

# Survey Responses

01 July 2021 - 26 July 2021

Is there anything we missed in the your life in Rutland summary report?

## Future Rutland

Project: Your life in Rutland



VISITORS					
57					
CONTRIBUTORS			RESPONSES		
26			32		
1	0	25	1	0	31
Registered	Unverified	Anonymous	Registered	Unverified	Anonymous



**Respondent No:** 1

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 03, 2021 13:59:59 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 03, 2021 13:59:59 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 2

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 05, 2021 19:14:05 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 05, 2021 19:14:05 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

There was a golden opportunity to engage a much bigger proportion of the population , especially the 30 - 40 age group! This should have also included stands on the local markets manned with people with clip boards. I do not, therefore feel this a true reflection of the views of people who live in Rutland and was rushed through, the timing was not appropriate during this pandemic.

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



**Respondent No:** 3

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 05, 2021 23:15:20 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 05, 2021 23:15:20 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

As Oakham is a market town we need a bigger more comprehensive market as well as an injection of support for better shops to include good quality clothes and shoes shop that sell items that are affordable for the majority. Also penalties for litter louts! Another doctors surgery is also needed.

---



**Respondent No:** 4

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 06, 2021 09:30:25 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 06, 2021 09:30:25 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** No

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

Mainly just reinforcement of the general thrust of feedback. A key point though is making sensible and truly affordable housing available, prices in Rutland are just crazy (I moved here from Worcestershire in 1996 and it was true then!). I realise this is tremendously difficult nearly 40 years after council home sell off and the resultant house price inflation, and it's a problem for the whole country not for Rutland, so I feel it has to come from a national initiative which I can't see happening any time soon, but we need to be ready for any opportunity. Some building in the villages has to be an option, there are still farm sites that are usable.



**Respondent No:** 5

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 08, 2021 08:44:27 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 08, 2021 08:44:27 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

We need better road infrastructure to help better movement of traffic through the county and to the honeypot of Rutland Water at key times. The small villages on the A roads need bypasses - Greetham, Ketton & Whitwell are dreadful for drivers and residents. Do the right thing for everyone - prioritise getting bypasses built for these kinds of villages.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 6

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 10, 2021 09:44:08 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 10, 2021 09:44:08 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** No

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

I note with 100% approval the comments about the wonderful feeling that living in the countryside gives to residents, and that this must be preserved and built upon. However there are disadvantages. Inside towns and villages there are trees which have been planted or naturally grown which have or will grow to be giants. Living in the shadow of such a bully affects the enjoyment of the unlucky residents. I believe that the policy is to retain a tree at all costs, unless it is diseased or actually dangerous. This policy should be changed so that the happiness of residents is placed above the retention of a badly sited tree.

---



**Respondent No:** 7

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 13, 2021 15:01:27 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 13, 2021 15:01:27 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** No

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

The impact of an increasingly busy road network on safety and quality of life is missing. Main roads need to be reassessed as small villages like ours - Caldecott - suffer from huge HGVs and large quantities of traffic passing through, right next to tiny ironstone cottages and narrow pavements. the noise effects some residents health, awaking them at 4 in the morning and many people cannot enjoy their gardens. Children - in fact all residents, have to avoid certain routes around the village as traffic regularly climbed onto our pavements and it is not safe. The recent crash into a residents house is the latest event to effect the community. It is only a matter of time before someone is hurt or seriously injured due to such a busy road running through our tiny village. It very much feels like villages like ours are forgotten about. Despite being a wonderful community, we do not feel part of the peaceful, caring Rutland that is constantly promoted.

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered





**Respondent No:** 8

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 14, 2021 16:40:21 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 14, 2021 16:40:21 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

I do not think Rutland Council really make a difference. It is the same old story. They should take more pride in Rutland and promote it to a MUCH HIGHER standard. I have sent a separate letter to RCC about the generally poor state of the sign posts, County entrance signs, the state of the roads etc etc. There is insufficient progress made through the council about these matters. - An unhappy resident.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 9

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 14, 2021 22:01:32 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 14, 2021 22:01:32 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

The most controversial topic in Rutland today is that of the St Georges Barracks housing and quarry proposals. Yet this topic has been carefully avoided both in Future Rutland Conversation programmes for discussion and in reports. Why is that? I have seen no official discussion or comment on the RCC 'cabal' which engineered these proposals, or what action is being taken by the full council at the present time.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 10

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 14, 2021 22:05:42 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 14, 2021 22:05:42 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

I should like to know why the footer of the introduction displays Rutland demography as 70% ethnic minority. I have little against minorities, but I have huge objection to our council showing lies. I shall continue this observation by normal means.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 11

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 16, 2021 08:10:56 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 16, 2021 08:10:56 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

Far too much of the County's funding is spent on social services. This looks like almost 50%! Why do we have to contribute so much of our overall budget to Special Schools education when these people have probably come from outside our County?

