 19,458 | 100% |

17. The following table shows that the proportion of single person Gypsy and Traveller households is not dissimilar to the wider population of England; but there are more lone parents, fewer couples without children, and fewer households with non-dependent children amongst Gypsies and Travellers

Table 3 - Household Type (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

| Household Type | Number of households - England | Percentage households - England | Number of households – Gypsy and Traveller | Percentage households – Gypsy and Traveller |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Single person | 6,666,493 | 30.3% | 5,741 | 29.5% |
| Couple with no children | 5,681,847 | 25.7% | 2345 | 12.1% |
| Couple with dependent children | 4,266,670 | 19.3% | 3683 | 18.9% |
| Couple with non-dependent children | 1,342,841 | 6.1% | 822 | 4.2% |
| Lone parent: Dependent children | 1,573,255 | 7.1% | 3,949 | 20.3% |
| Lone parent: All children non-dependent | 766,569 | 3.5% | 795 | 4.1% |
| Other households | 1,765,693 | 8.0% | 2,123 | 10.9% |
| Total | 22,063,368 | 100% | 19,458 | 100% |

18. The key point, though, is that since 20% of Gypsy and Traveller households are lone parents with dependent children, and up to 30% are single persons, there is limited potential for further reductions in average household size to increase current household formation rates significantly – and there is no reason to think that earlier household formations or increasing divorce rates will in the medium term affect household formation rates. While there are differences with the general population, a 1.25%-1.50% per annum Gypsy and Traveller population growth rate is likely to lead to a household growth rate of 1.25%-1.50% per annum

Summary Conclusions

19. The best available evidence suggests that the net annual Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate is 1.50% per annum. Some local authorities might allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.50% per annum, to provide a ‘margin’ if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller population, lower estimates should be used.
20. The outcomes of this Technical Note can be used to provide an estimate of local new household formation rates by adjusting the upper national growth rate of 1.50% based on local demographic characteristics.

In addition, in certain circumstances where the numbers of households and children are higher or lower than national data has identified, or the population age structure is skewed by certain age groups, it may not be appropriate to apply a percentage rate for new household formation. In these cases, a judgement should be made on likely new household formation based on the age and gender of the children identified in local household interviews. This should be based on the assumption that 50% of households likely to form will stay in any given area and that 50% will pair up and move to another area, while still considering the impact of dissolution. This is based on evidence from over 140 GTAs that ORS have completed across England and Wales involving over 4,300 household interviews.