

Survey Responses

01 July 2021 - 26 July 2021

Is there anything we missed in the
business summary report?

Future Rutland

Project: Business



VISITORS					
4					
CONTRIBUTORS			RESPONSES		
3			3		
0	0	3	0	0	3
Registered	Unverified	Anonymous	Registered	Unverified	Anonymous



Respondent No: 1

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 19, 2021 12:24:27 pm

Last Seen: Jul 19, 2021 12:24:27 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for business is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

I tried to log onto the online conversation but I could not as cancelled so rather a waste of time that I had put aside .

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



Respondent No: 2

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 19, 2021 13:08:14 pm

Last Seen: Jul 19, 2021 13:08:14 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for business is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

The towns, even Uppingham, have a myriad of businesses, unlike the St George's proposal. Residents of St George's, being closer to Stamford than Oakham, would largely be expected to take their business and money to Stamford - outside Rutland! So not benefiting existing towns or County.

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered



Respondent No: 3

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 22, 2021 14:40:26 pm

Last Seen: Jul 22, 2021 14:40:26 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Q1. Did you take part in the first stage of Future Rutland, between April and June?** Yes

Q2. **Do you think that the summary report produced for business is a fair and accurate reflection of what matters to people in Rutland?** No

Q3. **Please use the space below to tell us what you think is missing from this summary, or needs to be added:**

Comments on Rutland Conversation I praise the attempt of the Rutland Conversation to identify the likes and dislikes of the Rutland population and their aspirations for the future as a guide to support RCC's creation of a vision for the future of our County. The result is a multitude of interesting documents containing a multitude of comments from the community on the present state of the County and of their ideas for the future, which I am sure will stimulate further study and contribute to RCC's future decision making and action. I may be missing something in the Conversation questions asked and responses given, that I believe is fundamentally important to any future vision. This is the need for a high level and the associated support staff and strategic contribution to the vision from the RCC. I recognise that this may be subject to government or other regulatory constraints – but what does not seem to be highlighted in the Conversation responses is the need to develop suitable numbers and quality of jobs within Rutland, to fully satisfy the aspirations of the population. Whilst there has been resistance to the St George's Barracks housing development - which would (will?) help contribute towards meeting the Council's obligations for new housing numbers - I am not aware of any serious attempts by RCC to seek to attract significant new sizeable ventures to Rutland that would need access to and benefit from the experience and expertise of an expanding Rutland population. Why cannot the two be related? My vision would be to take advantage of a vacant St George's Barracks site (or other similar site) as the base for a significant new venture requiring a meaningful number of quality jobs – such as a relocated university (or similar) research centre, government department, environmental and climate change consultancy head office etc. – which would provide a number of high quality jobs and associated support staff from the local community, and serve to attract experienced and expert staff to live in the County. Maybe this is already part of the RCC vision? Maybe our MP could be encouraged to spearhead the promotion of the opportunity and search for interest within government circles and beyond? Tim Maskell 9, The Range Langham LE15 7EB 22 July 2021

Q4. **Please use the space below to provide any further comments or feedback you may have:**

not answered