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 12

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 17, 2021 10:04:04 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 17, 2021 10:04:04 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** No

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

It's quite true how easily a good way of life can be destroyed and forgotten. Please let us think sensibly about the way ahead for Rutland and not get sucked in to this frenzied knee jerking attempt of build, build, build until we have nowhere to go.

---



**Respondent No:** 13

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 18, 2021 12:41:23 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 18, 2021 12:41:23 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 14

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 19, 2021 11:31:58 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 19, 2021 11:31:58 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

Not a good engagement as people who had put a lot of thoughts into the Regulation 19 response felt they were ignored and didn't feel like engaging in another box ticking exercise. The fact that you had to register and have a password also didn't help engagement.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 15

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 19, 2021 12:48:47 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 19, 2021 12:48:47 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

The summary covers St George's proposals. The proposed new town would be merely a dormitory with nowhere near the facilities of even Uppingham or the larger villages. The young and less well-off would prefer to be in the existing towns or large villages. Regardless, in time all towns (viz Stamford and Oakham) expand via clever developers, so we cannot stop Oakham and Uppingham expanding steadily - as they should be allowed.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---





**Respondent No:** 16

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 20, 2021 10:30:48 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 20, 2021 10:30:48 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

The report seems to provide a fair assessment but I would add that, because of the relative size of the county, it is important also to consider the relationships across the borders with other counties, particularly with regard to transport, healthcare, employment opportunities and leisure pursuits, rather than assuming that everything can be provided within the county.

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



**Respondent No:** 17

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 21, 2021 16:30:22 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 21, 2021 16:30:22 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

Please do not forget the long overdue plans to refurbish Oakham High Street and improve the public realm in this area. Since proposals for the controversial one way system were dropped there has been a void and no proposals. Pavements and signage are starting to look unloved and we could do with benches and a general upgrade to support the retailers in town and the markets twice weekly.

---



**Respondent No:** 18

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 21, 2021 20:15:31 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 21, 2021 20:15:31 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** No

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 19

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 22, 2021 08:40:54 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 22, 2021 08:40:54 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 20

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 22, 2021 09:58:09 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 22, 2021 09:58:09 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** No

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

Although there seems an overwhelming concern that more housing is being forced on Rutland from Central Government, I am aware that there are concerns with the quality of construction of recent new housing developments. Building Regulation and enforcement would seem in need of improvement. Broadband is unreliable and of poor quality. The OpenReach monopoly Fibre to Cabinet service is unreliable slow and suffers "drop-out". Trying to find out if OpenReach have plans for Full Fibre service to be available in my part of Barleythorpe is impossible.

---



**Respondent No:** 21

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 22, 2021 11:13:20 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 22, 2021 11:13:20 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

I think its wrong to ask if we think the summary report is an accurate reflection of the consultation - as we have not seen the responses. What is apparent from what we have seen - is there a clear message for a strategic, intergraded vison that needs very careful handling to achieve the majority of wishes expressed in this report. Rutland is rural - and this is why people are here - new development needs to be County wide and VERY carefully handled - with planning polices that will drive the vision as well as need.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 22

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 22, 2021 12:47:23 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 22, 2021 12:47:23 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

I certainly mentioned the need for more doctor's surgeries and school if the development of new homes is carried forward. I am sure I wasn't the only one but feel that this concern isn't really clear in the summary.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 23

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 23, 2021 09:57:29 am

**Last Seen:** Jul 23, 2021 09:57:29 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

Reading through the summary there is not one mention of the heritage of the County. We are blessed with some of the finest buildings in the country here, many define the towns and villages that make up Rutland. We have more listed buildings per head than any other part of England and yet there isn't so much as a nod to their existence. If you ignore the very fabric of what makes Rutland so unique you will destroy it in time and this beautiful County will lose its charm forever. Celebrate the built environment, don't ignore it.

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---





**Respondent No:** 24

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 23, 2021 15:24:43 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 23, 2021 15:24:43 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

It is clear that residents feel Rutland is a special place and that its has a great many things that should be preserved. It should also be proud to punch above its size to protect wildlife, environment and rural heritage. This is the time to to lead other regions by taking a stand against building large towns in the middle of green fields without planned employment. St George's Barracks (SGB) site should be a wildlife or tree planting haven to complement the excellent environmental reputation we have gained on the Rutland Waterfront! We should take a stand against the Ministry of Defence and reject their ill judged plans for a site so close to all that we love and care for!

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



**Respondent No:** 25

**Login:** HReilly

**Email:** holly.l.potter@googlemail.co  
m

**Responded At:** Jul 25, 2021 12:23:51 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 25, 2021 11:04:48 am

**IP Address:** 213.205.240.174

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

I just wanted to stress the need for more services/activities for teenagers, and highlight that inequality between the richest and poorest in Rutland is actually quite high, although it can be invisible. I think as a wealthy county we should be doing more to address this, eg could we become a real living wage county? I also think rutland should be a trailblazer for radical environmental policies, reflecting the value we all place on our environment.

---



**Respondent No:** 26

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 25, 2021 16:51:31 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 25, 2021 16:51:31 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** No

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

We chose to come to live in Edith Weston only seven years ago, what wonderful place to retire to. Only a few problems are: very bad broadband connection (no way can we text), we cannot get a bus direct to Oakham, and we cannot get the speed of vehicles travelling through our village monitored, even though a school is very close and parents with toddlers have to walk along the main road, of course we also have articulated lorries trundling through to the airfield? Surely there could be a way of expanding the wonderful area around Rutland Water, and beyond into Rutland, to include more educational info, but not to include too many new houses which will change the ambiance and the feeling we get when arriving back in Rutland any time after being away.

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



**Respondent No:** 27

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 26, 2021 12:02:05 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 26, 2021 12:02:05 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

I am writing to you with some concern over the interpretation of the 'Climate Crisis' answers in the summary report. You open by saying that "a number of residents argue strongly that there is no climate crisis", followed by "others do believe...". Then 4 out of 6 of the example comments that follow feature strong climate denying or 'doomist' (it's too big a problem so why bother with action..) type comments. I believe this not only misrepresents the consensus opinion in Rutland (see the overwhelming majority choose 'global warming' as the number one concern), but it's akin to taking a poll on people's 'opinions' about whether the Earth is spherical and then expressing 'both sides' as equal. Or worse, leading and over-representing the 'flat-earthers'. This is not a matter of opinion. Over 90% of scientists are in agreement. What should be summarised from the questionnaires, are the opinions on how we, as a community, can make a contribution in tackling this global crisis. I sincerely hope this section will be amended to reflect residents' opinions about how we can tackle climate change, rather than be dominated by ignorant comments that are not based in fact.

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



**Respondent No:** 28

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 26, 2021 12:03:11 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 26, 2021 12:03:11 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

One of the aspects that I feel our County Council has missed during all of this consultation is that we need to show much more pride in our County by promoting ourselves. I have never seen a signpost ' You are now entering Rutland ' 'This is a 'litter free zone'. Look at the mess everywhere - fly tipping etc. Put more litter baskets around the worst areas. The signposts are all dirty and not painted. Many road signs are currently leaning at odd angles. Everything needs sprucing up ! Many roads are in a very poor condition still with plenty of deep potholes. This is not an expensive process and would make us stand out in relation to the other surrounding counties.The roads should all be inspected. We want tourists to come and look up and say ' What a great County Rutland is.' ' I would love to live there' Let's promote ourselves and really try much harder instead of our same old dreary stubborn Council who cannot look to the future for the benefit of our residents. Having lived here for over 30 years, it is now time for action. Lets make 2022 the best year ever amongst all our inhabitants.

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



**Respondent No:** 29

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 26, 2021 12:03:40 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 26, 2021 12:03:40 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

---

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

---

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

There was a lot of favourable comment on using St Georges as a science park -with Pharma and IT particularly -to create high worth employment in a parkland setting . I could not see this reflected in the reports - has it been omitted ?

---

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 30

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 26, 2021 12:04:25 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 26, 2021 12:04:25 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

However, my guess would be that those people living in Rutland who are poorer and/or less literate and less articulate (and of whom there is a small but significant minority) will inevitably be unrepresented by these conversations and may well have different priorities. I can offer no solution to this dilemma but only request that consideration be given to these people. Many of these are young families. I would like to see free accessible provision of facilities during school holidays – no good having a swimming pool if you can't afford to take your children there. Where older people are concerned, I would like to see greater provision of services such as day centres to provide a hot lunch time meal and also facilities for engagement with them to alleviate loneliness – all at as low a cost as possible.

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



**Respondent No:** 31

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 26, 2021 12:07:22 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 26, 2021 12:07:22 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

Having taken part in the Local Plan consultation and the Future Rutland Conversation I believe the future of Rutland for the next 50 years will be set by the outcome of the Local Plan (2018-2036) examination in public when it happens. I would respectfully suggest that Rutland County Council Officers and Elected Members take time to re-read the following two documents prior to the Local Plan examination in public and ask themselves one question: What has changed since these two important meetings were held? RCC Council Meeting Minutes 27th January 2020 (Examination Library document CD6i) RCC Council Meeting Minutes 10th February 2020 (Examination Library document CD6j) I also question why the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the MOD and RCC (August 2017) which is referred to in the above documents has not been published in the examination library yet was made public on the RCC St Georges webpage? On the 29th January 2021 a Statement of Common Ground between Rutland County Council and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) was signed. DIO still state they want a minimum of 2200 houses built. (Examination Library document SGB16). How was this figure arrived at or is it simply the area of land inside the security fence (74ha) multiplied by a housing density of 30 units per hectare equalling 2220 homes? This minimum number is purely driven by the MOD stated aim to get the largest financial return for St Georges and not the housing needs of the people of Rutland. Based on the 5 Year Land Supply & Developable Housing Land Supply Report (Examination Library document RCC 004b2) only 25 houses are scheduled to be delivered in the next 5 years out of 1000 total for the next 15 years, at this build out rate of 100 house per year to get to the MOD minimum figure will mean that St Georges will be a building site until at least 2053. The St Georges Barracks within the present security fence and the Officers Mess should be redeveloped maintaining all the existing hedges, trees and green spaces by building a maximum of say 400 houses and retaining its sense of place in the local surroundings. The disused airfield east of St Georges contains the mineral safeguarding area of Limestone and Clay for cement production which the MOD states in the MOU they will be responsible for the sale of the mineral rights (See Local Plan Inset 64 showing safeguarding area). At present Castle Cement believe that their permitted reserves up to the eastern boundary of the airfield will be fully worked out by 31st December 2032 according to their latest planning application 021/0796/MAF. The above planning application contains a planning statement dated June 2021 from Castle Cement and on page 15 states the following about the submitted Local Plan (2018-2036): "11.40 The plan allocates an area of search for future extensions of the works as well as safeguarding the existing permitted reserves and related infrastructure. There is an overt intention in the draft plan to continue cement production at Ketton well beyond 2036 (the plan period)." "11.41 The emerging policy is therefore based on the presumption that this site will continue in operation and will work through its permitted reserves before being extended into the area of search. The public interest for this lies in the provision of essential construction materials; the retention of jobs that the proposal will generate and the contribution that this operations makes to the public purse, locally through business rates and nationally through other taxes." The St Georges Barracks Site Investigation Report January 2018 (Examination Library document SGB5) has the following summary comment on page 10: "6.9. A potential future limestone resource could be in the order of 20 million tonnes. This is only considered to be an outline, though conservative figure." I believe this could take 20 years to extract but until Castle Cement submit their planning application for the mineral safeguarded area on the airfield no details of the end date can be assumed. This could be into the 2050's. The western boundary of the mineral safeguarding area as shown on the Local Plan inset 64 is approximately 600 metres from the existing Annington Homes / MOD housing, 250 metres from the existing St Georges eastern security fence and 600 metres from the Oval in North Luffenham village at the nearest points, which



begs the question who would buy a house with an undefined quarry next door and not the promised county park / open green spaces in the St Georges Master Plan. The disused overgrown golf course and its maturing trees provides a green buffer zone between the existing barracks and airfield and needs to be retained as the natural wildlife habitat / corridor that it has become over the last few years. Having walked the airfield with members of my family many times (with the MOD's permission) over the last 18 months in all weathers you get a sense of the surrounding landscape and far-reaching views over parts of Rutland. Hopefully when the quarrying has finished the Grade II listed Thor launch site will sit in open green countryside and regain its sense of place. The Rutland Local Plan (2018-2036) was formally submitted to the Secretary of State on 3rd February 2021 for independent examination on I believe an assumption that the Housing Infrastructure Funding (HIF) would be accepted by the Elected Members, it failed by 1 vote. I am aware the independent inspector stated on the 24th March 2021 "it is not sensible for the examination process to progress further at this stage without a clear understanding of the potential implications of this significant (loss of the HIF) development for the examination" hopefully this will be resolved in the near future and we can see what the start of the next 50 years of Rutland will look like.

---

**Q4. Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 32

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 26, 2021 12:07:59 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 26, 2021 12:07:59 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for your life in Rutland is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** Yes

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

not answered

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

I have read all the reports and I took part in 3 zoom conversations. I enjoyed this but it will be important to capitalise on the inputs of contributors. In order to pull this together into a Vision for Rutland, it might be worth using SWOT to identify key points from the contributions and responses. Pull out the points that fall into the categories: Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats. It might also be worthwhile trying to create a Brand Rutland and identifying the key attributes of the brand. Then it would be possible to identify from the Conversation reports, what supports the brand attributes and what damages the brand. Build on the first and avoid /minimise the second. It would also be useful in the final report to understand how this information will be used - for example by RCC in determining planning policies. It could be useful to other organisations and interest groups. I look forward to seeing the next stage of this project

